## EXHIBIT 3



Deposition of: **Jeffrey Sedlik** 

November 13, 2019

In the Matter of:

Brittney Gobble Photographer, LLC Vs. Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., Et Al.

Veritext Legal Solutions

800.808.4958 | calendar-dmv@veritext.com |

	Page 1
1	Page 1
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
4	BALTIMORE DIVISION
5	
	BRITTNEY GOBBLE PHOTOGRAPHY, LLC)
6	)
	PLAINTIFF, )
7	) Case No.
	V. ) 1:18-CV-03403-RDB
8	) (Consolidated cases,
	SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC., ) lead case)
9	ET AL )
	) Case No.
10	DEFENDANT/THIRD-PARTY ) 1:18-CV-03384-RDB
	PLAINTIFF, ) (Consolidated case)
11	)
	V. ) Case No.
12	) 1:19-CV-00599-RDB
	USA ENTERTAINMENT NEWS, INC., ) (Consolidated case)
13	D/B/A "WENN" AND "WORLD )
	ENTERTAINMENT NEWS NETWORK" ) Case No.
14	) 1:19-CV-00606-RDB
	THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT ) (Consolidated case)
15	
16	
	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF:
17	
	EXPERT JEFFREY SEDLIK
18	
	WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2019
19	
	10:07 A.M.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: PAULA A. PYBURN
25	CSR 7304, RPR, CLR

Page 2 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF EXPERT JEFFREY 1 2 SEDLIK, the witness, taken on behalf of DEFENDANTS, 3 on Wednesday, November 13, 2019, 10:07 a.m., at 333 South Hope Street, Suite 2610, Los Angeles, 4 5 California, before PAULA A. PYBURN, CSR 7304, RPR, CLR. 6 7 8 9 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 10 11 FOR PLAINTIFF: 12 GLASER WEIL 13 BY: ROBERT E. ALLEN, ESQ. 10250 Constellation Boulevard 14 15 Los Angeles, CA 90067 16 310.553.3000 17 rallen@glaserweil.com 18 19 FOR DEFENDANTS: 20 THOMAS & LIBOWITZ, P.A. 21 SCOTT H. MARDER, ESQ. 22 100 Light Street, Suite 1100 23 Baltimore, Maryland 21202-1053 410.752.2468 24 25 shmarder@tandllaw.com

```
Page 3
       APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)
 1
 2
 3
       ALSO PRESENT:
 4
            Ellen C. Boughn
            Ron Lazo, Videographer
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2 0
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Doddiii	rage of engeric, 201	
			Page 4	
1			I N D E X	
2				
3	WITNESS		EXAMINATION PAGE	
4	EXPERT JEFFREY	SEDLI	I K	
5	(BY MR.	MARDE	ER) 8	
6				
7				
8		E	XHIBITS	
9	NO.	PAGE	DESCRIPTION	
10	Exhibit 1	12	Notice of Videotaped	
			Deposition of	
11			Jeffrey Sedlik	
12	Exhibit 2	12	Curriculum Vitae	
13	Exhibit 3	14	Folder Containing Reports	
			and Other Reference	
14			Documents	
15	Exhibit 4	14	Work File	
16	Exhibit 5	35	2015 Form 990-EZ	
17	Exhibit 6	39	2016 Form 990-EZ	
18	Exhibit 7	43	2017 Form 990-EZ	
19	Exhibit 8	80	4/12/19 Preliminary Expert	
			Report of Professor	
20			Jeffrey Sedlik	
21	Exhibit 9	80	6/21/19 Surrebuttal Expert	
			Report of Professor	
22			Jeffrey Sedlik	
23				
24				
25				
[				

				Page 5
1		E	X H I	B I T S (CONTINUED)
2	NO.		PAGE	DESCRIPTION
3	Exhibit	10	82	4/12/19 Exhibits to
				Preliminary Report of
4				Professor Jeffrey Sedlik
				Jeffrey Sedlik
5				
	Exhibit	11	98	Printout from ALM Experts
6				
	Exhibit	12	100	Printout from
7				www.
				photographyexpertwitness.
8				COM
9	Exhibit	13	101	Printout from
				expertpages.com
10				
	Exhibit	14	105	Printout from
11				professorjeffsedlik.com
12	Exhibit	15	107	LinkedIn profile
13	Exhibit	16	198	Exhibit H to Expert Report
14	Exhibit	17	198	Exhibit I to Expert Report
15	Exhibit	18	199	Exhibit A to Expert Report
16	Exhibit	19	265	Exhibit M to Expert Report
17	Exhibit	20	265	Exhibit L to Expert Report
18	Exhibit	21	265	Exhibit E to Expert Report
19	Exhibit	22	265	Exhibit G to Expert Report
20	Exhibit	23	265	Exhibit F to Expert Report
21	Exhibit	24	290	Exhibit B to Expert Report
22				
23				
24				
25				

	Page 6
1	UNANSWERED QUESTIONS
2	(None)
3	
4	
5	INFORMATION REQUESTED
6	(None)
7	
8	
9	
1 0	
11	
12	
1 3	
1 4	
1 5	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	
2 5	

Page 7 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, 1 NOVEMBER 13, 2019 2 10:07 A.M. 3 4 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now on the record. The time is 10:07 a.m. on November 13th, 2019. This 6 7 is Media Unit 1 of the video recorded deposition of 8 Jeffrey Sedlik, taken by counsel for the defendant in the matter of "Brittney Gobble Photographer 9 [verbatim] v. Sinclair Broadcast Group, et al., " 10 filed in the United States District Court. The case 11 number is 1:18-CV-03403-RDB. 12 13 This deposition is being held at Glaser Weil, located at 333 South Hope Street, Suite 2610, 14 15 Los Angeles, California 90071. 16 The court reporter is Paula Pyburn from 17 Veritext. 18 Videographer is Ron Lazo of Veritext. Counsel, please state your appearances and 19 affiliations for the record. 20 Robert Allen, Glaser Weil, for 21 MR. ALLEN: 22 Plaintiff Brittney Gobble Photography, LLC. 23 MR. MARDER: Scott Marder on behalf of all of the defendants with the law firm of Thomas & 24 Libowitz. 2.5

	Page 8
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would all those in
2	attendance please announce themselves for the
3	record.
4	MS. BOUGHN: Ellen Boughn.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter
6	please swear in the witness.
7	THE REPORTER: Raise your right hand,
8	please.
9	Do you solemnly swear the testimony you are
10	about to give in this deposition shall be the truth,
11	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
12	THE WITNESS: I do.
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please begin.
14	* * *
15	EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. MARDER:
17	Q Good morning, sir.
18	A Good morning, Counselor.
19	Q Can you tell us your full name.
20	A Jeffrey, J-e-f-f-r-e-y, Brian, B-r-i-a-n,
21	Sedlik, S-e-d-l-i-k, professor.
22	Q Now, professor is not part of your name, is
23	it?
24	A No. That's my title.
25	Q Got it. And we'll talk about that more in

Page 9 a little bit. 1 Α Gladly. I know that you have given a number of 3 Q 4 depositions over the years, but nonetheless I wanted to review some guidelines as to how we will do the 5 6 deposition today to try to make it easier for you 7 and so we can move through things quickly and 8 smoothly. Fair enough? 9 10 Α Certainly. All right. In a little bit I'll be asking 11 Q 12 you questions. If you don't understand any of my 13 questions, please let me know; I will be happy to repeat the question or rephrase the question or do 14 15 whatever I have to do to make sure you understand 16 it. 17 Is that fair? 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 And if you do give me an answer to a Q 20 question without asking for clarification, then I will assume you understood what it meant. 21 22 Is that fair? 2.3 Α Yes. 24 All right. As you see, we have a court 25 reporter taking down everything we say.

although she's very good, she has a few limitations, one of which is she can only take down verbal responses. So we have to make sure we don't nod our head or shake our head when we mean "yes" or "no."

Okay?

A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Also, when we talk casually, sometimes we say "uh-huh" or "huh-uh." Unfortunately, when those are typed up, it's not clear whether you meant "yes" or "no"; so please use the words "yes" or "no."

Okay?

A Yes.

Q Lastly, the court reporter can only take down one voice at a time. So I will do my best to wait till you have finished your answer before I ask my next question. And if you could kindly do the same thing, wait for your answer until I have finished my question, that will helpful.

- A Absolutely. I do have one request of you.
- O Go ahead.

A I have a deep vein thrombosis issue; so I need to get up about every hour or so, just walk around the room. I can sit back down.

- Q No problem.
- A Not take any risks there.

And also, if I'm asked a question where it 1 2 requires some thought and I pause, it doesn't mean I don't know the answer or that I'm being evasive. 3 4 I'm thinking about my answer so that I can give you 5 a full, complete, and accurate answer before I speak. 6 7 0 Understood. And the breaks won't be a problem. 8 Α 9 Okay. Last point in terms of guidelines and 10 instructions. Today I'll be asking you some 11 12 questions about some of your work. So I want to 13 make clear that in asking the questions only about certain parts of your work, you should not interpret 14 15 anything that I'm doing today or saying today as 16 endorsement of any other parts of your work. 17 Do you understand? 18 Α Understand. 19 MR. MARDER: Let's go ahead and mark these 20 It's the notice. Let's mark them. two, please. 1 and 2? 21 THE REPORTER: 22 MR. MARDER: Yeah. 1 is on the top and 2 23 is below it. 24 MR. ALLEN: Do I have 2? 25 MR. MARDER: No. That was one of his

	Page 12
1	exhibits
2	MR. ALLEN: Okay.
3	MR. MARDER: so I don't have copies.
4	No. 2 will be Exhibit A, which is his CV.
5	MR. ALLEN: Great. Thank you.
6	(Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 1
7	and Defendants' Exhibit 2 were
8	marked for identification by the
9	Court Reporter.)
10	BY MR. MARDER:
11	Q Sir, you have in front of you what has been
12	marked as Exhibit No. 1 for purposes of this
13	deposition.
14	Do you see that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Now, that is the notice of taking
17	videotaped deposition in this case; is that right?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q Were you provided with a copy of this prior
20	to today?
21	A I'm not sure, but there may have been
22	additional pages in the notice that I received.
23	Q The notice that you received, would that be
24	in your file that you brought with you today?
25	A Yes.

Page 13 Were you asked by counsel to bring a copy 1 of your entire file related to this case today? 2 Α I received a copy of the notice, which 3 4 asked me to bring my file. And did you do that? 5 I did. 6 7 Now, prior to the start of the deposition, 8 you handed me two manila folders, which I have in front of me. 9 And what are those manila folders which 10 we'll mark in a moment? 11 12 One of those manila folders is a copy of my 13 file which has my invoices and some communications. And then the other is -- has copies of my 14 15 two reports without the exhibits and maybe three 16 additional documents to refresh my memory if asked, 17 such as the -- a list of the exhibits to my report 18 so that I can quickly reference that and not cause 19 delay in the deposition process. 20 Q Fair enough. And then you also have in front of you what 21 22 has been marked as Exhibit No. 2. 2.3 Do you see that? 24 Α Yes, sir.

Do you recognize Exhibit No. 2?

25

Q

It appears to be my curriculum vitae. 1 Α 2 Just checking through it. Looks to be a complete copy of my 3 4 curriculum vitae as was attached to my preliminary 5 expert report. Now, I'm going to have the court reporter 6 Q 7 mark the two folders that you brought as Exhibits 3 8 The thicker one should be Exhibit 3, and the thinner one should be Exhibit 4. 9 10 MR. ALLEN: Just so we're clear, the thicker one is which one? 11 12 MR. MARDER: I'll have him identify it on 13 the record. (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 3 14 and Defendants' Exhibit 4 were 15 16 marked for identification by the 17 Court Reporter.) 18 BY MR. MARDER: 19 Sir, I'm handing you the folder that has been marked Exhibit 3. 20 Can you identify that for us, please? 21 22 It's a folder that I brought with me today. 2.3 Prior to coming here I printed out a copy of my 24 preliminary report; my surrebuttal report; the index 25 to exhibits, which is also included in the report; a

2.3

Page 15

section of my report that outlines the tables in case I'm asked about the tables; and then a termination notice from WENN; and a list of the assumptions, which is also -- a copy of which is in my report.

- Q Please take a look at Exhibit No. 4.
  What is Exhibit No. 4?
- A Exhibit No. 4 is a copy of my file. It has the invoices that I have submitted in the matter. It has my engagement agreement and two letters from your firm, and one confidential document that I don't know that I'm -- that I should discuss here without you having to call it confidential and then it has to get excerpted. So --
- Q You can go ahead and identify it for me, please.
- A It's a list of the revenue generated -from what I understand from the testimony to be the
  revenue generated by advertising placed on the
  websites, the television station websites that are
  Sinclair television stations.

And I'll answer any -- in further detail as you ask questions about it.

MR. ALLEN: I believe that that's

Exhibit 37 from -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 37 from the

Page 16 depositions. 1 BY MR. MARDER: 2 3 0 You can go ahead and put those papers back in the folder that's Exhibit 4. 4 5 Now, if I can just ask you, let's do our 6 best to try to not mix up the papers that are in 7 Exhibit 4 with those that are in Exhibit 3 and vice 8 versa. So we'll try to --9 Certainly. Α -- do our best between the two of us. 10 Q Fair enough? 11 12 Yes, sir. Α All right. Now, if you can -- excuse me. 13 0 If you can now get Exhibit No. 2 in front of you, 14 15 which is your CV. Please feel free to refer to 16 Exhibit 2 at any time during the period when I'm 17 going to be asking you questions about your 18 background and your -- your experience if you need 19 to. 20 Α Certainly. 21 0 Okay. All right. 22 Now, you mentioned at the beginning that 23 your title is professor? Did I hear you correctly? 24 Α Yes. Professor where? 25 0

Page 17 At the ArtCenter College of Design. 1 Α What is the ArtCenter College of Design? Q Α It is a college at which design is taught. 3 4 It goes back to the 1920s, I believe. I've been 5 teaching there approximately 25 years. I was voted 6 by the administration to receive the professor title 7 15 years ago. 8 The type of subject matter taught there is photography, illustration, transportation design, 9 environmental design, fine arts, and other -- other 10 types of topics. 11 12 Q Are you a full-time professor at the 13 school? I'm employed elsewhere. 14 Α 15 Do you know what tenure means? Q 16 Α Yes. Are you a tenured professor at the school? 17 Q 18 Α They do not have tenure at the ArtCenter 19 College of Design. 20 I see. Are you familiar with the term Q "adjunct professor"? 21 22 Α Yes, sir. 2.3 What does that mean? Q 24 At the ArtCenter College of Design, all --Α 25 all or the vast majority of the educators are

adjunct faculty. It's a school known for people with real-world experience who are experts in their field coming in and teaching. Instead of being full-time educators, they take their experience in the field and bring it to the students, and that's what "adjunct" means.

- Q Are you an adjunct professor at the school?
- A I am, sir.

O Understood.

How long have you been an adjunct professor at the school?

A I earlier testified approximately 15 years, and that is -- some -- I need you to give me some wiggle room there, because I didn't look it up. But I have been teaching there for 24 to 25 years.

- Q And is your current position there a paid position?
  - A Yes.
- Q I want to get a little bit of an understanding about the kinds of courses that you teach now and that you've taught in the past. So right now it is November 13th, 2019.

So I would assume that's the fall semester at the school; is that correct?

A It is. They're wrapping up and I'm not

2.3

Page 19

teaching this term; I'm teaching in the spring. And I will be teaching a class on copyright law, licensing practice, pricing, negotiations, copyright registration practice. I think I said negotiations. Those -- that's the primary subject matter. Estimating, invoicing, negotiations, and some business administration, but primarily it's -- it's a copyright class.

- Q Have you ever taught that course before?
- A I've taught the course many times. It's a recurring course.
- Q Why are you not currently teaching this semester?

A Because I'm employed by the -- the PLUS Coalition, and I have several other business -- businesses operating, and I'm involved in many nonprofit initiatives and on a number of boards.

I found that my travel schedule does not permit me to teach every term. So I requested that the school compact my class. In other words, it's a trimester system, and I asked them to take all of my students and put them into one semester so that I -- I can almost guarantee that I'll be there for the one semester, and that allows me to cover all the students in the -- in the photography department,

make sure that they get that education without me having to fly back from England to teach a class and fly to New York and fly back to teach a class the next week and things like this that I have had to do in the past that are expensive and that I have to cover. But I'm very committed to education.

- Q Not to mention jet lag is no fun.
- A No. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q When you say a "trimester," what are the three semesters called?
  - A Winter, spring, fall?
- Q Winter, spring, fall?
- 13 A I think so. I think so.
- 14 Q You're not sure?
  - A I don't do a lot of thinking about the school administrative. I come in -- I come in, I teach my class, and I leave. And I don't get involved in -- I used to be more involved on committees and things like that, but I have -- because of the scope of my other activities, I no longer have time to focus on, let's say -- I wouldn't call them extracurricular, but just additional time that might need to be dedicated to participate more fully in the school.

For example, I was on their copyright

committee and I had a bit of a more active mentoring role outside of my classes. I've curtailed that somewhat, although I do continue it.

So, in other words, to explain, my -- my head is not in the administrative part of the school anymore; so I don't even think about what the semesters are called. I know there's a spring and there's a winter; I think the other one is called fall.

- Q When were you last on the copyright committee?
  - A Has to be six, seven years.
- Q When was the last time you taught a course at the school? And by "the school" I'm referring to the ArtCenter of Design that you mentioned earlier?
- A My last course was a year ago spring. I teach every spring.
- Q So then that would be spring of 2019 or 2018?
  - A I think 2018.

- Q And just so I'm clear now, the last time you taught at the ArtCenter of Design was in the spring of 2018?
- A That's right. And my upcoming class that is confirmed begins in January.

Page 22 What course did you teach in the spring of 1 2018? Α That course that I described earlier, which 3 4 was on the subject matter that I discussed earlier. 5 In 2017 did you teach a course at the school? 6 7 Α I'm sure I did. 8 0 And what was the course? 9 Α Same course. How about 2016? 10 0 To my recollection, yes. I teach every 11 Α 12 And I switched from teaching every semester spring. 13 to -- to once a year some years ago. Could be six, 14 seven, eight years by now; I'm not exactly certain. 15 So in 2016 what course did you teach? Q 16 Α Same course. How about 2015? 17 Q 18 Α It would be the same course. 19 Q How about 2014? 20 Α Same course. And then in 2013? 21 0 22 I don't recall. I mean, it would -- I've 23 been teaching that same course for years and years. 24 And previous to that, I -- just to help you in your 25 line of questioning -- previous to that, I taught

2.3

Page 23

Advanced Lighting course, and I found that teaching two courses and with all the grading that was associated with teaching Advanced Lighting was just too much, given my positions at -- and my employment by the PLUS Coalition and other activities.

So I asked them if I could replace myself with another teacher in the lighting class and I could focus on the copyright class since that's my area of expertise. I mean, I'm also an expert in lighting, but if I was going to teach one thing there and bring a special scope of knowledge that the other instructors may or may not have, that is it.

And so, aside from that, I -- I teach workshops on occasion to students who request -- request to participate in workshops. And I do that periodically; the last one that I might have taught would have been most likely two years ago.

And those could be focused on business.

Some of the students have a strong interest in -- in things like protecting their copyrights, copyright registration. They want additional -- more than just the three weeks that I might spend on it in the class, three or four weeks, they want additional assistance. Or it might be lighting, or it might be

what have you. And so I do that on request.

2.3

And in addition I provide off-the-clock consultation and mentoring to students, usually -- I keep it to three hours a week, and that's every week consistently if I'm in town. So I will accept -- if students ask, they can come meet with me. If they've ever been in my class in the last 25 years, no matter what stage they are in in their career, they can make a time with me and I will sit down with them and counsel them on whatever -- whatever issues they're facing.

Might be business strategy, it might be self-doubt. You know, artists experience a lot of issues and emotions. So I'll sit down with them.

And that's part of my community service, I guess you could call it.

- Q Now, the -- the course that you're teaching in spring of 2020 that you mentioned earlier --
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q -- is there a syllabus for that course?

A I don't know. I did get a message from the college asking me to submit a syllabus, and usually I ignore that message because I just don't have the time. But I teach -- I guess -- the simple answer would be there's likely a syllabus somewhere.

- Q When was the last time that you gave a student in that class a course syllabus?
  - A Never.

2.3

- Q When was the last time that you gave the school a course syllabus for that course?
- A I don't recall when I gave the school -- I mean, I testified earlier that I don't recall when the last time is that I submitted a syllabus and that I generally, with all due respect to the school, don't create a syllabus. I -- but I -- I'm glad to talk about what I actually teach there.
- Q Is it a requirement of the school that you actually submit a syllabus?
- A I think it's a requirement of the certification authority that governs colleges on -that offer degree programs, that they have a syllabus. So I suspect that they're using a syllabus that I submitted at one time or another; I do not recall when I submitted it.
- Q You mentioned earlier that you work for an organization that I think you called the PLUS Coalition; is that correct?
- A Yes, sir.
  - O What is the PLUS Coalition?
- A The PLUS Coalition is a 501(c)(6) nonprofit

2.5

Page 26

organization, and the mission is to simplify and facilitate the communication and management of image rights. It's a coalition of all of the industries involved in creating, distributing, using, and preserving photographs.

For example, that would include advertising agencies; design firms; publishers; museums; libraries; creators, such as photographers and illustrators; artist representers; and others who have interest in that topic.

We have a board of directors -- I don't know a count of it right now, but 7 to 12 people. It's supposed to be around ten. And they -- each seat in the organization -- each seat on the directors board represents an industry sector. So every industry sector has one vote in anything that we consider and any action that we take.

Primarily we focus on the creation of standards and guidelines for the communication of image rights, both human to human and machine to machine. As well, we are in a very long process of creating a global registry of image rights information.

Q Do you work full-time for the PLUS Coalition?

A They consider me a full-time employee.

Q I don't understand. What does that mean?

A It means I have an employment contract with them; it does not say part-time. I'm paid a salary.

I -- I'm sitting here right now on a weekday; so I'm not working full-time for the PLUS Coalition.

However, as we get into this, you'll see my other business activities and directorships and my board understands what I'm involved in and they hired me with that understanding. But nobody said, "We are hiring you part-time," put it that way. I need to accomplish the goals that are set out for me, and they're not concerned with how much time I -- they don't have me clock in and out, let me put it that way.

Q Let's just say for 2019 up to this point, how much time on average each week do you spend working on the PLUS Coalition or for the PLUS Coalition?

A Last week -- at least 50 hours last week. I tend to work 18 to 19 hours a day, seven days a week, year in and year out.

Q I understand, but that wasn't really my question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Page 28

My question was, during 2019, on average, approximately how many hours do you work for the PLUS Coalition? I don't compute that number. So "on average" would require that I take the number of days and the number of hours and divide them. don't do that math and I don't track my time. But I'm approximating -- I know how heavily I worked last week; the week before I might have been working on -- you know, as a volunteer on the CASE Act with Congress. Or I might have been -- you know, that kind of thing. Last week was a particularly heavy week for the PLUS Coalition. On average, do you work more than ten hours a week for the PLUS Coalition? Α Yes. On average in 2019, is it more than 0 20 hours a week? Α Yes. On average in 2019, did you work more than

30 hours a week for the PLUS Coalition?

I would say that in the neighborhood of Α 30 hours could be the average. It's speculation; I don't like to speculate in depositions.

mentioned before, I don't do the math.

2.3

So you're asking me more than, more than, more than, more than, and I want to give you accurate testimony. I know I worked 40 hours -- approximately or more or -- or -- I worked a lot of hours last week, and the previous -- the previous week I worked less hours. But the previous week to that, I could have worked 80 hours.

And I don't track it. So it's all -you're asking me questions that are forcing me to
speculate. I should just say I can't speculate on
that; so I'll try and do that going forward here.

Q Well, it sounds like my business in terms of there are times when you work harder than others.
We, of course, keep track of our hours --

- A For good reason.
- Q -- yes -- in the legal business.

But there's a big difference, you would agree, between working 10 hours a week and working 30 hours a week for a company.

Would you agree?

A Depends -- yes, but it also depends on how efficient you are and what your activity is at the time. So you can accomplish quite a bit in a very focused 10 hours on a particular task, and then

spend 40 hours the next week working on menial things and not accomplish very much.

Q Understood.

2.3

But in terms of the amount of time, you would agree that 10 hours is, of course, significantly less than 30 hours a week?

A Yes, sir.

Q And I would assume that you can perceive the difference on a weekly basis in terms of the number of hours and the effort that you're putting in at the PLUS Coalition in that respect?

A Counselor, it's all a blur to me. I just press forward, put out fires, try and advance my various initiatives that I'm involved in, and I don't pay attention to a lot else.

And so I don't mind my time in relation to all of these other initiatives that I'm involved in.

As you can see on my CV, there's quite a few.

So it's difficult to provide accurate testimony, but I can say I agree that there's a difference between 10 hours and 40 hours; that's just straightforward fact.

I work at -- you know, when the need for me to put in time arises, I put in the time. If I've got volunteers -- which I do around the world,

working with us and for us -- and I can rely on them and I can delegate, I will.

And then if the volunteers drop out or some huge metadata announcement happens in the world, then I have to jump in. And last week we had Adobe announce a major initiative, and I -- it just sucked me right in.

And so contacting all these other organizations, making sure that we're in the conversation, making sure that we're taking a leadership role with Adobe. And that is accomplished, and now we will see what happens.

- Q Is there anyone else at the PLUS Coalition who keeps track of your hours? In other words, how many hours you work a week for the PLUS Coalition?
  - A No.

- Q Are there any full-time employees employed by the PLUS Coalition?
  - A Just me. And I believe I'm full-time.
- Q Are there any part-time employees employed by the PLUS Coalition?

A There have been -- not today. But there have been until about a year ago a part-time employee who worked from the period approximately 2004 or 2005 through 2017 or 2018, middle of the

year -- I think it was 2018 -- middle of the year, at the PLUS Coalition, who was handling administration.

And at times we had a second part-time employee, various people moving through this secondary assistant-type position to the administrator. And her role was triage, handling inbound calls and emails, requests for customer service, people having problems on the website.

Her profession was bookkeeper; so she was attending to bookkeeping for the organization and interfacing with the CPA. Just making things work. I would hand things off to her and she would hand things off to me, but --

- Q What's the name of the person who worked part-time for the PLUS Coalition from approximately 2004 through mid-2018?
  - A Pam Gligoriu.

2.3

- Q How do you spell that?
- A It's a tough last name. G-l-i-g-o-r-i-u.
- Q And where does Mr. Gligoriu live?
- A During her tenure with the PLUS Coalition, she lived locally in Santa Clarita, and when she left to pursue another job offer in Santa Clarita, closer to her home than Pasadena, she still lived in

Santa Clarita.

2.3

I believe now she lives in Las Vegas.

Q If I were to ask you the same questions that we just went through about the number of hours you work on average per week for the PLUS Coalition regarding 2018, would your answer be the same?

A I believe my answer was it varies, and there could be -- a couple of weeks can go by when there is little activity, and then the next week I work a hundred hours in the week and the next week I work a hundred hours in the week.

But yes, the answer is the same: It's not predictable. Announcements and developments in the industry can drive it, as well as issues with our -- if we have technical issues that I have to jump in and resolve with our website or registry development, that can take up a lot of time.

Q In 2017, if I were to ask you the same question about your work hours for PLUS in 2017, would your answer be the same?

A I think I consistently worked 40 or 50 hours in 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, and back from there.

O Understood.

So it was after 2017 when the change took

place?

A I wouldn't call it a change. It's in flux. So once we hand over a project to a developer and once all the specifications are done and things are approved and we're waiting for results back from the developer, then the amount of time that's required of me decreases.

And I'm more of the delegate or interfacing with other organizations, keeping up with other initiatives. And so the time burden on me goes down.

I do assume a lot of -- a lot of work

because certain things I can't delegate, but on the

other hand, we don't have a volunteer who, you know,

cleans the floor at the office. So -- so that can

be me; right? As -- as one of the half hours that

I -- that I put in.

Q I appreciate all that, but my question was, was it after 2017 when the change took place between an average of 40 to 50 hours a week and then how you described your time in 2019 and 2018?

A I don't -- I don't view it as a change. So you'd have to rephrase your question.

Q Fair enough.

In -- at any time during your time while

Page 35 you've been employed by the PLUS Coalition, did 1 2 anybody else keep track of your hours besides you? Α No. 3 4 Q Okay. Had your compensation changed at any 5 time, let's say over the last seven years, with the 6 PLUS Coalition? 7 My compensation has never changed for the 8 PLUS Coalition since I was hired in 2004. In other words, your salary has not 9 10 changed? Correct. 11 Α 12 Q Okay. 13 If I requested it, I would probably get a Α change; but I -- it's partially a volunteer effort. 14 15 Q I see. 16 (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 5 17 was marked for identification by the 18 Court Reporter.) 19 BY MR. MARDER: 20 I'm showing you what's been marked as Q Exhibit 5. Please take a look and let me know when 21 22 you have finished looking at it. 2.3 I have finished looking at it. Α 24 Do you recognize Exhibit 5? Q It's our annual 990-EZ form. 25 Α

Page 36 And the 990-EZ form in effect is the tax 1 2 return -- the federal tax return that nonprofits file; is that correct? 3 I'm not a CPA or accountant, but I do 4 5 understand that we file this form every year. 6 Q Got it. 7 Now, the Box C up at the top of the first 8 page has the name of the organization and then there's an address below that. 9 10 Do you see that? Yes, sir. 11 Α 12 That address is 2797 East Foothill 0 Boulevard. 13 Did I read that correctly? 14 15 Α Yes. Is that the current address for the PLUS 16 17 Coalition? 18 We're down the street now. 19 So the answer is no, that is not the Q current address? 20 21 Α Correct. 22 And when did the PLUS Coalition leave the 23 Foothill Boulevard address? 24 Α Not absolutely certain, but we moved 25 offices I think in March or April of 2017?

Page 37 the best of my recollection. 1 2797 East Foothill Boulevard in Pasadena, Q California, is that actually an office or is that a 3 residence? 4 Α Office. 5 6 Was there a suite number or was --7 Α 210. 8 0 Suite 210. 9 Α Sorry to speak over you. No, that's okay. 10 Q So the PLUS Coalition address at the 11 12 Foothill Boulevard address was in which suite? 210 came to mind but it could be 220. 13 Α But there was a suite number. 14 15 Got it. I just want to make sure the court 16 reporter got it, since we had some -- some talking 17 over each other. 18 Now, I want you to look a little bit down 19 that page, the first page of Exhibit 5, to line 12. 20 Do you see that? 21 Α Yes. 22 "Salaries, Other Compensation, and Employee 23 Benefits"? 24 Α Yes. And what is the number that's entered 25 Q

	Case 1.10-cv-03403-3AG Document-193-199-intelled 07/20/21 Page 40-04/03/15, 2017		
	Page 38		
1	there?		
2	A 133,082.		
3	Q So, in other words, \$133,082?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q And then please turn to the next page.		
6	And if you'll look down at the bottom where		
7	it says Part IV, do you see that?		
8	A Yes.		
9	Q Do you see your name listed?		
10	A Yes, sir.		
11	Q And it shows you as the president and CEO.		
12	Is that accurate?		
13	A That's correct.		
14	Q How many average hours per week does it say		
15	in this tax return filed with the federal government		
16	that you worked at during 2015?		
17	A Forty.		
18	Q And what does it show that your reportable		
19	compensation was for that year?		
20	A 78,000.		
21	Q Now, the other compensation in other		
22	words, the difference between the 133,000 shown on		
23	the first page and the 78,000 that it shows was paid		
24	to you on page 2 who was that paid to?		
25	A Could be the administrator and any		

part-time employees. I also frequently defer my salary for the -- that's what happens when you're a CEO of a nonprofit. So I will defer my salary for periods of time -- not forgive my salary; defer -- and then eventually the -- when we have sufficient revenue to advance our goals and -- and pay me, then I get paid the whole amount of my compensation.

But I do that voluntarily; I'm not asked by the board to do that.

- Q And I presume there are accounting records of your deferred compensation within PLUS Coalition's books?
  - A I think so, yeah.
- Q And I would assume that the accountants who prepared this tax return would have -- would have that information?
  - A Sure.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

25

Q I'm now going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 6, or what will be marked as Exhibit 6.

(Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 6
was marked for identification by the
Court Reporter.)

## 24 BY MR. MARDER:

Q Can you just take a look at Exhibit 6 and

Page 40 let me know if you recognize that. 1 2 Looks like the 2016 990-EZ form for the PLUS Coalition. 3 4 Now, on Exhibit 6, when we look at Box C up 5 near the top, do you see the address? 6 Α That's our current address, absent the unit 7 number. 8 0 And what is that address? 145 North Sierra Madre Boulevard, Unit 4. 9 Α It does not say Unit 4; blame my CPA. 10 Is that a residence or an office? 11 Q 12 Office. Α 13 And do you actually have an office there? 0 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 And do any other businesses have offices Q 16 there? 17 Α Not in Unit 4. 18 0 Okay. And how long has the PLUS Coalition 19 been at the Sierra Madre Boulevard address? 20 Well, we're reporting it here in 2016; so Α it could be that we moved at some point in 2016. 21 22 Do you recall when the company moved to the 23 address at North Sierra Madre Boulevard? 24 Α I testified earlier that I thought that it 25 was in March of 2017; it could be at some point in

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Docume 1 13, 2019 Page 41 I'm not sure as to that date. 1 2016. I need to correct a previous answer. What's that? 3 Q 4 Α You asked if any other businesses have a 5 presence, and I said not in Unit 4, and I forgot about my uncle Barry. 6 7 So my uncle Barry contacted me and asked if 8 this extra space that I have -- I have an empty office in my office. We have a couple thousand 9 10 square feet, and it's just me in there. And so he asked if we had an extra office. So he occasionally 11 12 comes in and he contributes toward the rent. 13 So that's the correct answer to your 14 earlier question. I just forgot about my Uncle 15 Barry Sedlik. 16 Thank you, and I do appreciate you 17 clarifying that. 18 Who owns the current office? 19 Α I think the company is called Spectrum, but 20 I'm kind of confused as to their corporate entity. 21 They go by Spectrum Properties.

> Now, let's take a look a little bit further down on this document. And if you look at line 12, where it says "Salaries, Other Compensation and Employee Benefits, " do you see that?

22

2.3

24

Page 42 Yes, sir. 1 Α What's the number that's listed there? Q Α 104-. 3 4 Q Can you be precise with --5 Α 104,594. 6 Q Thank you. 7 And then let's turn to the next page. 8 under Part IV, do you see your name there? Yes, sir. 9 Α And what does it show is the average hours 10 per week that you devoted to the position in 2016? 11 12 Α They reported 40 hours. 13 And what is the reportable compensation 14 that is listed here as having been paid to you that 15 year? 16 It appears I got demoted to 72,000 from Α 17 78,000 in the -- in the previous year, but it could 18 be that either one of the payments was made, you 19 know, in December the previous year or in January of 20 the next year or just not paid. Do you know if the PLUS Coalition keeps its 21 22 books on a cash basis or an accrual basis? 23 Α I believe we're on a cash basis. So if your 2016 compensation was paid in 24 25 2015, that would be reflected in your -- in the 2015

	Page 43		
1	tax return; correct?		
2	A I would think so, yes.		
3	Q Now, when we look at the first page of		
4	Exhibit 6 and we look at line 1, where it says		
5	"Contributions, Gifts, Grants, and Similar Amounts		
6	Received" do you see that?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q What is the number entered for that?		
9	A 8,962.		
10	Q And then go down to line 9.		
11	What was the total revenue earned by the		
12	PLUS Coalition in 2016?		
13	A 9,468.		
14	Q I'm going to show you what we're going to		
15	mark as Exhibit 7.		
16	(Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 7		
17	was marked for identification by the		
18	Court Reporter.)		
19	BY MR. MARDER:		
20	Q Please take a look at Exhibit No. 7.		
21	Do you recognize Exhibit No. 7?		
22	A It's our 2017 990-EZ.		
23	Q And please take a look down at the line		
24	which is line 12, "Salaries, Other Compensation, and		
25	Employee Benefits."		

		Page 44
1		Do you see that?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	How much was paid in 2017 according to
4	line 12?	
5	А	2,904.
6	Q	And now let's look at the next page.
7		And if we look at Part IV, do you see your
8	name?	
9	А	Yes, sir.
10	Q	And what does it show your title as?
11	А	President and CEO.
12	Q	And according to the federal tax return
13	filed by	the PLUS Coalition for tax year 2017, what
14	were the	average hours per week that you devoted to
15	that pos:	ition in 2017?
16	А	The reported hours are ten. Or is that a
17	thousand	? I I don't know. There's no decimal
18	point.	
19	Q	A thousand hours per week?
20	А	There's no decimal point, but I think it's
21	ten, yeal	n.
22	Q	Okay. It's more likely it's ten, isn't it?
23	А	Yes, it is. Just
24	Q	We lawyers work a lot, but even we don't
25	reach a	chousand a week.

Page 45 That is true, sir. 1 Α Yeah. 2 Now, if you look in Column C, what was the Q reportable compensation paid to you in 2017, 3 4 according to the tax return? 5 Α Zero. 6 Now, if we look on the first page of 2017, 7 under line 9 -- excuse me -- in line 9 for total 8 revenue, what was the total revenue of the PLUS Coalition in 2017? 9 Α 8,609. 10 And then the PLUS Coalition of course 11 0 12 fairly recently filed its 2018 return; correct? 13 Α I believe so. As you know, I've been out 14 of the country; so I'm fairly certain that we filed 15 it. 16 One would assume that --Q 17 Α One would assume. 18 And if we were to look at the numbers on Q 19 the 2018 return for your compensation, would they be 20 in the same range as in the 2017 tax return? 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 And if we were to look at the hours that 23 you worked on average per week in 2018 for the PLUS 24 Coalition, would they be approximately the same as

in the 2017 return?

A Listening very carefully to your question, you said, "If we were to look at the hours that you worked."

If I can understand that question to refer to the hours that are entered into the form, the stated hours in this -- in the return, I do not know, because I didn't consult with the CPA, but it could be.

- Q That number does not sound unreasonable for 2018?
  - A It sounds very unreasonable.
- Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A It -- it could be the number that was reported. I don't know. I mean, my actual hours are very significant.
- Q So let me ask you, sir, do you understand the legal obligations of a president and CEO of a nonprofit when filing a form 990 with the IRS?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And do you understand the legal obligations to make sure that what is reported to the IRS is accurate?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And as you sit here today under oath, is it your testimony that the 2018 tax return is not

100 percent accurate?

- A It's my testimony that I likely worked more hours than appear in the tax return.
- Q My question to you, sir, is, the 2018 return filed by the PLUS Coalition with the IRS, was that 100 percent accurate when it was filed?
- A And my answer to you is that I worked more hours than I reported in the form; the amount of income is accurate.
- Q So when we look at -- at Exhibit 7, which is the 2017 Form 990, where the tax return reports an average of ten hours per week devoted to the position by you, your testimony is that that is not accurate?
- A I worked more hours than ten hours on the PLUS Coalition. So that -- that reporting would not be accurate.
- Q Where did your accountants -- yours meaning the PLUS Coalition's accountants -- get that ten hours per week number that is in Form -- the Form 990 for 2017?
  - A I don't recall, sir.
- Q Did you look at the Form 990 before the -the accountants filed it with the IRS?
  - A It's possible. I'm sure it was sent to me.

2.3

Page 48

We're currently using our past employee as a freelance bookkeeper, and so it's possible that she looked at it and approved it. She's been working for us a long time.

But I did -- you know, I take responsibility for the submission of the form. I take responsibility for the accuracy or inaccuracy of the information on the form. And I'm telling you that I worked more hours than are stated on the form.

And if -- whatever you want to do about that is fine by me.

Q Is it your intention to have a corrected Form 990 filed with the IRS now that I've pointed out the inaccuracy to you?

A I will discuss the matter with my CPA. I don't have any intention sitting here at this moment.

Q Now, let's keep going through your -- your CV because I want to make sure I understand other things in your CV and I'm clear on them.

The PLUS Coalition, please explain to me what work the PLUS Coalition does that relates to determining the license fee for a photograph?

A Relates to? So the clarity of the

2.3

Page 49

specification of the licensing terms ensures that both parties to a transaction understand the scope of the license granted, and that does relate to the fees, if any, that might be exchanged as compensation for the license. It's not -- doesn't necessarily affect it, but it is related to; so I'm answering your question.

The PLUS Coalition specifically does not discuss or even contemplate the value of the licenses due to the nature of the coalition. We have the buyer side; the middleman -- middle persons, like the stock agencies; and the licensors -- licensors, licensees, and agents all involved. And then we also have the preservation side involved.

And so we -- we're hands off on any discussion of what the value of a license might be.

Q Does the PLUS Coalition collect any data about the actual amounts paid for photography licenses?

A Just thinking back 15 years, I'm not sure that we've never received data. Which, if we receive it, then you could say we collected it.

We've never requested that data.

And I don't know that -- the reason why I'm

hesitant in my response is I recall, as we were building the standards, that our volunteers collected what are called licensing matrices from various stakeholders: Getty Images, Corbis, and other stock photo licensors.

And by "licensing matrix," I would mean the choices -- it's essentially -- you could think of it as a spreadsheet, just to put it in simple terms, but it's the choices that you have on your licensing an image -- let's say you could pick one year, two years, five years, ten years, et cetera -- in the information that we received -- this would be in 2006, 2007, 2008, 2010 -- there were percentages included in some of the spreadsheets that we received.

I don't think that was intentional; I think people were just being generous in sharing their business practices with us. So we did not use it, but we collected it unintentionally and ignored it.

## O Understood.

2.3

So would it be fair to say, then, that the PLUS Coalition has never collected and analyzed data on the value of paid-for photography licenses?

A Not in dollar amounts. But in terms of relative value, perhaps. And what I mean by that is

in certain licensing models, the greater the scope of use, the greater the fee, typically.

And in the creation of standardized matrices or licensing menus or guidelines for the creation of menus or suggestions for how menus might be constructed, there is some inherent concept of value that's unavoidable in -- in presenting that information.

For example, the hierarchy of a licensing menu from one month to ten years or more than ten years. The hierarchy of a licensing menu from a quarter page to a full page, from usage in -- on one street corner versus usage worldwide.

There might be some value implied by the structure of the licensing menus, the hierarchy of the menus that we built, but it would have been unintentional.

## Q Understood.

2.3

And I want to make sure that I understand what you're saying. From the time the PLUS

Coalition was formed, sometime in the early to mid-2000s until today, although the PLUS Coalition may have collected some data that contains information about the value of images, the value of licenses for images, the PLUS Coalition has never

intentionally analyzed those to determine how much different types of photographs -- how much the licenses for different types of photographs cost?

A Cost or should cost. And the answer is yes, we have not.

Q It's a terrible question.

2.3

So let me -- let me rephrase it, make sure we both are on the same page.

In other words, the PLUS Coalition has never collected data and then looked at that data to analyze how much money has been paid for licenses for photographs?

A Not as an official practice. I don't know that no volunteer representing the PLUS Coalition has ever done that, but not to my knowledge sitting here today.

Q You can't point to any of that type of work done by the PLUS Coalition today?

A Certainly it's not our intent, and our board of directors would be opposed to our involvement in setting prices or suggesting prices.

And I'll take that a step further: We don't promote any one licensing model. So the royalty-free model, which I'm sure we'll talk about later, the rights-managed model, and any model in

the future, it's not our concern; we just want people to be able to communicate rights information without misunderstandings.

Q I appreciate that. We're going to talk more about that in a little bit, but that doesn't really answer the question.

The question was, you can't point to any of that type of work done by the PLUS Coalition today?

The answer I hear you saying is that no, you can't point to any of that type of work that has been done by the PLUS Coalition as of today; is that correct?

A Your question is in the negative; so I would have to say yes, we can't.

Q Yeah. Another one of those great lawyer questions.

A That's all right.

2.3

Q But I want to make sure we're clear because I don't want to misunderstand you.

As you sit here today, can you point to any work of that nature done by the PLUS Coalition since it was formed?

A I cannot point to any work done by the PLUS
Coalition to my knowledge toward determining or
recommending dollar amounts to be associated -- or
pricing to be associated with licenses.

Q You mentioned earlier that some of your matrices that were collected may have inadvertently contained some pricing information during the 2006 to 2010 time period.

Did I hear you correctly?

2.3

A That's incorrect. Not pricing information, but the percentage difference, for example, between a use in the United States only versus use in United States and Canada.

Percentages sitting there in formulas, in spreadsheets, errantly included in submissions to us, which we then ignored -- although I can say I looked at it, but the PLUS Coalition ignored.

And I did note in looking at that information that across all of the stock agencies, it appears that they're all copying from each other or all copying from the market leader, because these percentages, like 46.5 percent between "x" number of years and "x" number of years, were identical in the submissions given to us.

Now, in my capacity as the PLUS Coalition president and CEO, I did nothing with that information other than to observe it and to learn from it about industry practice.

I would doubt that there are many other

people who have seen the licensing matrices from multiple stock photography agencies all in one place.

- Q Since the 2006 to 2010 period, have you collected any of that type of data?
- A No. We're not going to be doing that for another two years.
- Q And how about you personally outside of the PLUS Coalition?
- A Oh, I collect all kinds of data all the time about pricing and licensing and -- I have -- I've many activities outside of the PLUS Coalition.
- Q Good. We'll talk about that later when we get to those activities.
- A Counselor, with due respect, you said have I personally, and you didn't mention -- I don't recall you saying in my capacity with the PLUS Coalition in that question; so I was trying to answer you fully.
- Q Yes. And that was exactly what I was asking --
  - A Okay.

- Q -- about whether you have done that work outside of your position with the PLUS Coalition.
  - A Most definitely, yes.

that correct?

Page 56

Q We'll talk about that in a little bit.

You mentioned earlier that part of the mission of the PLUS Coalition is to promote clarity in the specifications for photography licenses; is

A To simplify and facilitate the communication and management of image rights would encompass clarity and communications about image rights.

Q Why is clarity important in that context?

A To avoid misunderstandings. There can be words that parties have different understandings of when they're communicating about image rights.

Q And how is it that the PLUS Coalition has tried to create a common understanding of what words mean?

A So when we formed the coalition, in our initial activities, the board of directors, the founding board of directors, decided that we -- or we were concerned that we didn't know that we could get all of these different stakeholder groups, most of which are at each other's throats and have opposite or let's say conflicting goals -- would collaborate and could agree on anything, even the time of day.

That was a little joke.

2.3

But we were concerned that -- that we would not be successful in getting all these groups to cooperate. Even one photography association with another photography association, they're at each other's throats at the time.

And so we decided to have the first step be to have everybody submit lists of the words and phrases that they use when describing image licenses -- and that would be when requesting an image license or when offering it or when granting it -- submit words, which we then collected, made a list of, developed an online system for people to log into and to exchange their thoughts and opinions about what these words mean.

What does the word "brochure" mean, would be an example? What does the word "annual report" mean, or the phrase "annual report"?

To our surprise, we had a fantastic cooperative spirit, and it's because what -- what I did as the CEO is I said, everybody needs to leave their baggage at the door. The publishers and the stock agencies don't get along. The photographers and the stock agencies don't get along. Certainly publishers and photographers don't. The ad

agencies, their trade association really don't get along with the photography association.

2.3

Leave all that at the door, which is mostly concern about pricing and scope of rights, and we're just going to talk about what the words mean, and then we're going to assign a number to every word.

And so if people would like, they can use these numbers or the words themselves to communicate image rights. And whether that's in casual conversation or in a document or in embedded metadata or between two computers talking to each other, a bit more clarity and less chance for misunderstanding.

And so we set about developing definitions for words and using them in example sentences and alternative meanings of the words, and even suggestions for words that are likely to cause misunderstanding, this type of thing.

We had at least 500 people who were a core group. We had about ten people who were -- well, six to ten people who were, like, managing editors. And then, beyond that, we had 1,300 to 1,500 people in 120 countries participating in submitting their suggestions for what these words mean.

And we decided to start it in English.

It's never been a U.S. initiative, but we had to

start in one language; so we decided to start it in English.

2.3

And we worked our way through a thousand or 1,500 terms -- I don't know the exact count -- and developed definitions for those terms and then put it to -- put it out to the marketplace for comment, accepted comment, made edits, put it back out, three times, and then finally, final version and a vote by this balanced and neutral board of directors, to approve these definitions.

Now, is this an ANSI standard or, you know, an official standard in the United States? No.

It's a standard developed through a grass-roots effort that people can use or not use.

But it's seen acceptance around the world.

It's not pervasively accepted, but as I look around in various countries and in various applications and websites and industry groups, pieces of this standard are in use all over the place.

Now, it's what we call the PLUS glossary, and then we set about developing other standards or guidelines.

Q That was going to be my next question.

When a copyright holder is negotiating a license with a particular potential licensee, does

the copyright holder have any obligations with respect to that negotiation?

A Legal obligations or professional obligations? We should probably tackle those things --

Q Any obligations.

2.3

A From a professional standpoint, the copyright owner or its representatives should make an effort to clearly communicate the rights information that they are offering in response to a request or offering speculatively.

That offer increasingly and -- increasingly is made in emails by my fellow photographers, sending emails that are more narrative than precise.

But my answer is that from my perspective, the photographer should make an effort to inform the client of conditions, permissions, constraints, obligations, and that can be in a formal estimate or in an email or otherwise.

That was my answer about professional obligation. I can answer, I suppose, on the legal side, although it would be legal -- it could be construed as legal opinion. But my -- it's where I live everyday as a licensing expert; so I can explain my opinion about legal obligations if you

wish.

Q In addition to the lighting class that you mentioned earlier and the workshops that you mentioned, have you taught any other photography classes at the College of Art and Design, or whatever the name of the school is?

A Not recently. I mean, I'm sure I taught other classes along the way. Also, frequently teachers call upon other teachers to be guest teachers. They're not -- I guess in a public school you'd call it substitute teacher. But I'll be asked to come in to take over a class and teach it. I just don't have specific recollection of the number of times that's occurred, but probably less than a hundred times over the course of my 15 years.

Q During your career have you ever taught photographers about photography composition?

A Yes.

Q During the course of your career, have you ever taught photographers about proper exposure?

A Yes.

Q During the course of your career, have you taught photographers about techniques to obtain sharp images?

A Yes.

Q And when I say "sharp images," what do you understand that to mean?

A A layperson's term referring to something in the image being in focus.

Q Have you taught courses at any time during the course of your career, for instance, that discuss depth of field?

A You inserted the word "courses." That wasn't in your previous question. I believe your previous question was have I -- during the course of my career, have I ever taught this subject and that subject and this subject.

I haven't taught a course in depth of field, but within my teachings I have taught that subject matter.

Q During the course of your career, using the word "course" a little bit differently, have you ever taught photographers how to use different lenses to achieve different effects?

A Yes, sure.

Q During the course of your career, have you taught photographers about the different characteristics that can be obtained with different types of lenses, such as, for instance, differing depths of field?

A I'm sure I have. You know, thinking back -- my focus has been on copyright for the last 20 years. So thinking back to miscellaneous events at which I've spoken or groups of photographers that I've spoken with and what the subject matter touches on, I can say that I have taught on technical and creative topics.

That has been not my area of focus as an educator because others are able to do that and my specific area of expertise is the area of copyright and licensing.

Q And we're going to spend a lot of time on that in a little bit. I'm going to define some of the areas that I understand you've worked in or have not worked in, and we'll delve into some of them more in a little bit.

A Okay.

2.3

Q At some point in your career, is it accurate to say that you spent more of your time taking pictures than focused on copyrights and copyright licensing and the things that you are focused on today in your career?

A As a ratio, yes. That was really your question, was more time.

So I graduated from the ArtCenter College

2.3

Page 64

in 1986 and spent some time building my studio. But at that time I volunteered for the Advertising Photographers of America for their copyright and advocacy committee as a person of -- was I 22 years old at the time or 24 years old?

And since that time I have been involved with trade associations, spending what my wife thinks is an inordinate amount of time, week in, week out throughout the year, weekdays and weekends, on nonprofit activities that I continue to do today.

As a proportion of the time focused on creating new images for advertising clients, which was my primary focus, advertising editorial clients, that has decreased over the years.

Q Let me approach it this way: When was the last time you photographed anything for a client?

A Can you be more specific about that?

Because I have my advertising photography, and then
I have, you know, miscellaneous photography where I
might -- let me just answer your question, then you
can be as specific as you want to.

Probably, from my recollection, within the last three or four months. I have a client coming to my office tomorrow to meet about photographs that he needs, tomorrow at noon.

I'm -- I'm not actively promoting myself as an advertising photographer at this time because of my focus on all of the other initiatives that we're going to speak about today.

Q I understand there are only so many hours in a day, other than a thousand per week at times.

A Right.

2.3

Q So, again, I'm going to try to approach it from different angles just so I understand your background and -- and what your focus is now versus in the past.

A Yes.

Q The client who is coming in tomorrow for photographs, what type of photographs is that client asking for? In other words, what are they for? Are they for head shots? Are they for an advertising campaign? Are they for asking you to go out and shoot war photographs?

A It's something to do with the promotion of "Star Wars." And other than that, I'm not really certain. He wanted me to sign an NDA when he comes, and --

Q Fair enough.

What industry is -- is that work for?

A Entertainment industry.

Page 66 Got it. 1 0 Before that one, when was the last time 2 that you -- you did photography work for a client 3 4 for money? 5 Α Within the last few months. What industry? 6 Q 7 Would have been forensic work. I think it 8 was a truck accident. Other than in connection with forensic work 9 Q 10 or other litigation support, when was the last time that you did actual photography work for a client 11 12 for money? 13 Α You mean assignment work or licensing? 14 0 Good question. Assignment work. 15 It would be at least two years ago, but I Α 16 don't -- could be three years ago. 17 What industry was -- was it at that time, 18 or industries? 19 Α I'm not -- I'm not certain, but I know in 20 the last couple of years. I've kind of phased out 21 the assignment photography side of things. As I 22 mentioned, I don't promote myself. 2.3 So in the last three years or so, I -- you 24 know, this year, the biggest project that I've been involved in is -- it's a big project in Africa that 25

I bid on and then it turned out to be something where I couldn't dedicate three weeks of time in Africa to this particular project. So I did the estimate and then I backed out.

That doesn't answer your question, but, you know, I'm trying to advise that, you know, I still get clients contacting me and asking me to work for them, and then I have to make decisions because of these other obligations.

- Q During the course of your career, have you ever worked for a news media organization?
  - A I'm sure I have.

2.3

- Q As an employee?
- A No. Worked for as a photographer.
- Q Okay. Which news media organizations have you done work for as a photographer?
  - A Can I have a look at my CV?
- Q Oh, absolutely. And it's -- it's there and marked in front of you. So if you need to look at it at any time, please feel free. And just, when you do so, let me know and refer to the page that you're looking at.
- A Okay. So I'm looking on -- looks like my CV could use some page numbers, or they just didn't come across in this printout.

Page 68 I'm under the section "Partial Client 1 2 List, " which is the second page. "News Media." 3 Did you say news media or television media? 4 Q News media. I don't know if A&E Television Network is 5 Α 6 considered news, but I'll continue through this 7 It says A&E Television Network. 8 I don't know if the 20th Century Fox job was for -- or jobs was for news media within that 9 organization. 10 CBS. Well, that might be CBS Sony 11 BBC. 12 Music. 13 Q I was just going to ask you about that. Sorry to speak over you. 14 Α 15 I don't see CBS on here; I see CBS/Sony Q Music. 16 17 Α Right. 18 NBC Television. 19 MTV Networks is not specifically a news 20 organization and I don't recall what I did for them, but it could have had to do with their news 21 22 reporting. 23 But you have no idea as you sit here today? Q I don't. Same with Paramount Pictures. 24 Α As you sit here today, you don't know 25 Q

Page 69 whether you did work for Paramount Pictures that 1 2 relates to news media; is that --Α I do not. 3 4 Turner Broadcasting, I'm certain that was 5 related to their news operations. 6 Moving down into editorial, "Los Angeles 7 Magazine, " "Los Angeles Times Magazine, " "Music 8 Connection, " "Newsweek, " "Photo District News, " "Pulse," "Premier," "People," "Rolling Stone," 9 "Select," "Spin," "Time Life," "Entertainment 10 Weekly, " "Glamour, " "Guest Informant, " "Interior" --11 12 sorry -- "Imperial Press," "Interiors and Sources," 13 "JAZZIZ," "Jazz Times," "Cosmopolitan," "Details," "Downbeat," "Elle," that's E-1-1-e, "CD Review," 14 "American Film," "Arts and Entertainment." 15 16 It is a partial client list. 17 So let's go through some of these that you 18 mentioned. First, under the section of your CV that 19 says "Partial Client List - Sedlik Production/Sedlik Design" -- do you see that? 20 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 -- you mention A&E Television Network. Q 23 Yes. Α 24 Do you see that on there? Q 25 What work did you do for A&E Television

Page 70 Network that related to news media? 1 Not sure. Α So how is it that you believe it related to 3 Q news media? 4 5 Α It could relate to news media. And when I testified about that, I said I wasn't -- I believe I 6 said I wasn't certain that it wasn't an organization 7 within them, but... 8 Understood. 9 0 10 There are -- there are -- I'm sorry to speak over you. Can I --11 12 Q Please clarify. 13 Any television network has -- or had a Α number of shows, and some of those shows are news 14 15 related or masquerade as news. And so whatever I 16 did for them could have been news related; so... 17 Well, I'm asking you today for your memory 18 of what you did, and if you don't remember, please 19 let me know. 20 Okay? For every entity that I mentioned, it --21 22 those are the ones that were most likely news 2.3 I don't have specific recollection of related.

As you sit here today, please identify even

particular jobs for those entities.

24

25

Q

one job that you did for a news media organization?

A I answered the question already. I can't remember the specific jobs. So, as I sit here today, I can't speculate as to what those jobs were.

However, if you -- if -- if the Court agreed that you are allowed to get into my financial background and -- and my past jobs and I was forced to go through all of my records and pull all the jobs, the thousands upon thousands of jobs, and identify which ones were for specific news topics, then I would do so if forced.

- Q When was the last time that you believe you actually did photography work for a news media organization?
  - A Same answer.

2.3

- Q You have no idea when that was?
- A I told you, if forced, I would do the research necessary to answer your question fully.
- Q My question, though, is what your recollection is today.

As you sit here today, is it fair to say that you don't remember when the last time it was that you did photography work for a news media organization?

A That's right. And that answer does not

2.3

Page 72

imply that I did not do the work or that I did very little work. It's just I can't recall. I'm focused on other things.

So I would provide the information if -- if you are allowed to extract that from me. I don't think it would be appropriate, but I would have to comply if forced.

- Q Well, let's -- let's try to approach it this way: Do you believe that the last time that you did photography work for a news media organization was in the 21st Century?
  - A You mean from 2000 on? Right?
  - Q That is the 21st Century. Yes, sir.

A I believe that, but it's speculative and it's not good to speculate in depositions. So I will repeat that if I had to provide records of all of my jobs for whatever reason, if they're determined to be relevant to this action, then I would -- and I was forced to do so, then I would do so to the extent those records exist.

Q What did you do to prepare for your deposition for today?

A I read my report. I read -- let me be more specific. I read my preliminary report and my supplemental report. I read the reports of

Ellen Boughn and I believe it's Michelle Riley.

2.3

I reviewed pleadings in the matter, interrogatories and their answers, request for production, and production.

I -- I reviewed a lot of material over the last week to make sure that or -- or -- that I could have the -- provide the best possible testimony here today and the most accurate testimony I can.

Q Where are those documents? You didn't bring them with you today?

A The documents are listed in my "Materials Considered" list in my report, such as the complaint. And I'm not going to bring -- or I did not bring copies of documents that you already have that I have. So the complaint only exists in digital form. The answer, the interrogatories.

You know, if you wanted me to print out 15,000 pages of documents at your cost and you pay for my time in doing it, then I will make copies of everything that you already have.

Q Sir, my question was different than -- than what your answer addressed.

My question was very simple: Where are those documents right now? Where do those physically exist right now?

Page 74 They don't physically exist because they're 1 Α 2 digital copies. 3 They're data in a computer? 4 Α Yes. 5 So they exist on your computer at home? at 6 your office? Where? 7 My computer at my office. 8 Okay. Did you take any handwritten notes in connection with this case? 9 10 I don't recall taking handwritten notes in connection with this case. 11 12 If you did take handwritten notes in 0 13 connection with this case, where would you have kept them? 14 15 In the file that I presented to you today 16 doesn't have any handwritten notes in it. 17 Have you ever spoken to Brittney Gobble? Q 18 Α Yes. 19 Q How many times? 20 Α Possibly twice? Once or twice, not -not -- I didn't physically meet with her. 21 22 Q That was going to be my question. 23 Were those conversations over the phone? 24 Α Over the phone. Did you take any notes during those 25 Q

conversations?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I took notes into my report draft for the purposes of filling in blanks in my report or confirming information that I needed for my report.

Q I'm not clear on your answer.

My question was, as you're having the conversation with Ms. Gobble, were you taking notes on a piece of paper?

A No.

Q Did you take notes on a piece of paper after you hung up with Ms. Gobble?

A I took notes, if any, into the draft for my report, as I testified earlier.

Q When did you speak to Brittney Gobble?

A I believe that the date of our conversation is reflected in my preliminary expert report in the footnotes.

Q Other than that, you had no other communications with Ms. Gobble?

A I had two communications, two interviews, and that's it.

Q When you prepared your reports -- let me back up for a second so that we're clear.

You have two reports that you prepared in connection with the case currently pending in

Page 76 Maryland; correct? 1 I'll have to say that I'm going to assume that "pending in Maryland" is correct. 3 I see 4 Baltimore is on these documents, and so I'm --5 I've -- I've written two reports in this case. 6 Q That's -- that's what I'm talking about. 7 In this case, the one that we're here for today. 8 Α Yes. However, you also prepared one or more 9 0 reports in connection with a case filed by the 10 plaintiff in Tennessee; correct? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 And when did you speak with Ms. Gobble 14 before you prepared that report? 15 The date would be reflected in the -- I 16 believe would be reflected in the -- in the report. 17 Counselor, may I say, it would be my report 18 in the previous matter. I understand. Thank you. 19 Q 20 Okay. Α Other than speaking to counsel in this 21 22 case, do you recall speaking with anybody else in 23 connection with this case? 24 Α Stock photo agencies. I don't know if Johnnie Gobble was on the 25

call back -- you know, I think I had one call with Ms. Gobble for the previous case and one call in relation to this case. I mentioned I've had two calls, I believe. And I don't know if Johnnie Gobble was on or not on those calls.

Photographers.

I'd need to look at my footnotes. I have a lot going on and I don't recall, you know, everybody I've spoken with.

Q And we're going to go through your report in a lot of detail later; so let's put aside that portion of your answer till then.

Okay?

A Yes.

2.3

Q But we'll get those footnotes out for you in a little bit.

A So my testimony is that I would need to look at my report to refresh your recollection to answer the question you're asking me right now accurately.

Q Understood. And we'll get to it shortly.

Excuse me.

What did you do to prepare for your deposition today other than what you've already mentioned?

Reviewed documents. I've already -- so you 1 Α said other than conversation with retaining counsel, what did I do? And then I answered that question. 3 4 I think I answered that fully. 5 How many times did you speak to counsel about your deposition today? 6 7 When you said "today," at the -- I'm not --8 I'm not playing games with you. When you said "today" at the end of that sentence, how many times 9 did you speak to him today or about my deposition, 10 period? 11 12 That's a fair clarification. 0 13 Α Want to cut "today" off the end of that? 14 Let me do it this way: Other than speaking 15 to Mr. Allen or Mr. Quisenberry about scheduling 16 today's deposition, did you have other communications with them about this deposition? 17 18 I was here yesterday to discuss the 19 deposition with Mr. Allen. 20 Approximately how much time did you spend Q here yesterday with Mr. Allen? 21 22 From 10:00, approximately, to -- I don't 23 recall the time I left, but maybe 6:00, 5:00, 24 something like that.

Was anybody else present for that meeting?

25

Q

	Casc 1.10-cv-054	
		Page 79
1	A	No.
2	Q	Did Mr. Allen provide you with any
3	document	s yesterday?
4	A	I don't believe so, but I did request
5	copies o	f the most recent interrogatory and request
6	for prod	uction responses. I think I think he
7	gave me	those two documents.
8	Q	Anything else?
9	A	I don't believe so.
10	Q	Did you ask for any other documents that
11	you have	not yet received from Mr. Allen?
12	A	Over the course of my engagement or in
13	anticipa <sup>4</sup>	tion of this deposition?
14	Q	During your meeting yesterday.
15	A	No.
16	Q	Did you take any notes during your meeting
17	with Mr.	Allen yesterday?
18	A	No.
19		MR. ALLEN: It's 11:43. Should we take a
20	break?	
21		MR. MARDER: Yeah, let's stretch our legs a
22	bit. Be	a good time
23		MR. ALLEN: You okay now?
24		MR. MARDER: to stretch your legs.
25		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	I .	

	Page 80
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
2	record. The time is 11:43 a.m.
3	(A recess was taken from 11:43 a.m.
4	to 11:59 a.m.)
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on the
6	record. The time is 11:59 a.m.
7	MR. MARDER: Let's go ahead and mark these
8	two, please.
9	(Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 8
10	and Defendants' Exhibit 9 were
11	marked for identification by the
12	Court Reporter.)
13	MR. ALLEN: These are
14	MR. MARDER: His reports.
15	MR. ALLEN: If you're not handing me a
16	copy, let me know what this is, I can pull it up if
17	it's one of the exhibits.
18	BY MR. MARDER:
19	Q Mr. Sedlik, I am handing you two exhibits,
20	Exhibits 8 and 9.
21	And just to speed things along with us, is
22	Exhibit 8 your preliminary expert report issued in
23	this case dated April 12th, 2019?
24	A Yes. Without the exhibits.
25	Q Yes. And then is Exhibit 9 your

Page 81 surrebuttal expert report submitted June 21st, 2019? 1 I'm not sure that there were It looks like there's a "Materials exhibits. 3 Considered" list attached. 4 5 MR. ALLEN: Counsel, you don't have copies for me for these; is that correct? 6 7 MR. MARDER: No. I did not bring the 8 reports or the exhibits across the country. As I 9 mentioned, there were thousands of pages. MR. ALLEN: I understood the exhibits; I 10 just wasn't sure if that included the report. So 11 12 that's fine. I'll just --13 MR. MARDER: Yeah. 14 MR. ALLEN: -- pull it. 15 MR. MARDER: Rob, I do happen to have an 16 extra copy of his preliminary report I can give you. 17 And my apologies. You know I normally like 18 to provide those, but it was a long flight. 19 All right, Mr. Sedlik, so I have given you, Q so that you will have in front of you, Exhibits 8 20 21 and 9, your two reports. At times we'll be 22 referring to those; so now at least you have them in 2.3 front of you to refer to. 24 Α Thank you. 25 And then, lastly, why don't we mark the Q

Page 82 index to exhibits. 1 (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 10 2 was marked for identification by the 3 4 Court Reporter.) 5 BY MR. MARDER: 6 And I've shown you what's been marked as Q 7 Exhibit 10. 8 And -- excuse me -- is Exhibit 10 a list of the exhibits to your preliminary report? 9 I'm not aware of the practice in 10 depositions for exhibits; so I didn't -- I didn't 11 12 place the index on the back of the case caption 13 page; you did. This -- this wouldn't be how I 14 presented it. 15 But yes, that index to exhibits was 16 attached to that report. 17 I copied on two sided for this case. 18 I'm trying to be good to the trees today. 19 Α Very good. 20 Now, your last report that I have is dated June 21st, 2019, which is Exhibit 9. 21 22 Do you see that? 23 Α Yes. 24 What work, if any, have you done in 25 connection with this case since issuing your

surrebuttal expert report on June 21st, 2019?

A I think that there was quite a bit of back-and-forth communication about the scheduling of this deposition, because I was at our home in Greece at the time, and so I dedicated time to those discussions.

I dedicated time to telephone conversations with retaining counsel whenever he would call me.

And then the preparation for this deposition that we discussed just prior to the break.

Q Since issuing your surrebuttal report on June 21st, 2019, have you reviewed any other documents or materials in connection with this case?

A I watch the docket, and when there is a new entry in the docket, I will review it, unless it's something procedural.

I believe that there have been some interrogatory answers and response to requests for production and a few miscellaneous items that -- I'm a little confused as to whether there have been relevant motion- -- I know that there were some -- some discovery issues.

I'm sorry, I didn't precisely answer your question there. I can try again if you ask it

again.

2.3

Q Other than what you have seen on PACER and the discovery documents that you may have been provided by plaintiff's counsel, have you reviewed any other documents or materials in connection with this case since you issued your surrebuttal report?

A Right before the break we talked about a lot of different review, and I mentioned what I had done and reviewed.

So are you including that? You want me to testify again about all of that? Or prior to my preparation for the deposition, are we talking about the period between submission of the report and then all the activity that I mentioned before we had a break?

Q Other than what you have previously mentioned in connection with your deposition preparation work with Mr. Allen, have you reviewed any other documents or materials in connection with this case since you issued your surrebuttal report?

A I like that question, and I don't believe so, other than what would be in my "Materials Considered" list for the report. In other words, new documents or what have you.

But I have a "Materials Considered" list

2.3

Page 85

with each report; I don't understand you to be asking about those, because I could have reviewed those at any time. And then the PACER documents since then, and the production that you mentioned, and then the deposition preparation that I've already testified about.

I don't believe so, not that I can recall.

- Q Are there any errors in either of your two reports?
- A Not that I have identified or that have been identified to me.
- Q Have you been asked by anybody to form any additional opinions in connection with this case after this deposition?
- A No. I will say that in my report, I repeatedly reserve the right, to the extent that I have the right, to revise my opinions in the event that any of the listed assumptions are shown to be incorrect. Those assumptions were given to me; they're not my own assumptions. And also should additional information come to light.
- I -- as I recall, before the preliminary report there was quite a bit of information that had not yet been produced that I thought would be relevant to my opinions; that's why I was reserving

Page 86 that right. And, you know, one area that comes to 1 mind is the recent production of advertising revenues that I didn't have at the time. 3 4 But I think I've just gone beyond the scope 5 of your question. No, I have not been asked to form 6 7 additional opinions. 8 Q Thank you. Just trying to be helpful. 9 Α That was my question. 10 0 Have you communicated with a litigation 11 12 finance company in connection with this case? I don't think I've ever communicated 13 Α with -- well, no. 14 The answer is no. 15 Have you provided any information to 16 plaintiff's counsel for plaintiff's counsel to give 17 to a litigation finance company in connection with 18 this case? 19 No, not -- well, not that I recall. 20 mean, I don't -- I don't believe I know any 21 litigation finance companies. 22 Originally, if I recall, you were retained 23 by Mr. Quisenberry and his law firm in connection

I'd have to look at my engagement letter to

with this case; is that correct?

24

25

Α

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Docume 1 13, 2019 Page 87 see whether I was retained jointly by his --1 Mr. Quisenberry's firm and the Gobbles or -- or Brittney Gobbles' corporation, or I was retained by 3 4 the firm. So I do have a copy of my engagement 5 letter here today that's been marked. And please feel free to refer to either of 6 Q 7 your two files, which are Exhibits 3 and 4, if you 8 need to answer any of my questions. For the record, I'm opening the folder that 9 Α is Exhibit 4, and within that folder is my 10 engagement letter. Try and comply with counsel's 11 12 request that I keep the order the same. 13 The order isn't as important as making sure 0 what's in File 4 stays in File 4. 14 15 Ah, yes, okay. Okay. Α So I have in front of me within Exhibit 4 a 16 17 document dated September 27th of 2017 that is my 18 engagement letter in the matter. It's entitled 19 "Consulting Services Agreement." 20 Who retained you? Q 21 In Section A of my engagement letter, it

states (as read):

22

2.3

24

25

Responsibility: Party and law firm are jointly and severally responsible for full payment --

I'm going to interrupt myself. 1 I'm going 2 to move up to the preamble that says (as read): 3 Jeff Sedlik (Sedlik) is hereby engaged by the above-listed party 4 5 and law firm, jointly referred to herein as, quote, client, unquote. 6 7 And then it goes on. 8 So, to answer your question, the above-9 listed party is Brittney Gobble Photography, LLC, 10 and the above-listed law firm is Polasek, Quisenberry & Errington LLP. 11 12 0 Prior to your September 27th, 2017, 13 engagement in connection with this matter, had you ever met Brittney Gobble or Johnnie Gobble? 14 15 No. Α 16 Prior to your September 27th, 2017, 17 engagement in connection with this case, had you 18 ever met Mr. Quisenberry? 19 Α No. 20 Prior to your September 2017 engagement in 0 21 this case, had you ever done any work for Mr. Quisenberry's firm other than this case? 22 2.3 My history goes back 20 years, and through Α 24 the course of those 20 years, sitting here today, I

don't recall ever communicating with or being aware

25

of that firm.

2.3

I would need to do some kind of review to see if they had contacted me about another case in the past, but I think not.

I think -- the first contact I had was from -- was in relation to this case. I was unfamiliar with Brittney Gobble Photography and the firm and Mr. Quisenberry at that time.

- Q Before Mr. Allen became involved in this case, had you ever worked with him before?
  - A I had been retained by -- yes.
- Q How many times?

A I'm going to have to just think out loud here. I had been retained as an expert in a matter that's listed in my cases; I believe it's "Photographic Illustrators Corporation v. Sylvania" or "Osram." And a case, "Navarro v." -- "Procter & Gamble." Mr. Allen was one of the attorneys, not the lead attorney working on that case.

Can you just restate the question? I just want to make sure that I precisely answer exactly what you ask because I was doing a little thinking there.

Q Before Mr. Allen became involved in this case, had you ever worked with him before?

"Photographic Illustrators Corp.," "Navarro 1 Α 2 v. Procter & Gamble." And when you say "worked with him," it would have been I was retained by a firm at which he

worked and he was on the case. But those are the two that I can recall sitting here at the moment.

- Are you currently working on any other matters with Mr. Allen other than this case?
  - Α Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0 How many?

I'm going to again have to just go through Α my head and remember; so I can't count them without recalling the cases.

So there's only "D'Pergo v. Sweetwater."

- So that's one? Q
- I think that's one. I had to think --Α think it through for a second.
- Q Have you issued your report in that case yet?
  - Yes. Α
    - How did you get involved in this case?

Α I was retained by Mr. Quisenberry -- or Quisenberry; I'm not sure how to say it -- on a case that was the -- the Gobbles were the plaintiff against WENN, W-E-N-N. And then, along the way, I

was asked to work in this matter.

Q Now, the prior case, which is "Gobble" -we'll call it "Gobble v. WENN," the Tennessee
case -- is it okay if we just refer to that as "the
Tennessee case"?

A Okay.

2.3

Q Prior to the Tennessee case, you previously said you'd never worked with Mr. Quisenberry or his law firm; so how did you and Mr. Quisenberry become connected?

A Oh. That I don't know. My -- people contact me and ask if I have served as an expert or would like to serve as an expert or -- or what have you. And that's likely how I became involved in the case, an inquiry from Mr. Quisenberry.

Q Have you or anyone on your behalf ever monitored copyright cases that have been filed and then contacted lawyers involved in those cases seeking to be retained?

A Yes. When time permits, either myself or my ex-employee Pam Gligoriu I mentioned before would look through copyright cases that relate to photography and -- and it was primarily Pam -- and would reach out to those -- oftentimes, when the case is filed, there's just the plaintiff's attorney

listed. So it depends on when we see that case.

There's -- there's too many cases to monitor and contact all -- you know, all attorneys for all litigation related to copyright. Obviously we don't have the time to do that.

But oftentimes the first attorney that's listed, if -- if Pam would have seen that, she was directed to send an email to that party with my -- oftentimes with my CV to ask if -- you know, to -- to -- to describe my capabilities and experience and to suggest that if they need an expert, they can contact us.

- Q Is that how you became involved in the Tennessee case?
  - A I really don't know, Counselor.
- Q Did either you, Pam, or some entity that you were involved in subscribe to a service that she would review their materials to find these new cases?
  - A I subscribe to PACER.
- Q Now, PACER, you have to go in and do searches; right?
- A Yeah.

2.3

Q Other than PACER, do you now or in the past have you ever subscribed to a service that would

Page 93 give you emails or other types of information about 1 newly filed copyright cases? Α Yes. 3 What was that service? 4 0 5 Just to differentiate, your first question Α 6 was did we, to be involved in this case, and your 7 second question is different; is that correct? 8 Second question is different. Α Yeah, okay. Then I understand it. 9 LexisNexis. 10 And tell me what information you would 11 Q 12 receive from LexisNexis. 13 Α List of copyright cases. Do you still subscribe to that service? 14 0 15 I do, but I'm in the process of terminating 16 it. 17 When did you first subscribe to that Q 18 service? 19 Α Year, year and a half ago. 20 0 Prior to a year or a year and a half ago, did you subscribe to another service that provided 21 similar information? 22 23 Α I mean, we might get emails from The 24 Copyright Alliance or Library of Congress or legal 25 publications that talk about new cases that pop up.

Or I might see something in the news or what have you.

And -- but the answer is no, I didn't -- to my knowledge, I didn't subscribe to anything other than, like, an email subscription. We used PACER until very recently, like within the last two years. And as I said, I'm terminating LexisNexis; it's just useless.

- Q You -- you mentioned Pam -- what's her last name, Gregariu?
  - A Gligoriu.
- Q Gligoriu?
- 13 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q I'm going to call her "Pam," if that's okay with you.
  - A Okay.
  - Q Was Pam your personal employee, or did she work for PLUS? I don't remember.

A She worked for PLUS and my company. PLUS could not afford or I couldn't justify having a full-time person. So she would -- for PLUS, I could not afford -- PLUS could not afford to have a full-time administrative person; there's not that much administration. So I offered up the board to cover her salary personally for the time that she

wasn't working on PLUS.

2.3

So the answer is she worked for both; she worked 15 minutes for one company and 30 minutes for the other company and what have you, back and forth, and tracks that time and then she's written two separate paychecks.

- Q Other than Pam reaching out to lawyers in cases, have you personally reached out to lawyers in cases seeking to become involved in those cases?
- A Yes. And I should also say that when Pam has done it, she does it as me from my email account.
- Q And now my question is very specific: It's whether you personally have done that?
  - A Yes.
  - Q How many times?
- A I don't know. I don't count the times. If I have quiet time at the end of the day, usually that's 1:00 or 2:00 in the morning when I've finished work, and I can spend an hour going over cases that have come out, then I will occasionally go through it.

And it's not really systematic in any way; perhaps it should be, but I'm very busy with other things. And so I don't -- I'm not aggressive in

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Docume Mcff S3-S5 dlikiled 07/20/21 Page 96

promoting myself as an expert. I'm busy with other things.

But I do, when I see a case come up that I think is interesting from a copyright perspective or that I -- where I think my expertise would be of benefit to allowing the parties and the Court to better understand the situation, then I will contact them.

Q Do you know what an expert witness service is?

A I mean, "expert witness service" can mean all kinds of things.

Q What does it mean to you?

A It can mean a company that offers education to experts. It can mean a company that has experts who are its employees or any kind of contractors. It can mean a company that acts as a directory and takes inbound calls and emails and then, you know, participates in vetting the expert and matching up the expert with the client.

Q Let's talk about that latter type of expert witness service.

Okay?

A Yes.

Q Are you listed with any of those types of

expert witness services?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I'm sure that I am, but I couldn't tell you That could be found with a Google search. But I directed Pam to make sure that if somebody was looking for me or was looking for an expert with my type of expertise that I could be found. And I authorized her to spend -- I think a thousand dollars a year or something like that -- I don't know the amount -- if there's a charge for listing. And that's in total, you know, throughout

multiple listings.

And in fact, sir, you have paid to be 0 listed with these types of expert witness services; correct?

Again, I'm not closely monitoring that. I -- I have paid, but I have not initiated or done the research. In other words, the end result is that Pam, if she's done what I've asked her to do, would have found companies and uploaded my information and paid using my credit card.

Now, those payments have to be made annually, don't they?

I do not know. But I would assume annually, quarterly, monthly -- I do not know.

And you are aware, sir, that you have paid Q

numerous expert witness services to be listed with them, aren't you?

A What does "numerous" mean? I think I've paid one or maybe two, and others are -- offer free listings. And still others, there's -- there's quite a few services that go out, take expert witnesses' names and contact information from various sites and put it on their own site without contacting the witness, and then accept calls and emails and route those inbound inquiries to other experts. And that is problematic.

But I think maybe one or two, one being -I don't -- I don't know the names, actually, because
I'm not really paying attention to that.

MR. MARDER: Let's go ahead and mark that, please.

MR. ALLEN: Is this 11?

MR. MARDER: That's what my records show.

THE REPORTER: Yes.

(Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 11

was marked for identification by the

Court Reporter.)

BY MR. MARDER:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Please take a look at Exhibit 11. And just to move things along, expert -- excuse me,

Exhibit 11 appears to be a printout from a website with a URL on the bottom of the first page for a company called ALM Experts; is that correct?

A Yes.

- Q And it has your name and contact information on the front page; is that correct?
  - A Yes.
- Q How much did you pay ALM experts to be listed with them?

A I have no idea. I limited Pam to a budget of a thousand dollars a year for all expenses having to do with expert promotion. So I would assume that she did not exceeded the budget, but I don't really check.

Currently, she's my bookkeeper. She makes -- you know, she works remotely as a freelancer and pays my bills and runs on autopilot because she's -- she started working for me in 2001. So I don't think about day to day, and I don't think about things like this.

Q Looking on Exhibit 11, do you see about two-thirds of the way down, three lines below your name is a URL of www.photographyexpertwitness.com? Do you see that?

A Yes.

Page 100 Do you recognize that website address? 1 0 That's a domain that I own. Α That's what? I didn't hear you. 3 Q 4 Α That is a domain that I own. When did you register that domain name? 5 0 Α I do not know. Some time ago. I do know 6 7 that I don't put any effort into it; it's just a text site with information about my requirements on 8 I tried -- in a moment where I had free time on 9 a weekend, I created a WordPress version. And I 10 don't know if I actually moved the site into that 11 12 WordPress version or what have you. 13 But it is one embarrassing thing about me, 14 is my poorly designed expert witness website. 15 just do not have and don't expect to have the time 16 to put any effort into it. I don't believe I've 17 touched it in quite some time. 18 MR. MARDER: Let's mark that, please. (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 12 19 20 was marked for identification by the 21 Court Reporter.) 22 BY MR. MARDER: 2.3 Please take a look at Exhibit 12. That's a 0 24 two-page exhibit on the front and back. Again, I'm 25 trying to save the trees.

Do you recognize Exhibit 12?

A It looks like a printout from my website, and it does say "Copyright Jeff Sedlik 2010." I don't know that I've been to the site since -- that was nine years ago.

Usually I'm pretty buttoned up about all my public-facing appearances, but I'm not really focused on my expert witness.

Q Why did you create the website www.photographyexpertwitness.com?

A So that I could work on cases that -- if I have an opportunity to work on cases that were of interest, whether for plaintiff or defendant, where I could bring my expertise to bear, that's all.

Otherwise, nobody could find me.

Q Please take a look at Exhibit 13.

(Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 13

was marked for identification by the

Court Reporter.)

## BY MR. MARDER:

2.3

Q And again, just to move things along,

Exhibit 13 appears to be a printout from a website

from expertpages.com, and it has your name on the

first page; is that correct?

A Yeah. I'm not really familiar with this

entity.

2.3

Q Now --

A I do -- I'm sorry to cut you off there. I see that it's got the wrong address on there, and I think we moved in 2006, we established.

So Pam, when she left for the other company, probably stopped updating things. And I certainly am not monitoring.

Q Now, where it says "About the Expert," do you see that language?

A Yes.

Q That information is actually created by the expert witness and given to the service; correct?

A I don't know that. As I mentioned a few minutes ago, some of these sites just go grab experts' information and make listings and post them and then pass that work along to other experts.

They create a form on their page that -through which people can express interest in hiring
the expert and then goes to -- goes to the service
and they pass the work along to whoever is paying
them, I suppose. I don't know.

Q So is it your testimony here today that the first sentence under the heading about the expert which begins, "The leading consultant and testifying

expert witness" -- is it your testimony you did not draft that sentence or have somebody draft that on your behalf?

A Let me just look and see if it's from my -- one of my -- let me just see here, from this website here. Copyright licensing. Let's see.

Well, there it is, so it's -- it's from the first paragraph of my website: Copyright, licensing, contracts, business practices, industry standards.

So -- I mean, I wrote the text that's on my website, or one of my employees did, but I would take responsibility for it. And that same text ends up on ExpertPages. And either this is one of the sites that Pam put it on, could be a free site, could be a paid site. Could be a paid site that has free listings. And that looks like a copy/paste to me, except for the words "deleting."

Q Sir, my question to you is -- is very narrow.

My question is, the first -- let me rephrase it.

The heading that says "About the Expert" on Exhibit 13 -- do you see that?

A Yes, sir.

- Q Do you see the paragraph below that?
- A Yes, sir.

2.3

- Q My question is very narrow: Either you drafted that and provided it to ExpertPages or someone drafted that and provided it to ExpertPages on your behalf; correct?
  - A Sounds like you're ignoring my testimony.
- Q No, sir. I want to make sure I understand your answer, because you haven't answered the question.

A You asked me that question, and my response, with all due respect, was that it -- expert sites take content from expert -- I mean, expert services or sites that act as directories take content from experts' own websites, copy it without permission, create listings for those experts, and then reroute those inbound calls to others.

So I don't know where this came from, but it appears to be word for word what is on my website.

So I drafted what is on my website, or an employee drafted it. And my testimony was that either ExpertPages went to my site and took it and put it on their site, or it could be a site that Pam

Page 105 submitted my information to. 1 I don't know the -- so I don't know which 3 one of those things occurred, but it is rather nefarious what some of these sites do. 4 (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 14 5 was marked for identification by the 6 7 Court Reporter.) 8 BY MR. MARDER: 9 Please take a look at Exhibit 14. And I 0 10 want to direct you to the web address down in the lower left corner of Exhibit 14. 11 12 Do you see that? 13 Α Yes. What is the website address on the bottom 14 15 of the front page of Exhibit 14? 16 Α Professorjeffsedlik.com. 17 And do you own that website? Q 18 I own that domain. The website is 19 published on that domain through an internet service provider. 20 21 And it was created by you or someone on 22 your behalf? 23 Α Yes. 24 By the way, do you have an office in New York? 25

2.3

Page 106

A I have. At the moment I -- I have a phone number that rings in Los Angeles, but I had a photography studio in New York, and it rings here when I'm here and then it rings to my cell phone when I'm in New York. I'm in New York quite a bit.

Q Now, you just testified that you had a photography studio in New York. My question is a little bit different.

My question is, as you sit here today, do you have a photography studio in New York?

A Beginning of my answer was that I had and I do not have today an office in New York. Although, when I'm in New York, I either use friend's offices or what have you.

Q And do you consider an office the same thing as a studio?

A Usually a studio has an office in it. I have -- running four or five different businesses simultaneously, and everywhere is an office to me, my home, my place here, my place in Greece, I have an office there.

Q So let me go back to my question. My question was not about an office, but my question was whether you had currently -- my question was whether you currently have a photography studio in

Page 107 New York? 1 No, I don't have a photography studio --Α Have you ever had a photography studio in 3 Q 4 New York? 5 Α I've shared photography studios in New York, multiple photography studios, but 6 7 primarily New York photographers rent studios. 8 would extend in my experience to 98 percent of the professional photographers in New York. 9 And so I rent studios when I'm in New York 10 or I would rent studios when I need to shoot in 11 12 New York. Otherwise, I use office or desk space of friends and associates. 13 (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 15 14 15 was marked for identification by the 16 Court Reporter.) 17 BY MR. MARDER: 18 Q Sir, do you have a profile on LinkedIn? 19 Α I do. 20 Please take a look at Exhibit 15 and let me 0 21 know if that is a printout of your profile on 22 LinkedIn? 23 I haven't looked at it recently. That is 24 one of my photographs that's at the top, and that's 25 a photograph of me, and I don't recall placing

either one of those there, but maybe Pam did. But this appears to be a copy of my LinkedIn profile.

And it is dated 11/6/2019; so it would have been printed recently.

Q I have a couple questions about this. I just need a little clarification.

Do you see under "Experience"?

- A Yes.
- Q And do you see "PLUS Coalition, Inc."?
- 10 A Yes.

- Q And below it it says "33 years"?
- 12 A Yeah. I don't know where that's coming 13 from.
  - Q And then do you see below that, it says that you were the president of the PLUS Coalition from 1986 to present?

A Yeah. I don't know what that -- where that's coming from either. It's not something we would have entered. But I do know, for example, that the incorrect logo shows up on our LinkedIn page and information frequently seems to get scrambled.

But PLUS Coalition was founded in 2004. I started working on the concept for PLUS Coalition in 1998, but I was not then an employee of the PLUS

Coalition. But my involvement goes back to 1998.

Where it says president, that could apply to my photography company. In fact, I do think that what's missing from this printout -- it just hasn't printed out correctly -- are the names of the companies that go with each one of these things.

You might visit my LinkedIn profile and let me know. I say that because oftentimes when you print out a web page, it scrambles the information.

Q And then, below that, sir, is an entry which says "President, Sedlik Consulting and Copyright Expert Witness Services."

Do you see that?

A Yes.

2.3

Q What is that entity or organization?

A It's not a separate business entity. I'm curious to see what it actually says on my LinkedIn page online because I don't want to rely on this representation here.

Q You've never heard of Sedlik Consulting and Copyright Expert Witness Services?

A No. I'm saying it says 1990 to present, and I'd like to know, that time frame, is that connected actually on LinkedIn with the text above it, or is this an inaccurate representation? I

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Documente 168 13 2019 Page 110 don't know the answer to that question. 1 2 So we have a laptop here at the table that Q is connected to the internet. So I'm going to turn 3 4 this toward you. 5 Do you see the -- the web page that's in 6 front of you on the laptop? 7 Α I do. And does this appear to be a LinkedIn page? 8 Q 9 We're going to take it step by step; so... Yeah, yeah. It does appear to be a 10 Α LinkedIn page. 11 12 Q And do you see the web address at the top? 13 Α Yes. Does the web address at the top of the web 14 15 page on the screen that we're looking at match the 16 URL, the website page on the bottom of Exhibit 15? 17 Α Yeah. But it's quite different in 18 appearance. 19 I didn't ask you that. I asked if the --Q if it matches, if it's the same URL address? 20 21 Α My bifocals miss that range, but --22 Q Let me pull it closer to you. 23 Okay. Α 24 Yeah, I have the same issue with glasses. Q

Okay. Yeah, it does match.

25

Α

Page 111 It does match? 1 0 The URL matches. Α And do you see the picture at the top? Not 3 Q 4 your picture but the picture at the top? The profile picture or whatever you might 5 6 call it. 7 0 Not your profile picture, but there's a --8 Α Banner. Rectangular -- banner, thank you. I 9 0 couldn't think of the word -- there's a rectangular 10 banner picture at the top. 11 12 Do you see that? 13 Α I'm not sure why that's there. Do you recognize that picture? 14 0 15 I took that photograph somewhere in London Α for an editorial client within the last three years, 16 17 and -- or within the last two years, and I don't 18 recall putting it on this page. 19 I believe I put it on my Facebook profile, 20 and perhaps somehow there's a connection between 21 LinkedIn and Facebook and it's ended up over here 22 or --23 Where have you ever heard that LinkedIn Q 24 pulls information from Facebook to populate a LinkedIn profile? 25

A Well, are they -- are those companies connected?

Q I'm asking you, sir, where have you ever heard that or read that?

A I'm just saying -- my testimony stands for what it is. I posted this picture to my Facebook page, and I don't know the relationship, if any, between LinkedIn and Facebook. You know, Facebook and Instagram are connected. And also, when you post your -- a profile picture in one place, it starts showing up on many other places as kind of a -- whatever that word is -- avatar for you, even on companies that aren't connected.

So...

Q That wasn't my question though. My question was -- was a little different.

A Okay.

Q My question was, where have you heard or read that LinkedIn pulls information from Facebook to populate LinkedIn profiles?

A I didn't say that I had heard that. I said that I posted that image on Facebook, and now it's on LinkedIn and I don't really know how it's ended up there.

Q And then the profile picture on the web

Page 113 page, the LinkedIn page that we're looking at on the 1 screen, matches the one on the printout of Exhibit 15, doesn't it? 3 4 Α Yeah. And my Facebook profile picture. And let's scroll down a little bit to where 5 0 it says "About." 6 7 Do you see that? 8 Α Yes. 9 0 Can you read the "About" section on the screen in front of you, please. 10 Out loud. Move it closer for you. 11 12 Α (As read): 13 Jeff Sedlik is a photographer, 14 director, educator, publisher, and 15 consultant. He's a leading expert 16 on image licensing, copyrighting, 17 and the business of photography. 18 Sedlik is president of the PLUS 19 Coalition, past national president 20 of the APA. Recognition: 2005 IPC 21 22 Photography Industry Leadership 23 Award; 2006, Photo Media Photography 24 Person of the Year; 2007, APA 25 Industry Advocate of the Year; 2008,

	Page 114		
1	Honorary Master's Degree for		
2	Professional Achievement, Brooks		
3	Institute; and then CLIO, C-L-I-O,		
4	Ozzie, O-z-z-i-e, Creativity Award;		
5	Art Director's Club; Communication		
6	Arts (10); One Show PDN/Nikon; One		
7	Show again; "Art Direction"		
8	Magazine.		
9	And then specialties are listed below.		
10	Q And that information appears to be		
11	accurate; correct?		
12	A Yes.		
13	Q Now, let's scroll down on the laptop page		
14	to look at where it says "Experience."		
15	Do you see that?		
16	A Yes.		
17	Q And the first entry says PLUS Coalition		
18	<pre>Inc.; correct?</pre>		
19	A Yes.		
20	Q And what's it say right below it?		
21	A It says "33 years."		
22	Q And that matches Exhibit 15; correct?		
23	A It does, yeah.		
24	Q And now let's go down to the bottom under		
25	"Experience" under PLUS Coalition, and it says		

1 | "President"; correct? "1986 through present,

33 years, Los Angeles, California, " on the screen.

Did I read that correctly?

- A Yeah. I can see that there's a problem here with some corruption of their database. For example, the logo on the left is not my logo, S-e-d-1; it's some other company's logo that neither myself nor anybody employed by me nor anybody ever working for me associated with this LinkedIn page.
- Q What information do you have that LinkedIn databases have ever been corrupted?
- A That's a corrupt database right in front of you.
  - Q Other than that?
- A The other information there is not -- would not be information that I would have entered or that anybody working for me would have entered. For example, 33 years at the PLUS Coalition.
- Q So other than what's on the screen in front of you, put that aside, what other information have you obtained that LinkedIn's database has ever been corrupted so that it shows incorrect information on the computer?
- A I don't have any other information other than I'm looking at a logo that's not mine, never

been associated with my account, and is, as the result of database corruption, showing up on my account.

2.3

Q So is it your testimony, sir, that if we were to send a subpoena to LinkedIn and obtain the IP address where the information came from to create this LinkedIn address, it would not come back to a computer that you owned or had access to at the time?

A It would -- first of all, knock yourself out on that subpoena.

Secondly, I would have entered that I began working for PLUS in 2004; I would not have entered a date that was -- that would result in some kind of 33-year thing.

Certainly my business, when I started doing business, would have been back then as a photographer. But I would not, did not, have not entered that information. So it's showing up incorrectly.

And if it were to show that I entered information, it would not show that I entered incorrect information; it would show that the information that I entered is not accurately represented on the LinkedIn page.

Now let's scroll down a little bit further. 1 2 And it says right below that (as read): President, Sedlik Consulting and 3 4 Copyright Expert Witness Services. Did I read that correctly? 5 Α Yes. 6 7 Have you ever heard of Sedlik Consulting 8 and Copyright Expert Witness Services? 9 When I work as a consultant, I -- my Α company is called Sedlik Consulting, but it's not a 10 separate business entity; I just refer to it as 11 12 Sedlik Consulting. 13 0 Well, even if it's not a formally created entity, have you ever told anybody or written to 14 15 anybody that you are affiliated with Sedlik 16 Consulting and Copyright Expert Witness Services? 17 Α That is a title that I believe that I could 18 have entered. I don't recall entering it, but it 19 accurately describes part of what I do, among my 20 many other business activities. 21 Now, below that, it says "Professor, 22 ArtCenter College of Design." 23 Do you see that? 24 Α Yes, sir. And we talked earlier about your position 25 Q

1 | with the ArtCenter and how long you've been there.

Now, under ArtCenter College of Design and the title "Professor," it says "January 1994 to present."

Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q And by the way, we're referring to the -- excuse me -- to the -- the laptop in front of you; correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, in 1994 you did not have the title of professor at the ArtCenter College of Design, did you?

A No, I would not have had that title. It's my current title. And in the interface for LinkedIn, you enter the company that you work for. It asks you when you started working for that company and when you ended at that company, and it asks you what your title is.

Q What was your title at the ArtCenter College of Design in January of 1994?

A I don't know. I earned that title along the way, as I testified earlier. I was probably "teacher." At least that's what the students call me.

Q Further down on your LinkedIn page, there's an entry that says "Faculty, American Law Institute, 2016 to present."

Do you see that?

A Yes.

2.3

- Q What is the American Law Institute?
- I have been asked I think on three occasions to teach courses. And when they gave me my name badge, they call me faculty, and when they address me, they call me faculty. So -- and I will continue to do that when asked.

I do -- as part of what I do, I frequently speak at law firms -- I'm sorry -- at law schools and help -- and I'm a guest speaker in classes in which attorneys are taught about copyright and licensing, and that's what I speak on exclusively.

Q How many classes or courses or seminars or workshops, or whatever they may be, have you taught for the American Law Institute?

A I would have to check, but two or three in those years.

- Q How long was each of those? In other words, how many minutes or hours?
  - A They're usually -- oh, I don't know. You

know, it's -- you can tell me how long the CLE courses are. Are they a half day or three hours or --

Q It's --

A -- I --

Q -- for me to ask the questions.

A Yeah.

Q I'm asking you, with respect to the -- the classes, courses, workshops, whatever they may have been, that you did for the American Law Institute, how long was each one?

A I'm not sure, Counselor.

Q Do you hold any professional certifications?

A I'm not certain about that. I know I've taken courses, but I'm not certain actually about certifications. There are no certifications in photography other than for portrait photographers, who get, like, a little ribbon they can where and a little plaque they can put in their window from the Professional Photographers of America.

And so I'm not -- I'm actually not sure if I have any certifications. There -- I don't believe so. There is the chance that I do, that I've just forgotten about it over the course of the years.

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Documente 168y 18 edition 07/20/21 Page 12 2019 Page 121 Do you hold any -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you. Α "Over the course of the years," is what I said. Do you hold any licenses today currently other than a driver's license or an occupational license? By "occupational license," you're also Α referring to, like, a business license? Exactly. Q There are no licenses required in any of Α the professions in which I'm involved; so no. Q Have you ever been the subject of any disciplinary action or censured by any professional organization? I do tend to anger the professional organizations that I'm involved with because I speak my mind and I try and do the right thing, but I've

never been censured or disciplined.

Take a look at your CV, please, which we Q have marked as Exhibit 2. And please turn to the section that is entitled "Selected Articles Authored."

Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you see that section? Q

Page 122 Yes, sir. 1 Α The first entry on that says "ASMP, Q Professional Business Practices in Photography, 3 4 2008," and then it says "contributing author." Did I read that correctly? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 That refers to a chapter in book that you 8 wrote for the ASMP; is that correct? The ASMP asked me to write the 9 Α Yes. chapter on photography licensing practices. 10 I wrote that chapter, and I believe that it has gone into 11 12 later versions, but I haven't been asked to revise 13 it. So, should they ask me, I would. 14 How many pages was that book chapter? 15 I have no idea, Counselor. Α 16 Next under that section, it says, Q 17 "United States House Judiciary Committee," and then 18 there's a title for work and date and other 19 information. 20 Do you see that? Yes. 21 Α 22 "Orphan Works Dilemma" -- and do you see 23 that at the beginning of the title to the article? 24 Α Yes. 25 Where -- does that have anything to do with Q

pricing for photography licensing?

2.3

A The question is so broad, it's -- I mean, yes. The title has something to do with the availability and identification of images and therefore the pricing.

And I'm not trying to be smart in answering your question; I'm just trying to carefully listen to your words.

That -- it may be that pricing is -- and value of images and copyrights is discussed in that treatise. But I would have to read it to find out. And certainly there is an indirect relationship to having works identified so that they can be licensed so that people can pay.

- Q An orphan work is a -- an image that nobody can identify who owns the copyright; is that correct?
  - A In part.
  - Q And what's the other part?

A So an orphan work can be an image for which the copyright owner can't be identified. It can be an image for which the copyright owner can be identified but is nonresponsive or their contact information is incorrect or has passed away. And it can be -- you said "image," but -- and that's

certainly relevant to this case, but could be any type of creative work.

Now you know I'm listening to your questions intently.

- Q And I appreciate that.
- A An orphan work can be a work for which information that would allow the identification of the work, the author, or the owner, which can be separate entities, to be identified.
- Q Now, moving down, it says "Photo District News." Do you see that?
  - A Yes.

- Q How many pieces have you written for the "Photo District News"?
  - A I don't know. I think it was a monthly thing and it was unpaid, and they would contact me when they received a question having to do with licensing or negotiations or copyright or registration, and I would submit a -- you know, a column to them. But I don't -- it was -- it was quite some time ago.
  - Q It was basically answers to reader questions?
- A Answers to reader questions. "Ask the expert," you know?

Page 125 Now, below that, it says "In Focus." 1 Q 2 Do you see that? Yes. 3 Α 4 And what did you write for "In Focus" 5 magazine? 6 At the time I was the chair, I believe, of 7 the copyright or advocacy committee for the 8 Advertising Photographers of America. I might have 9 started my contributions while I was the chair of the Los Angeles chapter's committee handling 10 advocacy and then moved into the national -- you 11 12 know, they asked me to step up into the national 13 committee before they later made me president, and in that capacity would write on topics to do with 14 15 copyright business, et cetera. But that was in the '90s. 16 17 All of those were in the '90s? Q 18 Α I'm pretty sure they would be in the '90s. 19 Q Below that, it says "Wraparound." 20 Do you see that? 21 Α Yes. 22 When did you write for "Wraparound" 23 magazine? 24 Α "Wraparound" magazine was another --25 0 Let me stop you there. Just focus on the

question first. We'll get to what it is.

2.3

The question is, when did you write for Wraparound magazine?

A To my best recollection, 1999 through 2004, but I'm not certain about the years; I'm trying to get you in the ballpark.

Q Fair enough. And that's all I needed for that.

Now, please tell me what you wrote for "Wraparound" magazine. Not what the magazine is; I want to know what you wrote for them.

A I was the president of the organization at the time; so I would have written the monthly or periodic president's column addressing issues of the day facing photographers. And I would have either written or participated in writing anything to do with copyright or licensing or related topics.

Q "Wraparound" was the monthly publication for that particular organization?

A I believe so. And it went outside of that organization as well. It was -- and I don't know that it was monthly for its entire existence. In fact, I think it started as monthly and went to quarterly and existed for a while.

And then, when my presidency was over, I

Page 127 think it might have gone away. But it was published 1 in partnership with a local entity. What organization published "Wraparound"? 3 Q 4 Α I'm fairly certain that it was the 5 Advertising Photographers of America. I've been 6 involved with a lot of different nonprofits. That 7 one had "Wraparound" magazine. 8 Below that, the next entry says "APA/LA 9 News" magazine. Do you see that? 10 Α 11 Yes. 12 Now, just focus on my questions; I want to Q 13 walk through it step by step. Okay? Α 14 Okay. 15 When did you write pieces for "APA/LA News" Q 16 magazine? 17 Could have been -- could have been in the 18 period 1997, going backwards to 1988. 19 Q And then "Photo Media," the entry below 20 that, "Get down to business," Fall 2000, do you see that? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 What publication was that? Q

> Veritext Legal Solutions 202-803-8830 -- 410-494-8300

quite some time, and I don't believe it currently

It was a trade publication that existed for

24

25

Α

exists. But they asked me to write -- I mean, it appears that they asked me to write an article in fall 2000.

- Q You don't remember it, though?
- A I don't. I mean, when I -- I could be more organized about my articles, but essentially we have a folder. If I write something and we remember to print it, it goes in that folder, and then that's -- this list was made for.
- Q The next entry says "IPTC Photo Metadata White Paper 2007, Coauthor."
- A Yes.

2.3

- Q What was the subject of that white paper?
- A IPTC is an organization that maintains -creates and maintains standards for information
  associated with photographs, and this was an article
  on the importance of that information. It's
  commonly called metadata, which means data about
  data.

And I am on the IPTC's photo metadata working group for many years and would participate in writing white papers and participate in updating their standards and whatever other work I can perform.

And I also -- my nonprofit, the PLUS

Coalition, collaborates with IPTC, and they have adopted our metadata fields for rights into the global standard for expressing rights information.

And so I participate in part to make sure that that's maintained and updated.

Q Have you written any publications in the ten years prior to your becoming involved in this case?

A I don't have any -- any publications in my folder, and I can't recall writing, you know, an article for a magazine or anything like -- or writing a chapter of a book during that period.

Q So -- now you've mentioned -- excuse me.

You've mentioned chapters to books and articles and magazines, but there are other types of publications; so I need you to think a little more broadly than that.

Have you written any publications within the ten years prior to when you were retained to be an expert in this case?

A Taking that out to its most broad, you have Facebook posts.

- Q Other than Facebook posts.
- A Backing off of social media; right?

  In my capacity as the president of the PLUS

Coalition, perhaps there have been emails that have gone out to our members about this is what we're doing.

Q I'm not referring to emails. I mean -when I say "publication," we could be very
expansive --

A Right.

Q -- obviously.

But a publication would be something written in a magazine, a book, a substantive blog, a newsletter that goes out, a white paper, some sort of academic paper, a document that is provided to the government in support or opposition or to educate the government on a position, things of that nature.

A Hmm. I participate weekly in an informal group called The Coalition of Visual Artists.

Doesn't -- they don't even want to accept a name, but we call it that as a nickname. We meet weekly by phone. And it's all of the different trade associations representing photographers and illustrators.

"All" is not the right word; it's many, and it's the primary ones.

And we meet every week, and there are

frequently documents drafted in which I may suggest edits, but I don't -- I haven't drafted the documents. I might say, "You should say this" or "You shouldn't say that," or "Here is my comment on this or that."

So I can't really call them authored, but there would be occasions where my organization name or even my name as its representative might get added to the bottom of a document because I said you should put this sentence in there.

- Q Can you identify any such publication?
- A Not really.

Q Referring back to your CV, again, which is Exhibit 2, please look down to the section titled "Professional Societies."

Do you see that?

- A Yes.
- Q Now, we've already talked about your position with the PLUS Coalition; so let's put that aside for a second.

These other organizations, are any of these by invitation only?

A Well, I don't know what that means,

Counselor. I'm not being silly. I mean, like,

American Society for Collective Rights Licensing,

I'm a founding director of the organization. I was invited to sit on the board, you know, several years ago, and -- so I suppose I was invited. People can join the organization as a member but they are invited to join. I guess they could join without being invited.

Q Let me phrase it this way. And you raise a good point; the question was not precise enough.

There are certain societies, for instance, that it's an honor to become a member. And I'll give you an example in my field, the American College of Trial Lawyers you may have heard of.

A Yes.

Q To be a fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers is a distinguished honor. You may have heard. One doesn't just write a check and submit an application to become a fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers. That's an example.

There are honorary societies. There are organizations that will extend off invitations to people because of their collective body of work over years and bestow titles on them to join them.

Things like that.

There are other organizations where, for

instance, if you have been in the business for a certain number of years and meet certain standards or certain -- certain qualification requirements, then you can join the organization.

Follow me so far?

A I follow you.

2.3

Q Okay. Are any of the organizations that you've listed under professional societies those types of organizations?

A American Society of Media Photographers, I had to go through a panel of review to join. You had to have been in business for such-and-such time and they reviewed your work. I don't know if they're currently doing that; I've been a member for a long time. But there was a review to get into that.

American Society of Picture Professionals,

I don't think they had criteria other than you had
to have a position in the marketplace -- or in the
industry.

Copyright Alliance, I was approached by The Copyright Alliance and asked to join. You don't just join The Copyright Alliance; there are -- they specifically asked me to come in and work on their academic advisory committee and on their copyright

office modernization working group. Invited in and so attending those meetings and what have you.

PLUS Coalition we know.

2.3

So these other organizations you can join as long as you're a professional in the industry. But there are no certification agencies or -- the types of organizations that you're speaking of, there are none of those in the photography space.

And many of those in other areas, including in the law, but probably not the ones that you mentioned, are kind of faux. They invite you, and you pay them some amount to get listed in some book or on some website so that you can use that title, but it's not real.

But the ones that you mentioned are real;

I'm not making any comment on those.

- Q Have you ever had any of your opinions become the subject of a Daubert challenge in court?
  - A All the time.
- Q Have any judges or has any judge ever excluded all or a portion of your opinions or testimony in a case?
- A I've survived every Daubert challenge, every motion in limine to exclude my testimony.

  There was one time when I left a case because the

client hired two experts with the exact same expertise to opine on the exact same topics. And the judge didn't like that, and the defendant didn't like that. There was a motion and the client said, "Which one of you wants to go?"

And I said, "I'm out of here. I have things to do." So I left that case (indicating).

But I've never been disqualified or anything like that.

Q And I just want to make sure that I'm clear. And I understand the one case you mentioned where the client said, "Expert A is going to testify and not you" --

A No. The client asked me -- asked both of us, which one wants to stay and which one wants to leave.

- Q Fair enough. So let's put that case aside.
- A Okay.

- Q My question is, has a judge ever issued an order that even a portion of your testimony would not be admissible in a case other than that case?
- A Didn't catch that part of your earlier question, if it was in there.

So every judge has ruled that I am a qualified expert and may testify in whatever case my

opinions have been challenged, without exception, for every year that I've been doing this, despite many challenges.

There was one client who -- "VHT v. Zillow" is the case. And I was asked to opine on contract terms, and the opposing party challenged that, because I'm not an attorney and it would be a legal opinion.

The judge ruled that because of my knowledge and expertise in image licensing that I am competent to testify on the terms and conditions of a contract. But the judge, as part of that ruling -- and I'm saying this because you said in whole or in part -- as part of that ruling, he said that I may not testify on the relationships between real estate brokers and real estate agents.

I'm paraphrasing, but that was what I was not allowed to testify about in the scope of things that my client had me talking about.

Now, my client did have an expert from the real estate industry participating, and I had not opined on those contracts. But as part of what the judge ruled, that's what he ruled.

O Is --

2.3

A Now, I can't remember everything, but

that's -- that's the one I remember.

Q You don't remember any other instances where that has taken place, where a judge has excluded a portion of your testimony or ruled it inadmissible?

A The result of every one of these challenges has been success in maintaining my testimony. And if there was some kind of broad success in a judge's ruling and then some narrow exception that I can't think of right now, it's possible it occurred, but I can't recall that.

- Q Has anyone worked on this matter with you, such as support staff or Pam or anybody else?
  - A Pam would have assisted me billing.

I have people proofread my reports -proofread for typos, not develop any opinions or
anything like that.

The assembly of the exhibits, usually because it's at the last minute, is often done with assistance. But I draft everything, top to bottom.

O Got it.

Probably be a good time to take a break if the food is here.

MR. ALLEN: Yeah. Let me check to see if the food is here.

	Page 138		
1	MS. BOUGHN: I saw it walk in.		
2	MR. ALLEN: You did. Okay.		
3	THE WITNESS: Hopefully it didn't have		
4	legs.		
5	MR. ALLEN: Wow.		
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the		
7	record. The time is 1:18 p.m.		
8	(A lunch recess was taken from		
9	1:18 p.m. to 2:02 p.m.)		
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the		
11	record. The time is 2:02 p.m.		
12	BY MR. MARDER:		
13	Q Mr. Sedlik, we are back from our lunch		
14	break.		
15	You ready to continue?		
16	A Yes, sir.		
17	Q All right. Approximately what percentage		
18	of your income is derived from litigation support or		
19	litigation consulting?		
20	A I don't really track that, Counselor. I		
21	work for PLUS. I have a publishing company. I		
22	license my photography. I'm a licensing		
23	representative for multiple photography estates.		
24	And it all just blends in.		
25	Q Well, sir, you're aware that this is not an		

uncommon question in deposition litigation; correct?

- A Not an uncommon question. I suppose.
- Q You've been asked this question before in deposition in litigation, haven't you?
- A If you're saying, Counselor, that I should dedicate the week prior to a self-audit to determine what my revenue streams are and where they're coming from, I don't have time for it.
- Q Well, let me put it this way: In 2019, how many cases have you been involved in as a consultant or expert witness?
  - A Where I've actually put any time in?
- 13 Q Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 14 A Three or four, maybe.
  - Q And you brought with you today copies of your invoices in this case; right?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q And I assume you issued those in other cases; correct?
    - A Different invoices. I mean, but I don't know if I've issued invoices in other cases. Some cases I put in an hour in three months. Other cases have me write reports.
      - Q Whatever it may be --
- 25 A Yeah.

	0.000 2.120 07 00 1.	Page 140	
1	0		
1	Q	you bill for your time; right?	
2	A	I bill for my time.	
3	Q	You expect to get paid?	
4	A	I hope to get paid.	
5	Q	All right. And you filed your 2018 tax	
6	return,	I assume?	
7	A	I did.	
8	Q	And did you take an extension or did you	
9	file in April?		
10	A	I'm sure I filed on time.	
11	Q	Did you file earlier in the year, or did	
12	you file later in the year?		
13	A	I I don't know, Counselor.	
14	Q	Okay.	
15	A	I filed my taxes; I don't like filing	
16	extensions.		
17	Q	I would assume you have a sense, roughly,	
18	as to who	at your income was in 2018; is that correct?	
19	Gross nu	mber?	
20	A	Sitting here today, no, I do not.	
21	Q	You have no idea in	
22	A	No.	
23	Q	any idea?	
24	A	No, no.	
25	Q	Is that right?	

A I do not have an idea. And I actually don't really pay attention to it.

Q And you haven't had to list what your income was in 2018 on any form?

A No, no.

Q So you can't even estimate a rough percentage that you think your litigation support business constitutes of your overall income?

A I do not pay attention to it, nor do I know how much my wife makes. She's an award-winning costume designer.

Q I didn't ask you about what your wife made --

A I know. I'm just telling --

Q -- I'm asking about you. Hold on. Hold on. Let me finish. Just want to make sure Paula gets down everything we say.

A Okay.

Q My question has nothing to do with what your wife makes. Okay? I'm talking solely about you.

Is it your testimony here today that you can't even provide us a rough estimate of the percentage of your income derived from litigation consulting or litigation support?

A That's right, because it's all done under Jeff Sedlik Photography, and I don't pay attention to it.

- Q Is Jeff Sedlik Photography an entity?
- A Sole proprietorship.

Q Specifically what classes have you taken at any time during your life that related to how much a photography license should cost?

A I don't know. I teach those classes. I don't know about taking those classes.

I've issued thousands upon thousands of licenses and I represent multiple estates where I negotiate daily licenses to news organizations, licenses to publishers, licenses to all sorts of entities.

And I was the president of the Advertising Photographers of America where I had regular interaction with groups of photographers, talking about how much they charge and how they determine how much they're going to charge, and under what circumstances they charge more, under what circumstances they charge less, the value of their licenses. It's just my area of expertise.

Q We'll get to all of that. I'm taking it little pieces at time.

The question was what classes have you taken dealing with that subject? We'll talk about all the rest soon.

2.3

A I don't know of any classes that I could take where I would learn something from somebody else that I don't already know about licensing. Not to sound egotistical, but that's just the case.

Back in school I took business classes. I took a business curriculum at the University of California at Santa Barbara, followed by taking business and licensing classes at the ArtCenter, similar to those I teach now.

And then, since that time, I have likely attended workshops or symposia or seminars where pricing is discussed, including pricing by stock agencies, pricing by photographers, licensing practices, that sort of thing. But I don't -- there's no course for me to go take.

Q Can you name any of those workshops or symposia that you've mentioned that you may have attended?

A Well, certainly I have attended the conferences for the DMLA, Digital Media Licensing Association. It used to be called the Picture Archive Council of America for many years. I wasn't

able to attend this year, but for many years I've attended those.

2.3

I've also spoken on licensing and licensing practices at those and sat in on panels at those.

The Photo Expo -- Photo Plus Expo, which has nothing to do with the PLUS that I work for, is the annual convention of photographers, which I attend and typically teach seminars at. But I have sat in on my peers' presentations.

The Strictly Business seminar series or event that was put on by the American Society of Media Photographers, I was one of the presenters, but in addition I sat in on the presentations of others to listen to their perspectives.

The CEPIC, C-E-P-I-C -- forgetting what it stands for -- is the equivalent of the DMLA but in Europe. I have attended those annually except for this year and last year, and there I attend seminars on licensing where pricing is mentioned.

But -- I gained my expertise in the mid-'80s and the '90s, and then after that day to day working in licensing, both in my own business, assisting others with their licensing, and acting as a licensing representative. Long-winded answer.

Q Let me go back to my question, though, and

I appreciate all that. And the question is not where did you develop all of your expertise, and it's not all of the conferences that you attended. The question is more narrow.

2.3

The question is, name the courses or workshops or lectures that you attended that discussed how to value photography licenses.

A I think your question was -- included conferences.

Q I've just asked you a question, and I can read it back to you --

A You said, "The question was," and you referred to your earlier question, which was name the conferences that you have attended, and I named those conferences.

Q That was part of a question where I'm trying to get you to focus on the courses or lectures that you attended. So let me phrase the question. Okay?

Name the courses or lectures that you have attended that directly discussed how to value photography licenses?

A I stick to my previous answer: I can't name an actual -- names of the events, although some of them might be listed in my CV; I'm not sure.

In addition to those, I should say that the Copyright Office and the Patent Office and the Department of Commerce have me come in to speak at events on the use of technology and global -- in the global licensing environment where licensing and pricing is discussed. I'm a presenter but I also sit through two days of -- one or two days of presentations each time; I learn quite a bit there.

And then the Copyright Technology

Conference, we also discuss -- I present there; I'm
a moderator and a panelist there, but we also
discuss pricing issues related to photography. I
just can't recall names of events going back, even
to last year, like the name of the actual panel or
something. If you're asking that, I can't -- I
don't know it unless it's in my CV.

Q Have you ever taken any courses in economics?

A Yeah, full slate of them at the University of California at Santa Barbara, macroeconomics, microeconomics.

- Q Since you received your college degree, have you taken any courses in economics?
  - A No, sir.

Q What was your degree in?

- A My degree was a BFA in photography.
- Q A BFA -- bachelor of fine arts?
  - A Correct.

2.3

- Q And who was that issued by?
- A ArtCenter College of Design.
- Q Is that the only degree that you have?

A I have a master's of fine arts from the Brooks Institute of Photography, which was awarded for lifetime achievement in the craft. It's not something that I attended school for, but they select photographers -- or they used to select photographers based on their mastery of the craft and business of photography and award master's degree based on that. And I have that.

I attended the University of California at Santa Barbara so that I could satisfy the academic requirements of a bachelor's degree, because at the time the ArtCenter College did not offer those academic courses; so it was a requirement to attend somewhere else first.

- Q And that's to get your BFA?
- A In order to get the bachelor's you have to have -- you have to satisfy the state's academic requirements, which ArtCenter did not offer.

  So they -- now they do have the full slate, but

Page 148 previously you had to go somewhere else first, for 1 the first 60 years of the organization. Have you ever been asked to peer review a 3 Q 4 publication? 5 Α Yes. 6 Q What was the publication? 7 One of them is called -- well, I'm trying 8 to remember the names of books that I was asked to review. 9 And I'm not talking about -- let me make 10 sure I'm -- we're clear, and I apologize for cutting 11 12 you off. 13 But when I say "peer review," I mean peer review in the sense of prepublication reviewing of a 14 15 work --16 Α Yes. 17 -- not reviewing a book once it's 18 published. 19 Α Right. The proposed text for the work 20 where the author or the publisher wants to contact 21 others to ensure the accuracy of the content of the 22 book. 23 One them is called -- I think it's "The

John Harrington, and I'm asked by the publisher to

Photographer's Business Handbook" by

24

25

review that before it comes out.

And then there's another author, his name is Ted Crawford, C-r-a-w-f-o-r-d. Writes legal texts related to the arts all the time, and I get those with the request that I review and comment on them.

The -- there's a couple more that I -- I'm just having a difficulty remembering what they are, but as new editions come out, I'm asked to review and provide comments on them.

I'll think about it, try and come up with those.

O Got it.

Do any of the publications that you recall that you've peer-reviewed relate to how you value photographic licenses?

A Yeah. The John Harrington book is all -it's the core of the book. And Ted Crawford's books
address that issue.

I mean, that's my area of expertise and that's why people ask me to review.

Q You mentioned previously that you have represented certain estates in negotiating licenses.

Is my memory right on that?

A Yes.

Q How many estates do you represent to negotiate licenses for?

2.3

2.5

A Well, on the lunch break I was just hired by the estate of Gene Trindl, T-r-i-n-d-l, a very famous Hollywood photographer who passed away, and they just confirmed -- confirmed my engagement as their licensing representative. I've helped them in the past with advice but haven't represented them.

By "representation," I don't mean legal representation; I mean negotiating licenses for usages requested by the media and various clients.

Q I totally understand that. Yep. You're not a lawyer.

A I'm not a lawyer. Certainly there is -there are legal issues in -- in, you know, dealing
with licenses and copyright registrations and all of
that, but when legal issues come up, I refer them
out to their attorney or other -- other attorneys.

I represent the estate of Phil Stern, S-t-e-r-n, as their exclusive licensing representative for all licenses.

I think -- to give you an idea of volume, I think there were in the last two weeks maybe four licenses negotiated for various usage in various media.

I have represented the estate of

Leigh Wiener, L-e-i-g-h, Wiener, W-i-e-n-e-r, since

2006, negotiating all of their licenses. But I have

a time issue, I'm not really able to keep up with

that. So I don't think I'm going to continue with

them, but I have since 2006 -- 2005, actually; long

term.

- Q So that's three: Estate of Gene Turnbull or Trimble?
  - A Trindl.
- Q The estate of Phil Stern, and then I have the estate of Leigh Wiener?
  - A Wiener.
- 14 Q Wiener?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- 15 A W-i-e-n-e-r.
- Q Any other estates?
  - A No. However, I'm frequently contacted for advice on the value of usage in certain media based on the license criteria and provide that generally as a service, unless I'm asked to step in directly and negotiate the licenses, which I will do.

But those entities are -- are situations in which I am the exclusive person representing that -- all licensing for the estates. With --

Q With -- oh, I'm sorry, were you --

A I was done.

2.3

Q With respect to the estate of Phil Stern, how many licenses, approximately, have you negotiated for that estate?

A Hundreds, mid-hundred -- you know, 500 -- somewhere between 3- and 500, somewhere in there.

Q And of the approximately 3- to 500 licenses that you have negotiated for the estate of Phil Stern, how many of the licensees were media organizations that owned multiple TV stations and radio stations around the country with multiple websites?

A I don't know. I'd have to go back through to see if that circumstance has occurred before. I mean, I could go on and comment on that question, but I'm going to keep -- I'm going to just be guiet.

Q What are the other licensees that you can remember, the categories of licensees that you can remember with whom you've negotiated on behalf of the estate of Phil Stern?

A Just because the most recent ones are most recent in my memory, I licensed the use of two pictures one time in a media company's newsletter for \$6,000 fee for the use of the two images for a one-time use within the last month.

I licensed the use of one image in the "Wall Street Journal" for several thousand dollars for a one-time use.

2.3

In the last month, I licensed the public display right, which is questionable under copyright, in -- to the Academy of Motion Pictures for one image; I don't recall how much that was.

I licensed the use of an image in a self-published book within the last few weeks.

Currently negotiating usage in various documentaries, television shows, open -- open matters where I'm going back and forth and negotiating license fees based on criteria associated with the license.

Q It's interesting stuff, and I have to ask you, who was Phil Stern?

A Phil Stern is one of the great
photographers of our time. He was a rough and
gruff -- I think he was a Marine or ex-Army and hung
out with people like James Dean and Marlon Brando
and Marilyn Monroe and all these people and made
wonderful, wonderful photos of them.

He smoked a lot and he passed away within the last six, seven -- no, probably about eight years ago from emphysema, I believe. Great

man.

2.3

And so his family knew of me -- I'm fairly well-known in the image licensing circles -- and came to me and asked me if I would be their representative.

- Q How many of his photographs were photographs of cats?
  - A Oh, I don't -- I don't know that.
- Q Can you point to any? Can you remember any at this time?

A I think a photograph of John Wayne with his cat. I mean, unlike me, where I have photographed cats and done work for -- involving photography of cats and dogs and other animals for clients,

Phil Stern's focus was on celebrities. And he did quite a bit of work for "Life" magazine.

And I recall cats being in his photographs, but usually it's John Wayne holding his cat, walking around in shorts and a cowboy hat sort of thing.

- Q How many of the licenses that you negotiated on behalf of the estate of Phil Stern were negotiated with stock photo companies?
- A I don't understand that question because

  I'm not selling to stock photo companies; they're

  distributors of images that would be doing what I'm

doing for them. So I'm taking the place of the stock photo agency in this picture.

- Q Understood.
- A Okay.

2.3

Q Now, with respect to the estate of Leigh Wiener -- I'm going to start from the beginning.

Who is Leigh Wiener?

A Similar story. Great photographer of the '50s and '60s, '70s. And Hollywood photographer, all celebrity stuff, Marilyn Monroe and -- well, politicians too. JFK was one of his subjects.

And he -- I met him when I was 17 years old. He gave me my first job in photography. He was the first license I ever wrote as a 17-year-old -- well, 16-year-old, actually.

And I was the set photographer on his show called "Talk About Pictures," which was an NBC show where all the famous photographers would come in and talk about their work. And I was the set photographer and he gave me my first break.

Bless you.

And so I wrote my first license to him, and he shared a lot of -- he mentored me quite a bit.

And then, after he passed away, his family

came, because they knew of my involvement in the image licensing world, and asked me if I would represent their estate.

And it's very time-consuming. That particular estate is very time-consuming; it's quite active and a bit high maintenance. So I'm kind of having to draw the line, because of my limited time, on that particular client.

- Q How many of the photographs that you've negotiated for the estate of Leigh Wiener involve photographs of cats?
- A I don't know if any cats appear in the pictures that I've licensed or not.
- Q How many of the photographs that you've licensed did you license to stock photo companies on behalf of the estate of Leigh Wiener?
  - A I don't understand the question.
- Q Sure. You mentioned earlier that the -when I asked that same question about the estate of
  Phil Stern, it didn't really make sense because you
  were acting as the middleman, so to speak, like the
  stock photo company.
  - Do you recall that --
- 24 A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

25

Q -- exchange?

Would your answer be the same with respect to the estate of Leigh Wiener?

A Yeah.

2.3

Q Now, you're familiar, I assume, with Sinclair Broadcast Group?

A Somewhat familiar. I'm not an expert on it, but I do understand from -- in the context of this litigation, information I've learned, is that it has multiple radio and television stations and other interests.

Q What experience do you have negotiating photography licenses with media companies similar to Sinclair? And by "similar," I mean an organization that owns quite a few television and radio stations around the country and also operates websites for them.

A We went through my client list, which is a partial client list, and I mentioned at that time I'd have to go back through and try to figure out which ones I have transactions with, if I was forced to do that.

But whether the subject matter is cats or any other subject, no matter who the licensee is, licensing considerations in terms of the criteria are the same. And stock photography companies, for

example, treat these various entities, whether you're a sole proprietor or a significant corporation, the same, unless you develop a direct account with them for licensing.

Q Name the media companies that you have negotiated photography licenses with that are similar to Sinclair in the sense that they own multiple television or radio stations around the country and operate websites for those television and radio stations?

A I can't recall them, if any, and I think it's irrelevant. But you're -- but I appreciate the question.

- Q By the way, where is your online portfolio?
- A I pulled it down because somebody stole my whole portfolio and put it up on their site.
  - Q Did you sue 'em?

- A They were in China.
- Q Did you at least send them a cease-and-desist letter?

A I had a Chinese friend call them and write a cease-and-desist letter. And I tried to do a takedown notice, which is not something that is very viable in China. Even though I do sit on the copyright committee of the Chinese government, I was

not able to achieve that.

And so what I've taken to doing is, because I'm not actively seeking commercial assignments,

I -- for some of my personal projects, which -- for which I shoot for fine art purposes and sell fine art prints, I have no need to put those up.

And then for other work that I just might shoot for personal enjoyment or for licensing purposes, I'll sometimes post it on social media, and sometimes not.

- Q Which social media sites do you post on?
- A Instagram. I post some images on Instagram.
  - Q In this case one of the components of damages that the plaintiff is seeking is disgorgement of profits from the defendants.

Are you aware of that?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Is it your intention to render an opinion on that subject?

A I rendered an opinion in my preliminary expert report on that subject. And the scope of my intended testimony would be limited to that which is in that opinion.

In other words, you asked about my training

in economics. Although I was one of those strange people that loved my training in economics, I did not choose to become an economist or an accountant, and so I would leave those types of determinations, calculations of attributable profits, to the economists.

And I only looked at the -- the fact that the sites -- the web pages on which these photographs were published by Sinclair included advertising that generated revenue that may have resulted in profits to Sinclair.

- Q What are the factors that go into determining fair market value?
  - A In what context, Counselor?
  - Q In any context.

2.3

A So when you're talking about the fair market value of a couch, it's different than talking about the fair market value of a photography license. And my expertise is in the valuation of photography licenses.

- Q What are the basic economic principles that are involved in calculating the price of something?
  - A As I mentioned --
    - MR. ALLEN: Objection. Form.
    - MR. MARDER: What's the problem with the

Page 161 form, Rob? 1 2 MR. ALLEN: Vaque. It's --BY MR. MARDER: 3 4 Q You can answer. 5 Again, my expertise is only in the area of photography licensing. 6 7 You mentioned earlier, though, that you 8 took some economics courses in college, and so --In 1979. Think about that. 1981, '80 and 9 Α '81, macro and micro. 10 That was a long time ago? 11 Q 12 Α It was a long time ago, and I decided not 13 to pursue being an economist and became a 14 photographer and an expert in photography licensing. 15 As you sit here today, though, what are 16 some of the economic factors that you recall that 17 are important in determining pricing? 18 In determining the price for photography 19 licensing, certainly the level of supply and the 20 level of demand are primary factors. Does the market matter? In other words, 21 does the definition of the market matter? 22 2.3 Well, that would be a subset of -- I mean, Α 24 the -- the demand of the market -- I mean, the market for the work is based on the content of the 25

work and whether or not that content is desirable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

If the content is -- if there's a demand for that content, and if it's not available elsewhere or it's relatively scarce or limited, then the pricing is affected by being able -- allowing the seller to request more than -- than the seller would be able to request in the event that whatever the commodity is -- I mean, in this case we're talking about photographs -- were broadly available, the quality of the product, the accessibility of the product -- accessibility in photography involves being able to search for and find it and obtain it -- and a whole host of factors behind that, such as the customer service -- the level of customer service involved in assisting people with obtaining the license and managing the license, those -- those sorts of factors.

- Q Does the use that a photograph is going to be used for affect the value of the license?
  - A Depends on the licensing model.
- Q Well, let's say, just as an example -- not in this case, but just as an example -- an author wants to license a book -- a photograph for a book cover.

Follow me so far?

Page 163 Yes, sir. 1 Α 2 All right. And let's say the book is going Q to be a bestseller by some famous author. So that's 3 4 one use. 5 And then let's say a second use is that a 6 person wants to license a photograph to use on their 7 personal blog that their friends read. That's a 8 second use. 9 You follow me so far? The second scenario or a second use that 10 Α you're talking about within this license that you're 11 12 talking about -- one license with different uses, or 13 are we talking about different licensing scenarios? So you have one photograph and one 14 15 photographer. Okay? Follow me so far? 16 Α Yes. 17 And there's an author in New York who 18 wants -- famous author who wants to use that 19 photograph on the cover of her next book. 20 You follow me so far? Uh-huh. 21 Α 22 0 Is that "yes"? 23 Α Yup. 24 And there's a photography in Boise, Q Tdaho --25

	Case 1.10-CV-0340	75-5AG Ducumer (1999) 13 44 14 16 17 20/21 Page 1909 19013, 2019
		Page 164
1	A	Or Boise, Iowa.
2	Q	Which one is it?
3	A	Idaho.
4	Q	Isn't that terrible?
5	A	That's all right.
6	Q	Geography was almost as long ago as your
7	your economics classes.	
8		Boise, Idaho. There's a blogger in Boise,
9	Idaho	
10	A	You said photographer; you meant blogger.
11	Q	Yeah, blogger. Thanks.
12		Post lunch; so let me say it again.
13		You have this photographer in you have
14	this blogger in Boise, and 20 people read his blog	
15	and he wa	ants the same photographer's picture on his
16	blog.	
17		Do those different uses change how much the
18	license	fee would be for the blogger versus the
19	author in	n New York?
20	A	Depends on the license model.
21	Q	Let's say
22	A	There are license models for which the type
23	of media	subject to certain restrictions, does not
24	affect tl	ne pricing associated with the license.
25	Q	Let's say it's a royalty-free license.

2.3

Page 165

A There would likely not be a difference in the price?

A There would likely not be a difference in the price, but it would depend, in the particular example that you gave, if the licensor had terms that prevent the use of the photograph on packaging, under a rights-managed license, which is an occasional restriction, then -- I'm sorry -- under a royalty-free license, then we couldn't follow through with that licensing example because it wouldn't be allowed.

But supposing that it was allowed, that the restriction did not take effect -- or it was not in place, then the price for using it on the blog versus using it on the cover of the book would be the same, unless the licensor offered different resolution files for different prices, which is a model that longer makes sense but is a holdover from the old days.

So, for example, if you need a highresolution file to put on the cover of the book and
a low-resolution file to put on the blog, then the
pricing could be different. But the type of media
is not a factor in the royalty-free license except
for restrictions.

Q And how about with a rights-managed

license?

2.3

A With a rights-managed license, you have the same photograph for two different uses and the -the blog use, assuming that this blog is not a
highly trafficked blog -- you said it was some -- I
think you implied, you didn't really say it
explicitly, but let's say it's not a very popular
blog, there's not a lot of visitors -- your price
for that use on the blog in a royalty-free license
could be very low and on the cover of the book could
be thousands or tens of thousands of dollars.

Q You said "royalty free." Did you mean rights managed?

A I meant rights managed, I'm sorry. I swapped them in both of my descriptions. Thank you for catching that.

In rights managed, the fee is most often based on the scope of use and, as I mentioned in my earlier testimony, the -- the type of media, the size of reproduction, the geographic territory.

These can all be factors.

All of these licensing models are in flux and have been since the early 2000s, and we don't really know where it's going to go. There's new licensing models coming up and old ones are being

eliminated or changing.

But for right now, for the moment sitting here today and also in 2015, that would be the story.

Q Can you identify any other media organizations that are similar to Sinclair in the sense that they own a large number of television and radio stations around the country and operate websites for them?

A I can't sitting here today. I'm a little tired; otherwise I might be able to think of them, but I'm not sure.

I mean, I had -- I had heard of Sinclair tangentially through news articles in the past, but I don't -- and also, if I'm in Seattle, I watch KOMO, you know, but -- and probably unknowingly have watched other Sinclair stations.

But I guess to directly answer your question, I can't sitting here today name other stations -- other -- other companies that own that many stations. And I do think it's my -- my opinion is that it's irrelevant to the determination of the value of the license in this matter.

Q Can you identify even one photograph that Sinclair has paid for a rights-managed license to

display on its various websites throughout its company?

- A What do you mean by identify?
- Q Very simple. Well, maybe it's not. Maybe it's a bad question.

My question is, you're here testifying about a set of 50-some-odd photographs; right?

- A Right.
- Q You're aware that Sinclair has a lot of television and radio stations; correct?
- A Yes.

- Q And that all or most of them have websites?
- A I don't -- when you say "has a lot of stations," I actually don't fully understand the relationship of the stations with the corporate entity. I know there's been some of that touched on in the interrogatories and that it's an issue that's still being explored by the parties, I suppose.

So I had to say that because you said "has these stations." I don't know what the relationship is, but I'm aware that they have multiple stations.

Q Can you identify even one photograph that has been displayed throughout the Sinclair television and radio organization -- in other words, on all of their television, radio, websites -- where

Sinclair or any of its subsidiaries purchased a rights-managed license in order to display the photograph?

2.3

A I don't. I mean, if that's been produced,
I haven't reviewed it. I've received everything
that I've asked for, but I haven't received that. I
do know that I explored the websites of some of the
Sinclair stations that are mentioned in the
complaint and looked at photographs that were there,
but I can't identify them, and certainly I don't
know the licenses that were behind them, and I don't
think that they would be relevant to the licenses in
question or the usage in question in this matter.

Q Earlier I asked you if you could identify a similar organization to Sinclair that operated a large number of television and radio stations and websites, and you said you couldn't as we sat here today.

So if I were to ask you if you could point to a photograph that was licensed with a rights-managed license by such a similar organization, would your answer be that you couldn't do that because you don't know of those organizations?

A The information hasn't been presented to me or I haven't been asked to review that information

if it has been presented to me. So I don't have -I have not reviewed information sufficient to
comment.

Q And you haven't gathered that information through your own research or experience?

A Gathering corporate records that Sinclair would not have any inclination to share with me about --

Q I'm not talking about Sinclair. I'm talking about other organizations. In other words --

A I see.

Q -- looking at the universe of media companies that own television and radio stations and operate websites for them, can you identify even one photograph that such an organization licensed with a rights-managed license?

A No. But that doesn't mean that it's not commonplace.

Q You don't know whether it is or isn't commonplace; correct?

A It is the predominant licensing model in the news industry.

Q You have no data that you can point to that indicates that an organization such as Sinclair that

owns a number -- a large number of television and radio stations and operates websites for them has licensed a photograph to display on the websites with a rights-managed license; correct?

2.3

A I don't have that specific data. My experience and understanding is that the predominant rights model in the news industry is rights managed, and that's evidenced in addition by, for example, Getty Images.

They have a massive amount of royalty free, about 24 million images offered royalty free. They have, I think, 140- or 160 million images -- I think 159 million images offered rights managed for editorial use by news organizations. And when they license them, they license them rights managed.

But there are particular types of editorial licenses under the rights-managed model, and they might offer broad use under the rights-managed model. But that is a huge revenue source for Getty licensing to the news industry.

My understanding is that approximately

96 percent of their revenue is from -- is divided up
between royalty free and editorial rights managed
and that they're making no changes to editorial
rights managed, which they say works very well for

their news organization customers. They just announced that last week.

- Q Are you familiar with a subscription-based licensing model?
  - A Yes, sir.

- Q Okay. Can you identify a media organization similar to Sinclair that purchases groups of photographs using individual rights-managed licenses for each of those photographs rather than through a subscription-based license?
- A Are you referring to subscription royalty free? Or subscription -- or some other form of subscription?
  - Q Any kind. Any kind.
- A It's a huge difference. Because a news organization can have a contract with a stock photography provider where there's an agreement for a payment of a certain amount of money for limited usage rights that are defined by criteria very consistent with rights managed, where there's a certain amount paid.

And if you stand back from that, you could categorize it as a type of subscription, but it's not really a subscription per se; it's a contract to be able to use "x" number of images under a rights-

managed model for a certain amount of money, whether that's monthly or annually or -- or whatever that is.

Q Name one media organization that owns a large number of television and radio stations around the country and operates websites for them that purchases images -- purchases licenses for images using a rights-managed subscription basis?

MR. ALLEN: I'm going object to that question as compound; it included language that is confusing and vague with respect to --

MR. MARDER: Excuse me, Rob. Stick to objection to form. You can't make a speaking objection. If you have a problem with the form, just say "form" rather than trying to coach the witness.

MR. ALLEN: I'm not trying to -- just trying to give you some information to help with your next question. But I understand.

Objection as to form.

## BY MR. MARDER:

2.3

- Q Go ahead.
- A Can you ask the question again, sir?
- Q Sure. Name one media organization that owns a large number of television and radio stations

around the country and operates websites for those stations that purchases licenses to display images using a subscription rights-managed model?

MR. ALLEN: Objection as to form.

BY MR. MARDER:

2.3

Q You can answer.

A I explained a moment ago that "subscription" is probably not the right word to use in that context.

Further, the -- a license for -- a license taken out by -- for use of a photograph on one domain does not extend to use of a photograph on a different domain, whether you license from Getty or other organizations.

Q That wasn't my question. My question was for you to identify a media organization as I just defined it.

Can you identify even one as you sit here today?

MR. ALLEN: Objection as to form.

THE WITNESS: No. It doesn't mean they don't exist; it just doesn't make any sense in the context of the facts of the matter, the instant matter, you know, and the facts that have -- the facts of the matter as they occurred. It's not

relevant to the valuation of the license.

BY MR. MARDER:

2.3

Q Tell me all of the data that you've collected about the demand for Lykoi cats -- cat photographs from 2015 to 2019?

A Prior to my preliminary report, I -- well, actually, prior to my preliminary report I searched for Lykoi cat photographs existing in 2017 and noted that there were very few relative to photographs of other types of cats.

And then in my -- and in that -- oh, I should add that the very few that were available were of poor quality or pictured these cats in pet shows with -- with, you know, somebody -- somebody walking through the background with a coffee cup in their hand sort of pictures, like candid photos.

And then, in my supplementary -- or my sur- -- not my supplementary -- my surrebuttal report, I included some information about the availability of those images in that report. And subsequent to that, I contacted three of the leading providers of cat photographs and discussed with them -- I called these three photographers and discussed with them the availability of cat photographs.

This was just to -- not for me to gather the information, but for me to reconfirm the accuracy of my opinions.

Discussed with these three photographers the availability of Lykoi cat photographs in 2015.

All three photographers confirmed that these photographs were very rare, of Lykoi cats, in 2015.

- Q What are the names of those three photographers?
- A Preston Smith. Oh, I just blanked on the -- the names of the three. It will -- it will come to me, just give me time to answer.

Can I answer as we go here as soon as it comes back in my head, or do you want to sit here while I think about it?

- Q Take a look at your report if that will refresh your recollection --
  - A No. I said subsequent to my report.
  - Q Subsequent to your preliminary report?
  - A And to my rebuttal report.
- Q I see. So you have reviewed material or you have done further work since your surrebuttal report was issued?
- A It's reflected in my answer to you earlier, where I said -- you said who have you spoken with,

- Page 177 and I said photographers and stock agencies. 1 So there is Preston Smith and who else? I need a few minutes to remember, but it 3 4 might take a little time. I will answer you before 5 the end of the deposition. I will not leave this table until I recall the names. 6 7 Let's go off the record and give you a few 8 minutes so you can think about it. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 9 record --10 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: -- the time is 2:53 p.m. 13 (A recess was taken from 2:53 p.m. 14 to 2:58 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 16 The time is 2:58 p.m. record. 17 BY MR. MARDER: 18 We've just taken a break so you could stretch your legs and think a little bit. 19 20 Do you remember the other two names? 21 On the way out of the room, I mentioned 22 their names. Preston Smith was the one I mentioned 2.3 Larry Johnson. And it's Katrell or Katris first. 24 is the third one.
  - Q How do you spell that?

25

A K-a-t-r-e-l-l or K-a-t-r-i-s, Katris,
Richard.

Q Why did you choose these photographers?
A They're known in that -- in that world, and
I've heard of them because I have shot cat food
advertisements and packaging, dog food

advertisements and packaging, sort of thing.

Q Now, you mentioned that you spoke to them and confirmed that there weren't that many Lykoi cat photographs out there; in fact, I think you said there were very, very few in 2015; is that correct?

A Actually, what I said was that each of them said that Lykoi photographs, because that was the -- the dawn of the breed was just a couple years before that, that they were very rare.

O Now --

A And I said, "Do you mean rare?"

And they said, "Very rare."

Mr. -- Larry -- Larry, I believe, said that as an example, he has tens of thousands of cats that he's photographed, and he has -- or tens of thousands of cat pictures in his library, and he has three Lykois, half a dozen.

Q Now, you would agree that the number of Lykoi cat photographs deals with the supply side of

the equation; correct?

A Yes.

2.3

Q Let's talk about the demand side. What data do you have to establish what the demand was for Lykoi cat photographs from 2015 to 2019?

A Yeah. I don't have that data except for, in the instant matter, it seemed that Rex Pictures wanted -- well, it seemed that -- I'm sorry -- WENN wanted these photographs, and subsequently Sinclair, Amanda at Sinclair wanted these photographs.

They wanted them. I don't know about the demand, but they -- when they -- if they -- if they had other resources to obtain those photographs of similar quality and subject matter, then they could have gone elsewhere.

Q Other than the information you've acquired through the discovery that's been produced in this case from either side and from the Tennessee case, do you have any other data addressing the demand side of the equation for Lykoi cats?

A No.

- Q Now, is the demand for Lykoi cat photographs elastic or inelastic?
  - A Hmm. It would be elastic.
  - Q How do you define "elastic"?

A So your question to me was that, "Is the demand elastic or inelastic?"

Q Exactly right.

2.3

A And so the demand would change over time. For example, if an article came out somewhere about how great Lykoi cats are, people could gain interest in Lykoi cats and other organizations might want to run articles on Lykoi cats, and all of a sudden you've got demand for those images.

Q Let's move away from the facts of this case and let's just talk generally --

A No, I -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off, but I was speaking generally. I guess I said "article." But if, you know, some celebrity was seen walking down a street holding a Lykoi, all of a sudden, there would likely be an increase in interest in Lykois and perhaps an increase in demand for Lykoi photographs.

Q Let's move away from an answer that gives an explanation using examples. Okay?

I'd like for you to give us the definition -- the economic definition of "elastic demand."

A It's demand that can change with -- based on whatever factors might affect that demand --

Page 181 might affect it. 1 Such as? Q Α Current events, or events that occur. 3 Anything else? 4 Q Styles and trends. 5 Α Anything else? 6 Q 7 Α The economy. 8 Q Anything else? 9 I'm sure I'm not thinking of everything, Α 10 but that's a good set. That's all you could think of as you sit 11 12 here right now? 13 Α Right now. 14 Do you know whether the demand for the 15 benchmark photographs that you used in this case was elastic or inelastic? 16 17 Α The benchmark photographs, I just selected 18 cat photographs. 19 Q Understood. My question, though, is do you 20 know whether the demand for those photographs was 21 inelastic or elastic from 2015 to 2019? 22 I -- I was careful not to select a 23 photograph as a benchmark that had something special 24 about it, you know, like Bigfoot in the background 25 sort of thing.

Q That wasn't my question. My question deals with elasticity.

Do you know whether the photographs that you chose as benchmarks were -- whether the demand for those photographs was elastic?

A I don't have that data.

2.3

Q How did you define the market that you used when you were calculating the license fees that you opined were the actual damages in this case?

A I used a fairly straightforward methodology where I went out to the marketplace, selected three cat photographs, and then obtained prices, averaged those prices -- like three generic cat photographs -- and averaged those prices to come up with a market value for 2017, then rolled it back to 2015, and then calculated the value based on rights-managed criteria for the license that was subject to certain assumptions that were dictated to me and was otherwise based on my knowledge and experience.

Q And I appreciate that. We're going to talk a little more about that portion of the methodology.

My question is a little bit different.

How did you define the market for buyers of the photographs?

A The generic benchmark photographs?

O Yes.

2.3

A I found it irrelevant in the context of image licensing. Whether somebody's doing an article on potatoes and cats for, you know, a ski lodge, just to think of an extreme example, or they're from some other industry is not relevant to any determination with respect to the value of the licenses in this matter.

Q With respect to the actual photographs that were taken by Brittney Gobble, did you define a particular market for the buyers when calculating your damages calculation?

A I was not asked to determine the value of Brittney Gobble's photographs themselves. I was only asked to determine the reasonable fair market value of the license that would have been purchased to enable Sinclair to make use of the photographs.

Q Fair point. It is after lunch for both of us.

When determining the reasonable fair market value for the license for the photographs taken by Brittney Gobble that are the subject of this case, what did you define the market of buyers to be?

- A Not relevant to my methodology.
- Q So your testimony is you did not define a

particular market of buyers when doing your work in this case?

2.3

A I describe my methodology in my report. My methodology involves anyone from any marketplace seeking out the use of a photograph and then the consideration of how -- what the supply is for that type of photograph and whether it's rare or not or scarce. And determining what the fee would be for the generic photograph and then adjusting that for the rarity or scarcity of the image.

It does not involve the type of analysis that an economist might do when looking at lost profits or by using a different sort of methodology to look at the licensing picture in this case.

Q What data did you look at to determine the different kinds of licensing models that were used by media companies such as Sinclair when licensing photographs?

A The fact pattern in this case rules out subscription stock and royalty free and micro stock and leaves only rights managed.

Q Why is that?

A I've explained in my report that there is no subscription or royalty free, but that -- I'm sorry; let me restate that -- that Brittney Gobble's

2.3

Page 185

position in licensing -- or hypothetical position in licensing this in a hypothetical transaction is inconsistent with the application of subscription or royalty-free stock, and that rights that she granted in her license as communicated to WENN were -- did not fit the profile of royalty free or subscription.

And further, she demonstrated categorically that she was not interested in participation in the subscription model after experimenting with it for a brief period, and then defined rights-managed criteria -- in issuing her license to WENN.

Q I want to go back to my earlier question because you didn't answer it.

My question was, what data did you look at to determine the different kinds of licensing models that were used by media companies such as Sinclair when licensing photographs?

Your answer was, "The fact pattern in this case rules out subscription stock and royalty free and micro stock and leaves only rights managed."

My question wasn't your opinion in this case. My question was what underlying data did you look at to reach that conclusion?

A No. Your question that you just read back

to me was what data did I look at with respect to subscription and royalty free, I believe.

Q No. I'll read the question again.

What data did you look at to determine the different kinds of licensing models that were used by media companies such as Sinclair when licensing photographs?

A Oh, in general. I misunder- -- I assumed that you were referring to this matter.

And I did not look at that. And I believe that when you asked that ten minutes ago, I said it's irrelevant.

But I'll say I did not look at that data because it's irrelevant.

Q So let me ask you a question, are you familiar with -- with AP, for instance, the wire service?

A Somewhat. I mean, they're on my -- my working group with the IPTC.

Q And do you know what kind of a licensing model media organizations use with AP to get photographs?

A It's a form of -- it's a form of rights managed.

Q And it is often a subscription model, isn't

it?

A I suppose you could call -- well, as I -- I mentioned this earlier. There's a -- it's my understanding that there is a contract between the organizations that defines a fee and defines criteria, but these are rights-managed licenses.

Q Have you ever looked at a subscription contract between a media organization and the AP?

A I'm not sure about that. I doubt it. I doubt that I would have access to that unless it was relevant to one of the cases I've worked on. AP --

Q Have you ever --

A AP has not been a party to any case that I've worked on.

Q Have you ever looked at the subscription contracts between media organizations and Reuters?

A You're using this term, "subscription contracts," and I just don't want to answer the question "yes" or "no" because that would be -- that would -- in doing so, I would have to -- it would imply that I agree with the use of that term.

So let's just say I haven't looked at the contracts between the organizations.

Q Fair enough.

You have never looked at any contracts

between a media organization and, for instance, Reuters?

A No. While I have relationships with people at AP and Reuters through the IPTC, I have not looked at their contacts because we're talking about metadata.

- Q And you're aware that Sinclair had a contract with WENN? And that's W-E-N-N.
  - A Yes, I'm aware of that.
- Q What was the licensing model used by Sinclair and WENN to obtain photographs?
- A I would call it rights managed, but because it -- because it had limited media usage to it, as far as I know, you couldn't go put it in an ad, but you could use it editorially; so it can't be royalty free.
- So it's rights managed based on access to a library of images.
- Q How many images was Sinclair entitled to download from WENN each month under the contract between Sinclair and WENN?
- A I can't recall, but for some reason 10,000 is in -- is in my head.
- 24 Q And --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

25

A I've read a lot of documents in this case;

I can't recall the number, but...

Q Do you remember what the fee WENN charged Sinclair was?

A The number that comes to mind is 15,000, but I don't have it memorized.

Q Okay. If it were \$15,000 -- that was over what period of time, by the way?

A I mean, we could put the document in front of me. I could --

O Understood.

A -- tell you. But -- but I believe it was a -- a monthly agreement.

Q And let's just use the 15,000 as -- for purposes of an example.

A Yeah. I don't want to indicate a lack of familiarity with this case; it's just not something that I put to memory because I consider that whole thing -- that whole side of things irrelevant.

Q If -- if the fee were 15,000 a month -- I'm not saying it is, but just for purposes of an example, if Sinclair paid WENN 15,000 a month in order to have the right to download and use 10,000 images, how much would the charge be per image?

A It would depend on the number of images actually downloaded.

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Documente 168y 18 ed likited 07/20/21 Page 1.3, 2019 Page 190 Let's assume they downloaded all 10,000. 1 Then you just do the math, 10,000 divided Α by 15,000. 3 4 And that would be the license fee per 5 photograph, in effect? 6 Α Which is something that was 7 terminated right away as soon as Brittney Gobble saw 8 that WENN was violating the license that she granted them. 9 And so that whole contract between WENN and 10 Sinclair is irrelevant for that reason, and also 11 12 because, within a couple of days of when Sinclair first used the images, they were notified that this 13 usage was not licensed and that there was a problem. 14 15 We'll talk a lot about those things in a little bit. 16 17 Α Yes. 18 But those don't really address the 19 question. 20 The question we're talking about is the contractual relationship between those two, between 21 22 Sinclair and WENN.

23

24

25

Okay?

Sure.

Α

Q

Veritext Legal Solutions

Now, let's talk about other subscription

202-803-8830 -- 410-494-8300

agreements that Sinclair has with other media organizations, other services like WENN. I'm sorry.

Are you familiar with any of the contracts that Sinclair has had from 2015 to present with other organizations that permits them to download a certain number of photographs per month?

A It's been since -- the last time that I reviewed production was some time ago. I'm forgetting if Shutterstock was one of those companies, but I'm not -- I'm not -- I couldn't tell you, sitting here today. It's not that I didn't review them; I just considered them irrelevant to my opinions in the matter.

Q Does Getty offer a subscription model?

A I think we need to clarify here that the prevailing prescription -- subscription model in the stock licensing industry is a royalty-free subscription model, and that if there is subscription editorial by Getty, that that's not a royalty-free subscription; that's a rights-managed subscription.

Q Does Getty offer a rights-managed subscription model?

A They may offer it to corporations, but in your expert's reports, they were referring to

royalty-free subscription.

Q I didn't ask you about my expert's reports.

I'm asking about what Getty does.

The question is, as you sit here today, can you testify under oath that -- whether or not Getty offers rights-management -- rights-managed subscription licenses for photographs?

A I've already testified on that today, and what I explained to you was that a company can arrange with Getty to have bulk licensing in place, whether that's a textbook company or a news publishing, et cetera, to have a bulk licensing contract under a rights-managed editorial model.

And so the answer would be yes, but I just don't know actually if they specifically call it subscription.

- Q And do you know what Getty's fee is for that service?
- A No. That's negotiated with each entity and depends on the scope of use.
- Q Is it fair to say that larger buyers have negotiating power with sellers?
- A Yes, for the images that they actually have in inventory.
  - Q And in the stock photography realm, is a

2.3

Page 193

purchaser -- in other words, someone -- let's say someone goes to Getty's website and -- and sees some photographs that they want to buy under a rights-managed model.

Can the purchaser, the licensee, pick up the phone and call Getty and try to negotiate a lower price for the license?

A You can do that in any business, and yes, you could do that if you chose to do that.

Q Is it fair to say that -- that all of the stock photo companies will do the same thing?

A Many of them would. Especially if you're -- if you're licensing large quantities repeatedly in bulk and they want to sign up a new customer.

Q For the benchmarks -- excuse me. For the benchmarks that you used in this case, did you pick up the phone and call any of the three stock photo companies to try to negotiate a lower rights-managed fee than what you put in your report?

A I used their standard fees. I believe I did call them with questions, but I didn't want to introduce the existence of a lawsuit into that survey or any specific information about the companies involved.

So I wanted to get their standard market pricing that they offer to the public --

Q Okay.

2.3

- A -- which I -- which I did.
- Q So the answer is no, you did not negotiate the fee down with any of them or try to negotiate it down?
- A I did not. I asked them for their standard rates. I didn't say, "Charge me any higher than what your standard rate -- your standard offering is to the public, and to companies who come there and license every day."
- Q In the photography licensing world, are photographs ever licensed for editorial use on a royalty-free basis?
- A Somewhat of an oxymoron, because a royalty-free basis would be usage in any media for all time, internationally. And so editorial use is one type of media that it can be licensed for.

You know, the stock agencies right now are gasping for their last breaths, many of them, and they will call anything anything to try and make a sale, in terms of changing their -- the words that they call things on their websites and, you know, competing with each other and competing with the

1 bigger players.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if they call something royalty free that's not, I -- I don't know what to say about it other than they're just throwing stuff on the wall and seeing what sticks. But an editorial license is by definition not royalty free.

- Q Bad question.
- A I liked that question.
- Q Yeah. I know you did.

Let's say a buyer wants to use a photograph for an editorial purpose.

Can that buyer go out and license a photograph on a royalty-free basis?

A That's a good question. The buyer can license a photograph on a royalty-free basis, yes. That would include being able to use it in editorial and in all other media.

- Q True royalty free?
- A True royalty free. Things are in flux, as I mentioned earlier.
- Q And isn't it true, sir, that today media companies can go out and license photographs for their editorial use on a royalty-free basis pretty regularly?
  - A I don't know that, the "pretty regularly"

part.

If you're asking me can they go out and license photographs and only use them editorially even if they have the right to use them for anything, I would say yes, just not from Brittney Gobble.

- Q And we'll talk a lot more about Brittany in a little bit.
  - A Yes.
- Q You've mentioned some of the changes that have taken place in the -- the world of photography licensing and prices over the last few years with the advent of digital cameras and everybody having a phone today.

I suppose those are factors; is that right?

- A Some, but those are factors.
- Q From 2015 to 2019, what has happened to the prices of stock photography?

A Well, there was 9.17 percent decrease in Getty's pricing overall, and that's fairly consistent with other players. They kind of follow suit, and they watch the pricing at Getty and they adjust their pricing.

So I said 9.17 percent without looking at my actual tables, but every year we go in and price

different usages for different -- just to monitor so that we have that data in the background. And it's -- it's a decrease due to competition but also due to the need to maintain or create or increase margins.

Q Now, when you say there's a decrease, are you saying that from 2015 to 2016 and then from 2016 to 2017, et cetera, each year there's approximately a 9 percent drop in the prices?

A No. In my report there's an exhibit that documents my methodology for determining the 9.17.

I'm saying that approximately 9 percent decrease in pricing offered by Getty Images.

And so what I did was I took my 20- -- what would have been 2017 survey and then -- in Sinclair's favor, actually, rolled it back to the 2015 prices without taking into consideration what the price would have been in 2016, 2017, or 2018 for relicensing.

- Q Are you saying that photography licenses in 2015 were cheaper than in 2017 or 2018 or 2019?
- A No, it's the opposite. Nine-point-something percent decrease from 2015 to 2017.
  - Q Got it.

A So I took my 2017 survey and I adjusted it

Page 198 for the -- let me look -- I'm speaking a little off 1 the cuff here. Can I look at my report --Q Yeah. 3 4 -- just to make sure I'm giving you correct information. 5 Q Of course. Any time you feel like you need 6 7 to. That's why it's in front of you. 8 Α Yeah, yeah. Doing that from memory and --MR. MARDER: And let's go off the record 9 while Mr. Sedlik gets it out and looks at it. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 11 12 record. The time is 3:27 p.m.13 (A recess was taken from 3:27 p.m. 14 to 3:40 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on the 16 The time is 3:40 p.m. record. 17 MR. MARDER: Just for the record, during 18 the break we -- we marked Mr. Sedlik's Exhibit H to 19 his report, preliminary report, as Exhibit 16. Exhibit I we've marked as Exhibit 17 to the 20 21 deposition. And we've marked Exhibit A to Mr. Sedlik's 22 23 preliminary report as Exhibit 18 to this deposition. 24 (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 16, 25 Defendants' Exhibit 17, and

Page 199 Defendants' Exhibit 18 were marked 1 for identification by the Court 2 Reporter.) 3 4 BY MR. MARDER: 5 Correct? And --0 Α Yes, sir. 6 7 Q -- you have those in front of you? 8 All right. Now, did you have a chance to 9 look at those exhibits during the break? I did, and I need to correct my testimony. 10 Α Please go ahead. 11 Q 12 Α So in my earlier testimony I had this 13 exhibit pictured in my head, as photographers will 14 do, and the -- in the market rate adjustment, 2017 15 versus 2015 exhibit --16 For the record, can you just say which 17 exhibit number it is, please? 18 It is Exhibit 17 -- instead of the columns 19 being presented in chronological order, they're 20 presented in reverse chronological order, and in my head I had it pictured backwards when I was 21 22 testifying earlier. 2.3 So this time period is an example of a time 24 period in which, between 2015 and 2017, the price 25 increased from 1,940 to 2,000- -- from \$1,940 to

Page 200 \$2,135 for the same use. 1 2 Similarly with the second use example, it increased from 1,090 to \$1,200. 3 4 And so to back down the rates to what they 5 were in 2015, I decreased the actual damages, the 6 hypothetical license fee, by the average of 7 9.15 percent. 8 I did remember my earlier testimony. 9.13 percent was in there, recalled that back from 9 some time ago. But I decreased the damages by 10 9.13 percent. 11 12 Now I'm looking at Exhibit I, and I want to 0 make sure I understand this. 13 Exhibit I has a number of columns on it; 14 15 You see that? right? 16 Α Yes. 17 The second column says "Reference Image." Q 18 Do you see that? 19 Α Yes. 20 And then there's a number in that column 0 for each of the two rows. 21 22 Do you see that? 23 Α Yes. What is that number? 24 0 It's the stock number of the -- of the 25 Α

reference image to be able to look up that image and track the price over time for different types of usage.

- Q So, if I understand your methodology correctly, in order to make your price adjustments that you talked about earlier and that you just talked about, you compared the price of a single image at Getty Images from 2015 to 2017 to see if there was any difference?
  - A Every year we do that, but yes.
  - Q For this particular image?

2.3

- A We have a number of sample images and a number of different media descriptions. They -- these were representative examples, where I found that there was a -- that I could decrease the damages and should decrease it, in fairness, and so I did so.
- Q How many other photographs did you look at to compare the price from 2015 to 2017 to see if there were any changes?
- A To come up with the adjustment for -- in this case, I compared this one photograph and -- under these two different usages and used the average of 9.15 percent.
  - Q Did you do any analysis to determine

whether there was a price difference for this image from 2017 to 2019?

A I did this analysis in -- whenever I did my preliminary report. So the answer would be no, I was not looking at this analysis in 2019.

- Q Your preliminary report is dated --
- 7 A Was it 2018?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Well, let's look at your preliminary report.

Your preliminary report in this case was submitted April 12th, 2019. Take a look at Exhibit 8.

You see that?

A Got it.

Q So the question is, have you done any analysis to determine whether prices in 2018 and 2019 were different from the prices in 2017 or 2015?

A No.

Q If I wanted to go back and verify your work here and double check it, how would I do that?

A You could look at the examples that I've provided where there are screen shots of pricing in various years, and then run it against -- and look -- and look it up in this table.

Q Other than the data we've just looked at in

Exhibit I, can you point to any other data in the marketplace that says that stock photo prices increased from 2015 to 2017?

2.3

A My observation of the pricing in the stock industry is that it waffles.

Generally, any increase will be met a couple of years later by a stepdown. And so certainly competition is -- is -- is driving pricing to some extent, but it's been waffling like this over time. It will go down; it will go slightly up; it will go down.

Q I appreciate that. My question was a little different.

I'm asking you whether you can point to any other data in the marketplace other than Exhibit I that shows that stock photo prices increased from 2015 to 2017?

A To do a complete analysis of that would require a very significant and expensive effort that isn't commensurate with the scope of my work in this project. It would -- all the work that I've put in would even be more work than all the -- all the hours I've ever put in in order to a full analysis of the stock photography market. And so this is meant to be representative and fair to the parties

in making this adjustment.

2.3

Q So the answer to my question is you cannot point to any other data other than Exhibit I that shows that stock prices increased from 2015 to 2017?

A This is consistent with my other observations of the ebb and flow of the marketplace.

And to further explain, the report that I did this pricing for was the WENN report and samples that I took were for the WENN report, I believe.

And the pricing samples that I took were in 2017 for the WENN report.

So that explains why it says 2017 there.

And I rolled it back to 2015 because that was the period of time that was relevant on when it started.

If I wanted to be less -- I also could have taken the price in 2016, the price in 2017 -- at that time we didn't know that usage had continued through 2018, I don't believe. I mean, now we know it's been ongoing in social media, and this does not reflect any damages for social media use. But I just took it back to 2015.

Q I understand when you prepared this, and I understand the timing of that. But that's not my question. And I'm also not asking about your anecdotal observations. I'm not discounting them,

but my question is not focused on that.

A Yeah.

- Q The question is, as you sit here today, can you point to any data that indicates that stock photo prices increased from 2015 to 2017 other than what you have in Exhibit I?
- A Not sitting here today. That was the question.
  - Q Yes. Okay.

You have indicated in Exhibit I an average of 9.15 percent price differential.

- A Yes.
- O What's the error rate for that?
- A My -- my methodology here is fairly straightforward: Sampling a couple of images over time and not getting into a full survey that, as I mentioned, would be a very significant undertaking. And so I don't know what the error rate to those -- to those numbers are.
- Q Was this a statistically significant sample size? In other words, was your sample size sufficient so that your results are statistically significant?
- A I would have to sample tens of thousands of images, and it's just not practical.

The approach that you took here where you 1 2 looked at the price for one image and compared 2015 3 to 2017, is that approach generally accepted in the photography community for determining the pricing 4 5 of -- the pricing change for photographs? 6 Α There's no such thing in the photography 7 community. I have seen a stock photography expert 8 use it in another case. Because all these data 9 points would require significant -- well, I'm not 10 going to repeat my testimony. I remember what you talked earlier. 11 Q 12 I just -- you know, I think that in many Α 13 instances you would just sample the market and come up with the actual damages and maybe not adjust it, 14 15 but I felt that adjusting it would be fair to Sinclair. 16 17 Are there any books or treatises or other 18 publications that you can identify that have used 19 this method to determine the price difference from 20 one year to another? There's not enough demand to drive 21 22 that kind of thing. 23 Do you know what a net present value Q 24 analysis is?

25

Α

No.

Page 207 Do you know how to perform one? 1 Q Not my job, no. Α Can there ever be an implied sublicense? 3 Q Under certain circumstances. 4 Α What are those circumstances? 5 0 Α It's very limited. If I license you -- can 6 7 I give you an example to explain it? Is that okay? 8 First generally explain the principle and 9 then please give me an example. There are certain types of reproduction 10 that are required in order to enjoy the benefit of 11 the license that you receive, and those are 12 13 utilitarian in nature, and by industry practice they are allowed and typically not considered to be 14 15 infringing activity, even though there might not be an express sublicense granted. 16 17 But normally a license that would allow you 18 to license rights to others would need to be 19 documented and it should be documented in writing. 20 And now can you give me some examples of Q implied sublicenses? 21 22 I license you the right to use one of 2.3 my photographs on a poster only in the 24 United States, only 25,000 copies, only 25 30-by-40 inches. You then obtain a copy of the

2.3

Page 208

image file from me and -- oh, let's take it -- let
me -- let me replace it with I've got a print and I
hand you that print and you're going to scan that
print. Not you. You're going to hand it to a
digital service bureau and they're going to scan it.

So you just sublicensed your reproduction right to them to allow them to scan it for the purposes of you fulfilling your license.

The scanning bureau hands back the digital file to you; that's a reproduction. They store a backup copy of it; that's a reproduction. You then take it to the printer and they perform prepresstype operations on that file. You are basically -- in contracting with the printer, you're sublicensing to them the right to do what they need to do so that they can print this poster for the ultimate goal of allowing you to use your rights under that license.

And so the implied right to sublicense -your question was a little different. You said is
there such a thing as an implied sublicense, and I'm
referring to an implied right to sublicense, is what
my -- my example is.

So now you're scanning bureau or -- or I'm sorry -- your digital service bureau and your printer have reproduced this photograph with your

2.3

Page 209

permission in order to do this poster that I've granted you the right to do but not expressly stated that you have the right to scan that and print -- and give it your printer to make further duplicates.

Then they put it on a truck and the truck distributes it, and -- and so there's distribution and reproduction going on that I haven't expressly described in the license that -- but that industry norms consider to be allowable, even though I guess I could turn around and sue you for it, but people don't in general do that.

- Q Are there any kind of situations where you can have an implied sublicense to exhibit a photograph on the internet?
  - A Can you throw one out there? I can --
- Q So let me -- let me try to think creatively for a second.
  - A Okay. Because I tried.
- Q What about this: Someone I know just recently sold a condominium. And when the realtor came to them, my friend was all concerned and he said, "I don't know how to take pictures of my condo." And so the realtor said, "Don't worry. We have a service we contract with, and so I'll get them to come in and take the pictures." And they

were good, by the way.

2.3

So the photographer has an agreement with the realtor to take pictures, but there's nothing in that agreement that explicitly talks about the realtor putting them in the MLS system.

Can there be an implied sublicense in that situation?

A Have you been following all the litigation on that example? That's "VHT v. Zillow," by the way.

- Q Talk me through it. Can there --
- A I believe the court of appeals determined no, that there was not an implied sublicense.

But in -- that's -- that's kind of a complex example. I suppose that -- no, I mean, in -- in those circumstances, usually the photography company will have express provisions in its agreement with the parties that's hiring it that say here's what you can and can't do with an image and here are your obligations.

Q Let's assume for purposes of this example that those conditions are not in the explicit license between the photographer and the realty company, okay? Just for purpose of that.

And I'm not really asking what the court of

appeals, whatever it was, said.

A Right.

Q I want to know what your opinion is in the industry.

Can there be an implied sublicense in the context of -- where a realty company gets an outside photographer to photograph real property, and then the photographer puts those up on the MLS system?

I want to know, in your opinion, based on industry practice, can there be an implied sublicense in that situation, allowing the realty company to do that?

A So you just said the photographer put it up on the MLS. I think you said -- you wanted to say the realty company put it up on the MLS.

Q Yes, thanks, I did.

A Okay. I think that the realty company could very possibly get sued. It's just an area where there's a lot of litigation around that, about putting those images up on the MLS without an express license from the photographer.

So there just happens to be dozens of lawsuits, including attempts at class actions. And I've been involved in some of them in the background and in the foreground. So that example is not -- it

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Documente 168 2 13 direction of 120/21 Page 2 12 13 2019 Page 212 was a great example, but it -- it's hard for me to 1 answer it, and also might jeopardize some of my other cases. I would have to really think it 3 4 through a little bit. I need to take it out of the real estate context. 5 6 Well, within the real estate context, Q 7 because I want to use that example because I 8 understand it --9 Α Okay. -- clearly. 10 0 You know, I mean, it's an easy example for 11 me to understand here. I don't mean I clearly have 12 13 an understanding of the area. So, using this example for a second, have 14 15 you rendered any opinions on that subject? You said 16 you were involved in -- in some of the cases. 17 Have you rendered any opinions yet on that 18 subject? 19 Α Well, the VH- --20 MR. ALLEN: Objection as to form. 21 BY MR. MARDER: 22 Have you testified to any opinions on this Q 23 topic in connection with any of the litigation you mentioned? 24

MR. ALLEN: Objection as to form.

25

Page 213 BY MR. MARDER: 1 Q You can answer. Α "VHT v. Zillow." And would you allow me to 3 4 just quickly look at my case list in my report and 5 I'll give you the other case? 6 Q Yeah. Did you say VHT? Yeah. 7 Α "VHT v. Zillow." 8 0 Got it. Α Report. This will just take one second. 9 There are even attorneys who specialize in 10 these real estate photographer cases. 11 It's a --12 it's a pretty wild area. 13 I thought I was throwing out something simple. 14 15 Α Yeah, yeah. 16 In my list -- in my -- I'm looking at 17 Exhibit 8, page 7 of my report, which is a list of 18 my cases in the last four years preceding this 19 report. 20 And it says "In Re Multiple Listing Service Real Estate Photo Litigation." Who would have 21 22 thunk; right? 23 It actually says that? Q 24 Α It actually says that, yeah. 25 All right. So now that we have identified Q

Page 214 it, can you tell me if you've -- if you've testified 1 2 to an opinion in that case on that topic? Α I think there's -- I might have testimony 3 4 in that case and in "VHT v. Zillow," which I'm --5 I remember. That's --Q Α Actually, it's 8. 6 7 Q Yeah. 8 Α Both very interesting cases. 9 And what was your opinion in that case on 0 whether -- those cases as to whether a sublicense 10 existed in that situation? 11 12 Α Well, in the Zillow case, it went even 13 beyond that. MLS was involved, but it went beyond 14 that to Zillow, who was my client, taking the images 15 from the MLS -- or allowing people, third parties, 16 the public, to take images from the MLS and say, "I 17 like this." 18 And it would put on a special site at 19 Zillow where its customers could say, "Well, that's a great toilet in that house that was for sale." 20 21 And I don't actually recall --22 Q But again --23 -- the substance of my opinion in that Α 24 That wasn't really an MLS one. case.

I want to go back to in either one of those

25

Q

1 cases or both of them.

What were your opinions on the subject that you testified to?

A I testified on image licensing and the value of licenses in those case, in general, and what the agreements between the brokers and these photographers, how you could reasonably interpret those.

And I don't recall what my opinions were.

I mean, I recall the substance -- I mean, the topics on which I was testifying, but I don't recall the substance.

And this other one, I think -- I could swear that it was called "Stevens v. CoreLogic," and I just -- I don't see that case here, but this might have been another name for it when they were trying to get -- establish a class. But --

- Q Okay.
- A -- I'm sorry, I --
- Q Let's move on from there.

Why do news media companies use wire services or stock photo companies?

A For the most part, it's for access to a pool of images as they are created so that the word "new" in news is actually -- has some meaning when

they're reporting it. So they're -- they're tapping into all the photojournalists who are out there making images and almost in real time.

Q Is it reasonable for a news media organization with a subscription contract with either a wire service or a stock photo company to conclude that the wire service or the stock photo company obtained the proper license so that the media company could use the image?

A I can answer that if you would just take the word "subscription" out of it.

Can you just ask it with the word -- just has a contract for a supply of images --

- Q Sure.
- A -- because I can answer it, and you will --
- Q Sure.

2.3

- 17 A -- probably like my answer.
  - Q If -- if a -- is it reasonable for a news media organization that has a contract with either a wire service or a stock photo company to obtain images -- is it reasonable for the news media organization to conclude that the wire service or the stock photo company would have taken the steps necessary to obtain a license permitting the news media organization to display the photograph?

A Yes, until they are informed otherwise about the lack of a license or the lack of the sufficiency of a license or the termination of a license or the existence of potential or actual litigation concerning the alleged license.

2.3

Q We've talked at various times today about rights-managed licenses and royalty-free licenses.

Generally today, is there any qualitative difference between the images that one can get with a rights-managed license versus those with a royalty-free license?

A There has been some developments in the last week that really affect that answer that are not relevant to the time period in which this instant matter occurred; so I can talk about that and I can talk about before that.

Q We'll talk about that in a little bit.

Let's take up until the beginning of November, before -- before Getty's announcement and what the other stock photo companies have done.

A Okay. The competition in the stock industry drove an increase -- drove the -- the distributors, the stock agencies, to seek out better quality images to offer up as royalty free so as -- because they can -- let me finish the sentence -- so

as to be able to compete, draw more customers.

You know, they dropped their prices to the -- you know, over time from the '80s into the '90s and early 2000s to the point where they didn't have a lot of room to go down further in prices, or they had to -- you know, there's only so much give there.

They can reduce their operational overhead to maintain their margins; they can provide customers with a better, easier way to access -- find and access content that they might want to access; they can provide more -- they can reduce the amount of friction involved in -- in obtaining licenses, you know, but they didn't have -- really have a lot of room on price.

So that's why the price is just like this (indicating), you know, in my observation over -- over those interim years.

And now I just lost track of -- I want to give you a more precise answer. I'm sorry.

Q I'll read back the question.

We've talked at various times today about rights-managed licenses and royalty-free licenses.

Generally -- generally today, is there any qualitative difference between the images that one

can get with a rights-managed license versus those with a royalty-free license?

2.3

And then you said there's some recent developments; and then I said exclude those recent developments and let's just look at, let's say, before November of this year.

A I think there's still a difference in the level of quality of rights-managed images versus royalty free in general. The real question is -- it's a side issue, but I'll just mention it -- is does the consumer care? Does the licensee care about that difference in quality, whether that is licensing, composition, the use of color? Can they see it? Does that have value to them?

And do they -- does it matter to them that it's likely that many more entities are out there using -- and potentially competitors are out there using those royalty-free images, possibly in competition with them, trying to sell similar products or services or what have you.

And so I guess the direct answer to your question is, if you look at the rights-managed images versus royalty-free images, you do see a difference in quality still, but it's not as great as it has been in the past.

Page 220

In the past -- in the distant past -- I'm talking about the late '90s, mid- to late '90s -- royalty free was clip art -- maybe it was late '80s and early '90s -- clip art. Two hands shaking, you know. A tomato on a white background, you know. Just things that were throw-aways, almost, and that's changed so that the quality is getting to the point where there's not as much as a differential, but it's my observation, my personal opinion that there's still a differential.

Q Over time, has subscription-based licensing become more common?

A Here, we'll keep that to royalty-free subscription, because we've been using the subscription term applicable, trying to apply it to rights managed.

We'll just say royalty-free, if you'll permit me, subscription models have become much more popular than they were in the past. And of course, if you offer the customer lower prices, more images for those prices, they're going to like that.

- Q Have subscription-based rights-managed licenses also become more frequently available over time?
  - A I don't really follow that term, but if --

if -- so in general in my industry, "subscription stock" refers to royalty-free licensing.

Q Is it possible to have a subscription-based rights-managed photography license?

A In my testimony earlier today I described a scenario that would be similar to a subscription that you might even be able to label as subscription because it's similar in operation, where one entity enters a contract with a supplier where they get rights in limited media or otherwise limited rights for -- for content. But I would hesitate to call that subscription.

Q Is it almost an oxymoron to say that a rights-managed license is a subscription license?

A Yeah. I mean, I think I referred to that earlier as a bit of an oxymoron. But from an outside -- from a position outside the industry, you could look at a deal between Getty and McGraw-Hill, a publisher, where McGraw-Hill gets the right to use images in books and in the promotion of those books but not other rights, as a -- as a subscription in a way.

Q I want to move on to something else. Let's talk a little bit about your methodology in this case that you used to determine the actual damages

Page 222 1 in your report. Okay? Α 3 Okay. 4 What is the total actual damages that you assert Brittney Gobble Photography suffered in this 5 6 case? 7 The value of the license determined by my 8 calculations was -- I don't have it memorized. 9 Q And your report is also marked as Exhibit 8; so please feel free. 10 Yeah. Getting there. 11 Α 12 The base actual damages of \$6,212,215.82. 13 Q And then you've applied a 3 to 5 times multiplier to that? 14 15 A range of 3 to 5 times for scarcity. 16 Now, to make the math easy for us after Q 17 lunch, can we say after applying the 3 to 5 times, 18 the range is approximately 18 million to 30 million? 19 Α Yes. 20 As you sit here today, can you identify one 21 transaction -- even just one -- where a news media 22 organization paid between 18 million and 30 million 23 to buy approximately 51 images or 55 images of cats? 24 Α No. 25 As you sit here today, can you identify one Q

transaction where a news media organization has paid between 18 million and 30 million to license any photograph?

A No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q As you sit here today, can you identify any transaction in which a news media organization has paid between 18 million and 30 million to license any set of photographs?

A No.

Q The methodology that you used to calculate the range of damages in this case, does it have a name?

A No.

Q Is it described in any book or treatise or other publication?

A No.

Q Is it generally accepted in the photographic community as a way to determine a photography license?

A I followed the -- I followed the -- my methodology involves considering the usage that actually took place, finding an equivalent license in the marketplace, and making any adjustments based on the characteristics of the image.

And that's -- that methodology is followed

with every rights-managed license.

2.3

Q Well, when determining rights-managed licenses, I assume that you're not going to stock photo companies picking images or a set of them and then applying multipliers for a normal transaction; is that fair to say?

"multiplier" would not be used regularly. It's a term of convenience that I have applied to the adjustment for scarcity of an image, in the same way that when somebody comes to me as a licensing representative for the Phil Stern estate and they might go pick a generic image for a certain usage for \$50, and I negotiate \$6,000 because of the scarcity of the image, and I define the criteria for the license, I'm -- I'm not going out and sampling.

But in this case I had to sample because I didn't have any historical license to base it on.

Q Is the method that you used to determine the value of the license fees in this case a generally accepted method that's used to determine license fees for photographs?

A I don't really get the -- get the question.

Because obviously you're not having to go -- if

you've got an actual image that somebody's

requesting, you don't have to go out to the industry and sample rates in order to come to an average rate and then apply an adjustment for scarcity; you offer the rate, just like I -- in the example I just spoke of.

You know, I had two images licensed for editorial use only one time for \$6,000.

Q What is the error rate for your calculations in this case?

A I don't know what the error rate would be.

I do know that in the WENN case the Court accepted the methodology; in "Leonard v. Stemtech," they accepted the methodology; in "Under a Foot Plant Company v. Exterior Designs," they accepted the methodology.

Q And I appreciate that, but that wasn't my question.

The three benchmarks that you used in this case, what evidence do you have that they have ever actually been sold for the license fees that you have proposed in this case?

- A I didn't propose any license fees in this case.
- Q Well, let me rephrase it.
  - A I mean, I didn't propose any license fees

Page 226 1 for those benchmarks. I just obtained -- you could 2 say obtained sample license fees. Take a look at Exhibit 16, please. 3 0 sorry -- yeah, it's Exhibit 16, which is your 4 Exhibit H. 5 6 Α I'm sorry. 7 Take a look to your right, see if it's in 8 that pile. 16. 9 Α 18. What is Exhibit 16? 10 0 These are screenshots of three example 11 Α 12 licenses. 13 And we're referring to these as benchmarks in this case; correct? 14 15 You are. I don't think I used that 16 terminology, but I don't disagree with that 17 terminology. 18 Fair enough. If we can just use that so 19 that we're understandings what we're referring to 20 during the deposition, that would be helpful. 21 Α Yes. 22 So let's refer to the first benchmark, 23 which is on the first page of pictures. 24 Do you see that up at the top?

25

Α

Yes.

		Page 227
1	Q	Looks like that was obtained from First
2	Light	
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	stock photo company?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And I see the various licensing options
7	that are	listed there.
8		Did you choose those?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Were any of those based on assumptions?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Which ones?
13	А	I'm going to Exhibit 8 to refresh my
14	recollect	tion as to the particular assumptions
15	dictated	to me in this case.
16		So general general answer is it's based
17	on all th	ne assumptions, but I know you want a more
18	specific	answer.
19		So if we drill down Exhibit K
20	Q	Assumption K?
21	А	I'm sorry, Assumption K in Exhibit 8.
22	Q	Any others?
23	А	All of them indirectly.
24	Q	Understood.
25		Now, looking at the first image in

Exhibit 16, which is the image obtained from First Light, it shows a price of \$670 with the options that you've included there; is that correct?

A Yes.

2.3

Q And I notice that you have this selected as a full-size image, 5-by-8, 10 megabytes, 300 pixels per inch, RGB; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, what is the industry standard for pixels per inch when displaying a photograph online?

A I'm sorry, it does say full size, but that's not the largest size; there's much larger sizes available.

Images are typically displayed at 72 ppi, but they're often licensed at this size so as to maintain apparent quality when viewed at various sizes on various monitors, especially as monitors get larger and larger.

So this is toward the bottom end of the sizes that are available, even though it's called full size. I don't know why they call it full size.

Q Can you identify even one news media organization that typically displays photographs on their websites that are 10 megabytes and at 300 ppi?

A The images that they obtain from their

Page 229 suppliers are 20 megabytes, 30 megabytes, 1 2 50 megabytes, depending on what the camera produces. I'm asking specifically about how they 3 Q 4 display them. 5 Can you name one media organization that 6 displays photographs on their websites that are in 7 the range of 10 megabytes and at 300 pixels per 8 inch? You don't display it at that size. 9 Α You license it and obtain it that size, and then you 10 just -- and you make multiple copies at various 11 dimensions from that second generation. 12 13 0 And with this particular image that you used as a benchmark here, it's also possible to 14 15 license it at a smaller size; correct? At a smaller file size. 16 Α And it's also possible to license it at 17 Q 18 fewer pixels per inch than 300; correct? 19 Α I think there's two steps down from this. I think this was the third-smallest size. 20 21 Now, next to 300 pixels per inch, it says 22 "RGB"; correct? 23 Α Yeah. 24 What is RGB? 0

Red-green-blue --

25

Α

Page 230 Correct. Red-green-blue? Did you say 1 2 red-green --Α RGB. 3 4 Q And the RGB standard is used by what 5 organizations? 6 All organizations, but when you -- when you 7 print you print in CMYK. 8 And when you display online, what is the standard that is used? 9 You can use RGB. 10 What is typically used? 11 Q 12 Α I mean, I personally use RGB and very often 13 it's displayed in RGB. Are you familiar with sRGB? 14 15 It's in the family of RGB. I don't 16 believe there's an sRGB option when you're 17 downloading from that site, but there might be. 18 don't think that there is. 19 Q Are you sure? 20 I'm not absolutely positive. Α So looking at this first image -- excuse 21 22 me -- looking at this first image that we've been 23 discussing in Exhibit 16, what evidence do you have 24 that that image has sold for that price and with those licensing options at any time? 25

A I don't have evidence of the licensing history of that image.

Q With respect to the image below that, from Getty Images, what evidence do you have that that image ever sold for that price and with the licensing terms set forth in that image?

A The same answer for all three images in this exhibit.

Q That you have no evidence that they've sold under those terms and prices?

A I can't get at the licensing history for images from these private companies.

O Understood.

2.3

I want to make sure I'm clear, though, that as we sit here today, you don't have any evidence that any of these three images ever sold at those prices and those terms; correct?

A Correct. However, images routinely license at those types of prices for that type of usage.

These were just three cat pictures that I felt -- even if -- even if I didn't use cat pictures as my example, it wouldn't really matter here, but these prices are normal prices for rights-managed usage with these specifications.

Q What book or treatise or other publication

did you rely on when coming up with the methodology you used to compute damages in this case?

A I didn't rely on a book or treatise. I relied on -- upon my expertise and experience in image licensing for decades.

Q Understood.

2.3

And we're finished with Exhibit 16, by the way, for now; so you can go ahead and put that down.

I understand that scarcity was one of the assumptions in this case; correct?

A It was -- well, let me double-check that.

Q Yeah. Let me just point you to

Assumption M. I'm not trying to fool you here, but

just take a look at Exhibit M -- take a look at

Assumption M.

A Yes.

Q Now, that was an assumption you were given initially by Mr. Quisenberry?

A Yes. I did, however, look at the marketplace in that time period, even though I relied on the assumption ultimately and I don't have an obligation to confirm the veracity of the assumptions in this case, because they're handed to me, I don't control them, I did on the subject of scarcity, as you've seen in my supplementary report,

seek confirmation, even though it wasn't part of my obligations or the service that I was providing in this -- in this case.

And I further reconfirmed the accuracy of my opinions and of -- using other means.

- Q Are Lykoi cat images still scarce today in 2019?
  - A Yes.

2.3

- Q And are they at the same level of scarcity in 2019 as they were in 2015?
- A Whichever way I answer that, you're going to say, "What data or study did you rely on?"

But I'll tell you what I did recently to verify my opinions as to the scarcity of Lykoi cat images in the marketplace was speak to the three photographers of cats who are the -- my understanding -- the leading providers of cat images and ask them directly if these were scarce images in 2015, and they responded that they were very -- very rare in 2015, and explained that the cats were relatively new in the marketplace.

And I asked them to tell me no if they believed that they were not rare, and they all three said they were very rare. I'm sure they would confirm those statements.

Q I appreciate that, but that doesn't address my question.

2.3

My question is addressed to whether there's been any change in the scarcity from 2015 to 2019.

So I'll repeat it just so I'm clear. And I'm going -- I'm going to rephrase it and repeat it.

I'm trying to focus on, from 2015 to 2019, whether the level of scarcity has changed at all for Lykoi cat images.

So what data do you have which indicates the level of scarcity in 2015 for Lykoi cat images compared to 2019?

A So I have the assumption, number one, but then, secondly, I have the confirmation of my opinions with three leading providers in that actual space, that content space.

But I would -- if -- if you were to ask me if I -- if it's reasonable to assume that Lykoi cat photographs are not as scarce today in 2019 as they would have been or were in 2015, I would agree that it would be reasonable to assume that because the breed has spread now and the cats are more accessible. And they're at more shows and more photographers would have access to them.

Q When was the last time that you went online

Page 235 and actually did a search for Lykoi cat images to 1 determine how many are available online to license? Α The -- before submitting my surrebuttal, 3 4 and I included that information in my surrebuttal with actual quantities found in the searches. 5 6 Q And you said that it's reasonable to assume 7 that they are less rare today than they were in 8 2015. How much less rare? 9 Well, this year, as of the time I did my 10 Α search -- I have to pull out my report --11 12 0 Please. 13 Α -- to refresh my memory. This is Exhibit No. 9, surrebuttal report. 14 15 Page 13 of Exhibit 9, Section J. (As read): 16 Defendants' experts failed to 17 contemplate the relative scarcity of 18 Lykoi photographs in the 19 marketplace. The search at 20 gettyimages.com on June 21st, 2019, using the word "cat" returned 21 22 400,000 -- over 400,000 photographs, 2.3 in contrast to search at gettyimages.com on June 21st, 2019, 24

using the word "Lykoi" returned

25

Page 236

17 photographs, many of which are very poor quality and only six of which are available for rights-managed licensing. Quality Lykoi photographs remain scarce today and a suitable scarcity multiplier is justified in the matter.

And I would additionally point out that, of those six available, that some of them were candids with people, as I mentioned, with coffee cups in the background or -- or what have you at cat shows, that people just grabbed shots of them, very much unlike Brittney Gobble's staged orchestrations.

Q Okay. I appreciate the answer.

Now, from 2015 to 2019, explain to us the change in scarcity? In other words, by percentage, how much less scarce are Lykoi cat images in 2019 compared to 2018, 2017, 2016, and 2015?

A I mentioned earlier that I don't have that data, but that I confirmed -- I confirmed my opinions by discussion with the three providers, who all confirmed that Lykoi cat images were very scarce at that time.

Q And you've given a range of a multiplier of

between 3 and 5 times the base license fee; correct?

A Yes.

2.3

Q How have you adjusted that over time from 2015 to 2019 to take into account the change in scarcity?

A I provided the range of 3 to 5 times, and I think the WENN Court selected 3 times as a reasonable multiplier.

The images remain scarce, and as I do not have the data to support a precise calculation, a multiplier, I included that range. And that range is based on my experience and observation. And I'll speak further about it, should you ask.

Q So then you have not adjusted your multiplier from 2015 to 2019 to take into account the decrease in scarcity of the images?

A The photographers who I spoke with suggested that 3 to 5 times -- well, two of the three photographers suggested that a multiplier of 3 to 5 times is not enough to compensate for the actual scarcity of the Lykoi photographs in 2015, and that it would likely be much more similar to the license that I mentioned earlier today where -- with Phil Stern where similar photographs were licensed for \$50 and I licensed it for \$6,000, for two; so

from a hundred dollars total to \$6,000. Because of the scarcity of the photograph, it's a significant multiplier.

2.3

I don't use the word "multiplier" when -in day-to-day licensing practice. I use it for the
purpose of referring to this methodology.

But, nevertheless, I'm still comfortable with the 3 to 5 times, even though these photographers said it should be much more due to the very scarce nature of the photographs then.

And my search in -- just a couple of months ago showed the relative scarcity of Lykoi photographs versus other cat photographs.

Q So the answer to my question is you've made no adjustment to the 3 to 5 times multiplier from 2015 to 2019 to take into consideration the change in scarcity?

A Based on my discussions with these photographers more recently, I would say that I would be more comfortable increasing that 3 to 5 times to 3 to 10 times.

However, in my conversations with photographers over the last two decades, sitting and speaking -- sitting down and speaking with groups of photographers about pricing issues in photography

and discussing how to come to the prices for their licenses, the 3 to 5 times is the most common range.

2.3

You know, some photographers would say 10 times or 20 times, but I treat those as outliers and that's how I arrived at 3 to 5 times.

Q Mr. Sedlik, I appreciate that and you've given me a lot of information about various things in response to the question, but you haven't answered the question.

A I wasn't evading the question. I got off on a tangent. I'll come right back to your question if you can restate it.

Q And I appreciate that. It's been interesting hearing those other things, but my question is very narrow.

Is it fair to say that you have made no adjustment to the 3 to 5 times multiplier to take into consideration the change in scarcity between 2015 and 2019 for Lykoi cat pictures?

A I think that the range accommodates -- the 3 to 5 range accommodates any adjustment that might -- that might occur over that time period, given the -- still what I would call fairly extreme scarcity of Lykoi images. You know, perhaps it was 5 back then and it's 4 now, but 3 to 5 still is a

reasonable range.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q So the answer is no, you've made no adjustments?
- A That's why I provided the range, because I can't, in the scope of this engagement, do a several-hundred-thousand-dollar survey, historical examination to determine.
- Q So the answer to my question is no, you've made no adjustments; correct?
- A And that the 3 to 5 range in my opinion is -- is adequate and applicable and reasonable.
- Q You've made no adjustment to the multiplier; correct?
  - A To the multiplier range, correct.
- Q I want to try to understand what factors are to be looked at to determine the range for a multiplier.

Can you describe for us what factors you took into consideration when deciding the range of the multiplier in this case?

- A I just answered that question in my ranging answer a moment ago as to how I came up with a range of 3 to 5 times that I generally apply to cases of scarcity -- cases where scarcity is involved.
  - Q And what factors would indicate that the

2.3

Page 241

range should be, for instance, between 5 and 8 times the base?

A It very well could be, but because the majority of the respondents in my survey over a 20-year period, in speaking with these groups of photographers, fall into 3 to 5, I'm sticking with 3 to 5 even though certain circumstances might go much higher than 3 to 5.

Q Now, your survey said -- the size of your survey, you said, was three photographers; correct?

A No. Hundreds of photographers I mentioned that I have sat down with over more than two decades discussing pricing. I just described that whole thing to you.

Q Describe for me, sir, the conversations
you've had with these hundreds of photographers
where you discuss using a multiplier of a base
license fee to determine an appropriate license fee?

A I testified earlier that I typically don't use that terminology when I'm actually licensing, but I've already testified here today not ten minutes ago that in traveling around the country and the world, sitting with groups of photographers and talking about their businesses, where their industry is headed, what their licensing practice are --

2.3

Page 242

practices are, and in discussions of what happens when you're licensing, you know, relatively common images, like two hands shaking or an image that is -- where the supply is low, such as, you know, a relatively scarce image -- it doesn't have to go all the way to a picture of Bigfoot; it could be a picture of a Lykoi cat where there's not many available and yet somebody wants to run images of Lykois. What is the range? How much might they increase their price of a generic image over something where there's more demand?

Q And where have you compiled this dataset of information?

A I haven't compiled the dataset of information; that's just the source of the 3 to 5 range.

Q So these hundreds of photographers that you just mentioned who you say you've spoken to over the course of your career, you haven't recorded the ranges that they've given you; correct?

A No. And it's not individual conversations, typically. I mean, I did have individual conversations with the three cat photographers just to confirm that I had applied a reasonable range.

And they all three confirmed that, two of which said

it should be much higher than that.

2.3

These are my other conversations where -in my capacity as either the president of the
Advertising Photographers of America or as somebody
doing a lecture circuit for Advertising
Photographers of America or ASMP or other
discussions sitting with groups of photographers,
where I'm an invited speaker. I sit down in Denver
and we talk about the business and where it's going
and what their fears are and how -- how can they
price.

This is not price setting; this is a discussion of their historical licensing trends and practices. And when we get to the topic of when they have a generic image versus an image that is more rare, 3 to 5 times is the most common range.

In my own experience, I've been able to charge significantly greater prices over time for some of my more scarce images of people where the quality of the image is unique and the person can't be accessed anymore or what have you.

Q For instance, Marilyn Monroe. That would be a classic example of a picture where -- of a photograph where you couldn't access that person again to take another picture?

A Or even with my own photographs -Miles Davis, BB King, those type of people want to
do an album cover or an editorial.

2.3

The example I gave of -- last week with the \$6,000 for two editorial -- for one-time editorial use is another example.

Q What evidence do you have to conclude that Sinclair or another hypothetical media company similar to Sinclair would have accepted a rights-managed license in this context along the lines of what you're proposing?

A I don't have evidence that they would have accepted it. I do have the scenario of a willing buyer and seller and what the seller could reasonably require of the buyer for the use of the image.

And the -- the basis for the damages in this case to get to the level that they are is I took each of the stations and priced them as if they had each separately licensed the images.

And until I hear -- but -- but in doing that, I also provided pricing that showed what the license fee would be if it was Sinclair only. And I purposefully did that because I don't know what the determination of the Court is going to be as to

Page 245 whether the damages would apply to each station or 1 2 to Sinclair as the parent. MR. ALLEN: Is it time for a break? 3 MR. MARDER: Yeah, it's good with me. 4 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 4:49 p.m. 6 7 (A recess was taken from 4:49 p.m. 8 to 5:08 p.m.) 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the 10 record. The time is 5:08 p.m. BY MR. MARDER: 11 12 In the context of copyright violations, Q 13 have you heard of a cease-and-desist letter? Α 14 Yes. 15 What is that? Q 16 Α Well, one party can send an alleged 17 infringer or another party a letter that says stop 18 infringing and do not start again, and that's a 19 layperson's description of a cease-and-desist 20 letter. And they will describe the offending 21 22 concept and -- that needs to be stopped, and 2.3 generally there's a threat involved, and sometimes

Have you ever had anybody -- anybody steel

there's a demand involved, but not always.

24

25

Q

one of your images and use it without permission?

A Yes.

2.3

- Q In those instances did you send a cease-and-desist letter or have someone send it on your behalf?
  - A I've sent them.
- Q And do you believe it's a best practice to send a cease and desist letter when someone uses a photograph without authorization?
- A From the photography industry's perspective, we don't have a -- there's no standards or practices around cease-and-desist letters.

If you want to ask me in general, I -- you know, there are some entities -- there's a -- there's a lawyer today -- I guess I won't mention his name -- who -- he just files five to ten cases a week without speaking at all with or without contacting these entities against whom he's filing. And hopefully he was disbarred today, but we'll see.

But I -- I believe, you know, that it would be more professional for him to communicate in some way with the infringer. And whether that's a cease-and-desist letter or otherwise, I can't really say. But it would be my practice to send cease-and-desist letters.

Q And I'm not so much talking about what a lawyer does; you mentioned this lawyer who should be disbarred.

But when a photographer believes that the photographer's copyright has been violated, you would agree that an appropriate step would be to send a cease-and-desist letter?

A That is a -- a step that is available to a rights holder.

- Q And in fact, you believe that that is a best practice?
  - A It's my practice.

Q Have you ever told anybody that you believe that it is a good practice to send a cease-and-desist letter when a photographer believes that their copyright has been violated?

A I'm -- I'm -- I believe that I've -- I've said that. Because I don't believe in -- in filing suit without any contact whatsoever with a defendant.

At the same time, if the defendant receives information that would -- could or would lead them to believe -- reasonably believe that there might be a problem with a license or their use of an image, I believe that they have a responsibility to take

action.

Q Why would Sinclair pay \$30 million to license a group of photographs that Brittney Gobble gave away to others for free?

A So we can talk about the fact that

Brittney Gobble received consideration and -- and

issued her licenses, as they were, with the

expectation of financial concern and the receipt of

consideration.

I don't consider that for free. She did not request a license fee in exchange for those usages, and she, as the copyright holder, had the exclusive right to determine who she would allow and when and under what conditions to make use of the photograph -- her photographs.

And she has a long history of shutting down people who use her images for free without her permission.

Q Why would a hypothetical news media organization pay between 18- and \$30 million to license a group of photographs that the photographer has licensed to others without requiring the payment of a license fee from those others?

A In -- my hypothetical is built on a standard license of standard photographs but

adjusted across multiple entities.

And so that \$30 million or that range that you're talking about, you know, I -- as -- as is described in my report, the damages would vary based on the number of entities that are involved.

And so I treated each of these as separate companies in my damages calculation. And in fact, even companies such as Getty Images would require a separate license for each and every one of those domains.

I reconfirmed this yesterday by calling them and saying, "If you have an entity that has multiple subsidiaries, can you just license -- you know, purchase one license and allow that and then use those images across all those subsidiaries?"

The answer was, "Only if it's on the same domain. Otherwise, you need a separate license for each one."

So I'm confident that I took the correct path in following that model. But, of course, there will be a determination, I believe, in this matter as to the relationship of the sub- -- of the stations to the parent, and that will drive -- you know -- I may need to revise my damages calculation accordingly.

Q I appreciate all that, but that didn't answer the question. And try to focus on the words in my question.

Why would a hypothetical news media organization pay between 18- and \$30 million to license a group of photographs that the photographer has licensed to others without requiring the payment of a license fee from the others?

A They -- okay. As I mentioned, these damages are per entity. So I'm not -- my damages are calculated by -- are applicable to each station as a separate entity, and so no one station is paying \$30 million.

It's -- divided by all of the stations, gives you a lesser number -- or station -- and I -- you know, I know there's been complaints about the number of exhibits that I have and the length of the exhibits, but they're in fact not redundant.

What I've done is to allow for a determin- -- without recalculation of the damages, a determination of what the damages are per station, per image, per license, without having to recalculate. In other words, multiple facets on the same data.

So I just -- your question is difficult for

me to answer. I'm not evading it. The combination of those two things -- the free license I've separately answered; the \$30 million, you're saying why would one entity agree to that? And I'm saying, my damages treat those as all separate entities.

Each entity, you know -- do the numbers. Divide it by the number of stations.

And each -- and because of the rarity of the image, there's a simple adjustment of the standard license fee, times the number of years in that media, times -- adjusted for the scarcity of the image, is the license fee per station.

Q I'm not asking you about the facts of this case; I'm asking you a hypothetical.

You follow me?

2.3

A Hypothetical, I can't -- I can't even address the hypothetical.

Q Well, you're an expert witness in this case, and fair market value is determined by hypothetical buyer and seller; so I'm asking you a hypothetical now.

And the hypothetical is as follows: Assume you have a national media organization that through subsidiaries owns and controls multiple television and radio stations and operates their websites.

2.3

Page 252

Why would that organization pay between 18and \$30 million for a group of photographs to obtain a license from a photographer who has licensed those same photographs to others without requiring payment of any license fee?

A There's a disconnect with your -- the preface to the question and the -- the tail end of your question that makes it impossible for me to answer.

You're asking me why, but Brittney Gobble controls the circumstances under which she would offer up a license of the images. She doesn't have any licensing history for fees, and in order to determine damages, we have to look to the marketplace to see what a standard cat photograph would cost for the same usage that occurred in this case and then adjust it for rarity, adjust it for the time period, and there we have our -- our number.

Q And I didn't ask you about the facts of this case; I asked you about a hypothetical.

Do I understand you to be saying that you're incapable of answering my hypothetical today?

A I'm not refusing to answer it; I just can't answer it because it doesn't make any sense to me.

What evidence do you have that WENN 1 actually sent a kill notice to Sinclair? 2 Α So I've read the kill notice, and I've seen 3 4 the testimony from WENN stating that they sent it to 5 all their clients, including Sinclair. But I did not personally observe this because I wasn't 6 7 involved in the case at the time and not involved 8 with either party. So I'm just going by the 9 testimony. Did you also read testimony from Sinclair 10 or see discovery from Sinclair where Sinclair denies 11 12 having received a kill notice from WENN? 13 Α I'm aware that Sinclair denies receiving the kill notice. I do know that they were contacted 14 15 by Brittney Gobble, contacted Scott -- I don't 16 recall his last name, I'm sorry -- Scott at Sinclair 17 to inform him that the usage was infringing almost 18 immediately after --19 Do we need to stop for a second? 20 MR. MARDER: I'm sorry. I thought she was 21 just dropping the documents off. Let's go off the record. 22 2.3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 24 The time is 5:20 p.m. record. 25 (A recess was taken from 5:20 p.m.

Page 254 1 to 5:22 p.m.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the 2 The time is 5:22 p.m. 3 record. 4 BY MR. MARDER: 5 And I apologize; we had interruption. My question previously to you was, did you 6 7 also read testimony from Sinclair or see discovery 8 from Sinclair where Sinclair denies having received a kill notice from WENN? 9 10 And you were beginning to answer. I'm aware of that testimony. And I'm also 11 Α 12 aware that Brittney Gobble contacted Scott --13 0 Sistek? Sistek, thank you very much -- to advise 14 15 Sinclair -- or at least I believe it was KOMO at the 16 time -- that there was an issue; there was no 17 license; it needed to be taken down or her 18 information needed to be added. 19 He agreed to add it. And then, within a 20 day or two of adding it, the credit disappeared and 21 the information about the images was changed 22 somehow. 2.3 And at some later date they received a copy

pages of Sinclair alleged infringements.

of a subpoena, and that subpoena included 300-plus

24

25

Sinclair responded to that subpoena; it was having to do with the WENN case.

So I feel that they were on notice as of receiving that subpoena, even though it -- even though Sinclair was not named as a party.

Q You just provided a bunch of information which is interesting but has nothing to do with my question. My question was very direct and very narrow. So please focus on it.

My question was, and remains, did you also read testimony from Sinclair or see discovery from Sinclair where Sinclair denies receiving the kill notice from WENN?

A Yes.

2.5

Q You don't mention that in your report at all, do you?

A No.

Q What evidence do you have other than Lloyd Beiny's testimony that Sinclair actually received the kill notice?

A I do not have evidence in that regard.

Q When someone takes a photograph using a modern digital camera, such as a Nikon D800 or D810, is the capture time of the image recorded in the metadata?

A Yes.

2.3

Q And does that include the capture date?

A Yes. I should say that it may or may not be correct.

Q It depends on the camera and whether the time and date are correct in the camera?

A Right.

Q The time and date recorded in the image would be the time and date in the camera; correct?

A Correct. You know, the exceptions would be cameras where there's camera software settings that would synchronize the date and time of the camera when it's plugged into a computer or if the camera connects to the web, but those are exceptions.

Q Understood.

And once the metadata contains the capture time and date, unless someone manually goes in there and changes those things, then that time and date should remain there throughout the life of the image; correct? Unless someone strips out the metadata, and I'm not referring to that situation.

A So in a -- in a digital file of any kind, there are system dates and then there are the type of dates that would be recorded by the camera into the file. These are recorded in different areas of

the file.

2.3

So when you open and close that file, it changes the system date of the file so it -- you know, you might have a creation date of January 1st but then another creation date that says, you know, November 2nd because the file was opened or saved or accessed by a certain application.

So it's confusing when you look at the file as to what the creation date is. But with that exception, provided that you know the difference between the system date and then the date that's recorded in the -- in the header of the JPEG -- assuming it's a JPEG -- the answer is yes, that the date is recorded and that date is not going to change unless it is -- it is changed by an automatic or manual process.

And by an automatic process, I mean you can go into Lightroom -- I've seen your questions in other depositions about Lightroom; you seem quite knowledgeable -- and you can say, "Change all the creation dates on this file -- on these 1,000 files to ten years ago," and it will do it.

- Q Have you ever used Shutterstock to obtain benchmark photographs to calculate damages?
  - A I don't recall. It's possible that I have

Page 258

where the facts of the matter would be consistent with a royalty-free license. You know, the -- just the -- if -- if it involved something that could have, would have been a royalty-free license, Shutterstock might be a place I would go, but it's a subscription model.

It's -- I mean, it would be hard to use the subscription model as a -- as a benchmark in the way that I use the benchmarks. It might be something that I would refer to.

Q Is it your testimony today that
Shutterstock does not offer rights-managed images?

A No, that's not my testimony today, but I don't know that I've used, you know, Shutterstock for that purpose.

Q Wouldn't the best benchmark for this case be another photograph of a Lykoi cat?

A We could not use Lykoi cat photographs as a benchmark because photographs of the same quality didn't exist at the time that we took them, and also I needed multiple samples from multiple sites and could not identify Lykoi photographs at different sites.

Q You didn't try to do that in 2019, though, did you?

Page 259 These pricing samples are from 2017. 1 Α So the answer is you didn't do that in Q 2019? 3 4 Α Correct, correct. 5 So if one were to go into some stock photo 6 companies and find rights-managed images, using your 7 approach, of Lykoi cat photographs that are of 8 similar quality, then those photographs would be better benchmarks than the one you used; correct? 9 I'm not certain of that because I don't 10 think that there are photographs of that nature. 11 12 Other people are not doing what Brittney Gobble 13 does. 14 Well, you didn't look for them in 2019, you 15 just testified. 16 So let's say --17 Α Right. 18 -- hypothetically one were able to go into 19 various stock photo companies and find images of 20 comparable quality of Lykoi cat photographs. You would agree that those would be better 21 22 benchmarks than the benchmarks that you used; 23 correct? But those don't exist. 24 Α 25 I'm asking you a hypothetical question, Q

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Documente 168y 18 ed likited 07/20/21 Page 1200 200 18 13, 2019 Page 260 sir. 1 2 If someone were to go to a Shutterstock -let me rephrase it. 3 Hypothetically, if someone were to go to a 4 5 stock photo company website and obtain comparable 6 images to those shot by Brittney Gobble of Lykoi 7 cats in 2019, those would be better benchmarks and 8 closer benchmarks than the ones you used; correct? I think that ignores the facts of this case 9 Α and the nature of the photographs that were used 10 The reason that Sinclair chose and used this 11 12 selection of images was because of the narrative 13 aspect of the images. You haven't answered my hypothetical 14 15 question, sir. I am not asking you about the facts 16 of this case; I'm asking you a hypothetical. 17 Do you understand? You follow me? 18 Α I follow you, but it just doesn't apply. 19 Q So are you refusing to answer the question? 20 It doesn't apply because of the nature of Α 21 the images used here. 22 Well, ultimately the judge decides what 23 applies and what doesn't. 24 You understand that; right?

25

Α

Yes.

Page 261 You understand you're a witness in this 1 2 case; I'm a lawyer. Right? Α Yes. 3 4 Q So my job is to ask questions. And then, 5 if you understand the question, of course your job 6 is to answer it; correct? You follow me so far? 7 Α Yeah. 8 And if you don't understand the question, of course you'll let me know. 9 I understand the question. 10 The judge ultimately at some point 11 0 12 later will determine what questions can be asked and what can't for a trial. 13 You follow me so far? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 So my -- my question is a Q 17 If one were able to go to one or more hypothetical. 18 stock photo companies and obtain images of Lykoi 19 cats of comparable quality to the ones created by 20 Brittney Gobble, would you agree that those would be closer and better benchmarks than the benchmarks 21 22 that you chose? 2.3 The content of the benchmarks -- I'm just Α 24 trying to think that through. 25 It's -- the content of the images

2.3

Page 262

themselves, the ones against which the multiplier is applied, accounts for not only the fact that those images are Lykois but that they are Lykoi cats in these fanciful -- for the most part, Lykoi cats in these fanciful setups.

So the base benchmark photographs, whether they're Lykois or not, I don't see how that affects it.

Q So your testimony today is that if we find images from stock photo companies that are of comparable quality, including composition, including creativity, including exposure, comparable quality to the ones shot by Brittney Gobble, your testimony today is that those aren't better benchmarks than the ones that you chose of breeds that are not Lykoi cats? Is that what you're telling us today?

A That's different than what -- than the question you asked earlier, because you added in the content of the images.

- Q That's why I said "comparable quality."

  The quality --
  - A Well, quality is --
- Q Let me finish, sir. And maybe my question wasn't clear. I want to make sure that we're understanding each other.

Let's take an image today, in the hypothetical, go to a stock photo company and you find an image of comparable quality and content, composition, lighting, creativity, all of those things. Okay? And the cat in those photographs was a Lykoi cat.

Would you agree that that photograph would be a closer and better benchmark than the ones that you used?

A So that I have clarity on that question, in your hypothetical, we're assuming that, for example, one of these Brittney Gobble images or a selection of these Brittney Gobble images was actually available but not Brittney Gobble's? With this kind of what I would call narrative fanciful setups with the pumpkins and the corn and whatever, you're saying those are available and would it be more appropriate to use one of those?

Q Yes.

2.3

A Yes.

Q So if one were to find a benchmark that was not as creative as you said with the -- the props and all the things that you say Brittney Gobble did to make these photographs creative, if a benchmark lacked those things, that would not be an

appropriate benchmark to use?

2.3

- A It would be a less appropriate benchmark to use.
- Q Would it be an appropriate benchmark to use?

A Can't really answer that with a "yes" or "no" because, reasonably, Sinclair would not have run 50 photographs of a Lykoi on a white background. It wouldn't. So those are the photographs -- you know, the nature of the photographs themselves is part of the scarcity.

You know, I could see Sinclair running one photograph of a Lykoi on a white background but not 50. White background, black background. The reason why they ran as many photographs as they did --well, there's -- actually, I'm sorry -- there's a different quantity of photographs running on different station websites; so I don't want to use that number across the board.

But in any event, multiple photographs of Lykoi cats in a gallery that are all kind of boring on a white seamless would not make sense.

Q Were the articles that Sinclair ran with the photographs articles on photography composition and creativity?

	Case 1.18-CV-03403-3AG Documeric Logic Local Miles 07/20/21 Page 20/20/20/21
	Page 265
1	A No.
2	Q I'm going to turn to some of your exhibits.
3	Why don't we just go off the record for a second and
4	I'm going to organize these things.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
6	record. The time is 5:38 p.m.
7	(A recess was taken from 5:38 p.m.
8	to 5:45 p.m.)
9	(Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 19,
10	Defendants' Exhibit 20, Defendants'
11	Exhibit 21, Defendants' Exhibit 22,
12	and Defendants' Exhibit 23, were
13	marked for identification by the
14	Court Reporter.)
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the
16	record. The time is 5:45 p.m.
17	BY MR. MARDER:
18	Q Mr. Sedlik, take a look, please, at
19	Exhibit 19.
20	Do you see that in front of you?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q And is that your Exhibit M to your report?
23	A Yes. As we speak, I want to have an index
24	to the exhibits in front of me, which is not there.
25	Q There it is.

Page 266 Thank you, sir. 1 Α And that is Exhibit 10, index to exhibits. 2 So we're now looking at Exhibit 19. 3 Q 4 a few questions for you. I want to start on page 1 5 of 275. And you can take the binder clip off 6 because we're going to look at the headings. 7 Α Okay. 8 Q All right. The first column, you see where it says "Photo No."? 9 Α Yes, sir. 10 Where does that come from? 11 Q 12 Comes from table of photographs, Exhibit E. Α 13 And the license number, where does that come from? 14 15 Α Exhibit L. 16 All right. Take a look at Exhibit 20 in Q 17 front of you. 18 Α Should I put the clip back on this, sir? 19 Q No. We're going to --20 Α Okay. So kind of slide that over. 21 0 22 Now, Exhibit 20 is your Exhibit L; is that 23 correct? 24 Α Yes, sir. So under the "License No." column in 25 Q

Page 267 Exhibit 19 -- for instance, the first one, where it 1 2 says --Just one thing. This has notations on it; 3 4 so you might want to have this copy. 5 Yeah. I've circled the ones I was going to 6 ask you about. So let me just change that page out 7 real quick with a clean one. 8 Α It's got the exhibit number on it. 9 0 Oh, that's right. I can ignore the notations. I don't think 10 Α there's anything secret here. 11 12 Well, let's -- if you don't mind, we'll --Q 13 and we'll re-mark it later, but let me just give you a clean one. I don't think there's anything 14 15 significant there. 16 THE REPORTER: You can peel it off. 17 MR. MARDER: See if I can get it off. 18 Q There you go. So there's a clean --19 MR. ALLEN: Do you need a pen? THE WITNESS: No. I had a pen sitting out 20 21 here. 22 MR. ALLEN: We lose pens? Pen? 23 BY MR. MARDER: Here's the remainder of Exhibit 20. There 24 Q 25 you go.

Page 268 Now, Mr. Sedlik, you just took a note, 1 2 worked on a note. What was that? 3 4 Α It's -- hang on one second. Make one more 5 note. 6 I want to make sure we cover whatever we Q 7 need to cover. 8 Α Well, it just -- it seemed like we were talking about elastic demand, and then you said 9 let's take a break in the middle of the discussion, 10 and I just wanted to circle back on it. 11 12 Is that -- I don't know that I had the full opportunity to answer, but I'm not sure. 13 And then I made a note that says "2015 14 15 Lykoi benchmark, " and then "read and sign." Are we still on the record? 16 17 We are on the record. Q 18 Α So I would like to read and sign. 19 Q We'll do that later. 20 Since I forget saying that. Α I don't recall ever cutting you off 21 0 22 from answering about elasticity. 23 So what do you want to say about elasticity 24 that you don't think you had a chance to say? 25 I thought you were pursuing a line of Α

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Documente 168y 18 ed likited 07/20/21 Page 12 page 13, 2019 Page 269 questioning, and then you said let's take a break. 1 You did not cut me off. I don't really have 3 anything to --4 Q Okay. I -- I believe that I testified that the 5 6 demand was inelastic. And that's my impression. 7 And I just don't recall my -- my testimony. I think 8 that's what I said. So we can leave it there. 9 So you believe the demand for the cat photographs was inelastic? 10 11 Not affected by the price for the 12 photographs. You adjust the price up or down, it's 13 not going to change the demand for the cat photographs. 14 15 Mr. Sedlik, during the break what research 16 did you do on elasticity? 17 Α I didn't do any research. 18 Q Really? 19 Α Really. 20 Because that's completely different from Q what you said earlier. 21 I said it was inelastic earlier. 22 23 During the break, tell me exactly which 0

subjects about this case you discussed with

24

25

Mr. Allen.

		50 0/10 Doddinent 100/10 1 med 0//20/21 1 age 2/2 0/ 001-7	
		Page 270	
1	A	I didn't discuss the subjects which	
2	break?		
3	Q	During any break today.	
4	A	I didn't discuss	
5	Q	And you can look at me	
6	A	Okay.	
7	Q	not Mr. Allen.	
8	A	I didn't discuss the case with Mr. Allen.	
9	Q	At no time?	
10	A	At no time today.	
11	Q	All right. Well, the record will reflect	
12	what you	r answers were earlier about elasticity and	
13	your und	erstanding of elasticity.	
14	A	That's fine.	
15	Q	What was the third thing wrote down on	
16	your		
17	А	I wrote "Read and sign," and I wrote "2015	
18	Lykoi be:	nchmark."	
19	Q	And what did you mean by "2015 Lykoi	
20	benchmark"?		
21	A	When you were asking me about benchmarks,	
22	I'm uncl	ear as to whether you were saying 2019 or	
23	2015.		
24	Q	I was saying 2019.	
25	A	Okay. And so my my my testimony on	

that is that in 2015 there were not Lykoi photographs available, to my knowledge.

Q Please take a look at Exhibit 20, and have Exhibit 20 on one side of you and Exhibit 19 on the other, please. There's Exhibit 20. Right there.

A Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Looking at Exhibit 19 -- I'm on page 1 of 275, Column 2, where it says "License No."

Do you see that? It says L5?

A Yes.

Q Does that correspond to, in Exhibit 20, the L5 under the "License No." column?

A My license number, this is my image number -- when I say "this," I mean -- is this 21 here? Oh, this is 19 and this is 20.

Okay. So repeat your question.

Q Sure. I'm looking at Exhibit 19. The column that says "License No." at the top.

Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q And do you see the first entry is L5?

A Yes.

Q Now, keep your finger on that and look over at Exhibit 20.

Do you have Exhibit 20 in front of you --

	Case 1.10-CV-0340	J3-SAG DUCUMENCION INCIDENTALIBUTATION OF PAGE 244 OF SET 17
		Page 272
1	A	I do.
2	Q	first page of 273?
3	A	Yeah.
4	Q	Do you see where it says "L5" in the fifth
5	row of -	- of entries?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Right there?
8	A	Yeah.
9	Q	Does the L5 in Exhibit 19 correspond with
10	the L5 i:	n Exhibit 20?
11	A	Yes. Exhibit 20 is is indexed by the
12	license :	number. Exhibit 19 is the same information
13	but inde	xed by the image number
14	Q	Understood.
15	A	for ease of reference.
16	Q	Understood.
17		Now let's keep going on Exhibit 19. The
18	fourth c	olumn, where it says "Usage No."
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	and you have some codes there, U06,
21	U104	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	et cetera, do you see that?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	What does U06 mean and where does that come
	1	

Page 273 from? 1 "Table of Usages," F, and that is explained Α in the body of my report. 3 So take a look at Exhibit 23, please. 4 Q 5 21, 22 -- 23. Α 6 Q Looking at 23 --7 Just going to put these in order here; so 8 be easier. 19, 20 -- 23. Okay. 9 So if we look at 23, and we see under the Usage No. U06 in the sixth row, that corresponds 10 11 with it? 12 Correct. This is an index, it's a list of Α 13 all the usages -- which are not licenses; they're instances of use -- index by usage number. 14 15 Got it. Q 16 Now, referring back again to 19 -- and you 17 can put 23 away. So why don't you put that back in 18 the folder so we don't mix it up. 19 Go back to -- to 19. 20 Α Okay. The fifth column, where it says "License 21 22 Type No." 23 Do you see that? 24 Α Yes. 25 It says "T1"? Q

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Documente 1689 15 direction 07/20/21 Page 1270 mb 13, 2019 Page 274 Α Yeah. 1 What does the T1 mean? Q Well, normally in these cases we have more 3 Α 4 than one type of license. In other words, there 5 might be usage in social media, usage in a textbook, 6 usage in an ad. And I'll have a separate table just 7 for the license types. There might be T1 through 8 T50, different types of licenses. 9 In this case we didn't include a separate 10 table of license types because we only have one 11 license type. So, to answer your question, that's 12 just -- the license type for all these is the same. We didn't actually need this column, but we left it 13 in there so that's consistent with the way we 14 15 normally do it. 16 Q Understood. 17 The next column has a heading that says 18 "License Type Code." 19 Do you see that? 20 Α Yes. What are those codes? What do they mean? 21 0 That is just an abbreviation. You can see 22

to the right, the license type description. You can see the relationship, I think, if you look at the license type code and the license type description.

2.3

24

25

Page 275 It's really more for internal reference so 1 2 that when I'm going back to this, if I'm up on the 3 stand and need to consult back with my table, I'll be able to see it in shorthand. 4 Understood. 5 Q 6 So that's something that you came up with? Right. 7 Α 8 Q Fair enough. You can put those exhibits 9 away. I'm doing that wrong. 10 Α You can just put them aside; we'll fix them 11 Q 12 later. 13 Α Okay. 14 0 Take a look at Exhibit 21, please. 15 Α Okey-doke. 16 Now, if you look at page 1 of 2 in Q 17 Exhibit 21 -- and do you see the file names? 18 Α Yes. 19 Q Where do those file names come from? Where 20 did you get those? This comes from Gobble -- give me one 21 22 moment to find my assumptions so I can make sure 23 that it does, in fact, come from Gobble. 24 And while you're -- before you look at that, let me just --25

Page 276 Α 1 Yes. Q -- show you one thing. If you look at the far right-hand column of 3 4 Exhibit 21, where it says "copyright registration Nos." --5 6 Α Yes. 7 Q -- do you see those? 8 Α Yes. Are these the file names within the 9 0 copyright registrations? That's what --10 In order to answer that, I kind of need to 11 12 look at --13 0 Go ahead. Finish that sentence, I need to look at --14 15 I'm looking at Exhibit 8, page 11, Assumption J. So I believe that these file names are --16 17 they're definitely not set by me, and I don't know 18 that there's a relationship between them and the 19 copyright registrations. They serve to identify the 20 files that were given to us in order to create the table -- the visual index of photographs in -- which 21 22 is Exhibit C. 23 And so they could be the same -- they could 24 be a constant, in other words, used within Brittney 25 Gobble Photography as the name of the image, or not.

For us, it's an identifier to match it to the collection of images and know what images -- visually what -- what they're talking about.

Q Do you recall seeing those file names anywhere else?

A So, in the back of my head, after this long day, I recall seeing them on -- some of them on the Sinclair sites possibly, but I'm not absolutely sure. I recall seeing file names there that were similar, but I don't know if they are these exact file names. In other words, I don't know if they were changed.

Q Understood.

Why don't you go ahead and get Exhibit 8 in front of you, please.

A Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22 --

Q And it's your -- your report.

A Oh.

Okay.

Q You've mentioned scarcity earlier today, and I want to try to understand what the cutoff is for when an image is scarce and when it's not.

How many copies of an image or how many different types of an image are necessary before an

image is no longer scarce?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Are you having me refer to my report for that question? Or --
- Q Well, you have discussions in your report about scarcity, and there's an assumption in Assumption N about the images being rare and scarce.
  - A Yeah.
- Q So I wanted you to have that in front of you in case you need to refer to it --
  - A Okay.
- Q -- but I don't need you to refer to it if you don't.

My question to you is where is the cutoff between an image being scarce and not scarce?

A I don't know if there's a precise cutoff, but where the demand or need is there for an image or images and there are few images to choose from, then the image would be scarce.

And if there's only one image to choose from and you need -- if there's only ten images to choose from and you need ten images, it's still scarce.

- I don't -- I'm not sure exactly how to answer your question.
  - Q Well, if someone wanted to understand why

you declare an image scarce, what factors are you looking at to decide whether the image is scarce?

A Well -- okay. So there's two -- there's two concepts here; there's rarity and scarcity. And they're related but not the same.

Scarcity would mean that there's not enough images to satisfy demand, and rarity would be that there are -- that the occurrences of that image are -- how do I redefine -- or define "rarity"?

Let's see here.

Scarcity is when there are not enough images to satisfy the demand, and rarity is when there are -- that you would seldom encounter -- when it's unusual to come across the images. And that can affect pricing as well.

That was a bit all over the place. Rarity does not assume demand.

- Q So what's the cutoff between an image being rare and not rare?
  - A Or scarce and not scarce?
- Q I'm talking about rarity now.
- 22 A Oh.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- 23 | Q You just said they're different concepts.
- 24 A Well, they're very similar.
  - Q You just testified that they were slightly

different concepts; correct?

A Right. But you would seldom come across it.

And then scarcity, I believe, considers demand. Such as when there's not enough wheat to go around or not enough water to go around, not enough gas to go around, you don't say the gas is rare.

- Q Let's talk about rarity for a second.
  Okay?
- A Yeah.
- Q I want to focus on that and not scarcity.

When is it that an image is no longer rare?

In other words, what -- how many -- how many
images -- how many different copies or different
versions of the image have to exist before it's no
longer considered rare?

A That's a good question, and I think -- I think it's somewhat of a subjective -- the answer is somewhat subjective because it's -- it's a relative question.

An image is -- even the word "rare" itself implies comparison to other assets that are more plentiful in order for something to be rare. So I don't -- I don't really have a number for you.

You're asking me for a number in the image

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Documente 168y 18 ed likited 07/20/21 Page 128 en best 13, 2019 Page 281 marketplace. 1 Scarcity, on the other hand, if you need --Q Hold on. We're not -- hold on to scarcity. 3 4 We're going to get there in a minute. 5 Α Yeah. Just want to focus on rare. 6 7 Α Okay. 8 Can you give me a range when you would 9 consider an image starts to -- to no longer be rare? Α I can't really give you a range. 10 Now, with respect to scarcity, you 11 12 indicated that scarcity takes into consideration 13 rarity as well as demand. Is that -- did I understand you correctly? 14 Did I actually say "rarity" in that 15 sentence? I think I -- I said it takes into account 16 17 the demand, but I think it would take into account 18 rarity. 19 So would scarcity -- how many images do you 20 need of that particular subject matter and how many are available? 21 22 And because demand is one of the factors to 23 determine scarcity, is it fair to say that when

look at both the supply of the image as well as the

deciding whether an image is scarce, you have to

24

25

demand of the image? Excuse me, the demand for the image?

2.3

A Well, the demand for the image in scenarios like this has to be assumed because there is a party who desires to make use of one or more images. So we're not talking about overall market demand; we're talking about a particular instance of demand and is -- are there -- is there a sufficient quantity of images to -- of images that meet the criteria that are sufficient to satisfy that demand.

Q Well, let's assume there are ten versions of a particular subject but there is a demand for only one of them.

Would you agree that because the demand is low that that image or that -- the subject of that image would not be scarce because there would be an adequate number of images to satisfy the demand?

A Can't say yes to that. Because we're not dealing with, you know, nails or bolts or washers in a bin in a store here; we're dealing with images.

And companies, individuals who have a need for images are going to have their own aesthetic criteria and criteria pertaining to the content in order to determine if those images -- which of those images meet their needs.

Q Well, assume that there are ten versions of a particular kind of image that meet the needs of the one person who is interested in purchasing a license for that type of image.

Would you agree that in that situation the images were not scarce?

A In a situation in which that person -- in this hypothetical, that person looking at those ten images would be of a frame of mind -- I'm just verifying your hypothetical -- be of a frame of mind that every one of those ten images is perfect for their needs?

O Yes.

A Then the images are relatively scarce because there's only ten images that exist.

Q But if they only need one, then there is a sufficient quantity to meet their demand, isn't there?

A Yes. But they don't have hundreds or thousands or tens of thousands to choose from, as you might have if you search the word "love" on Getty Images.

- Q Well, maybe -- maybe I'm not following you.

  How do you define scarcity?
- A Well, there's a relative aspect to that

term, where images are -- some images are more scarce or -- than others because there are fewer images that meet the criteria.

2.3

You said there's ten total images that meet the criteria, and I gave you an example back of a search of the word "love" versus a search of the word "Lykoi."

Q Where did you get your definition of scarcity that you used in your methodology today -- in this case for today?

A I didn't get that anywhere. It is my understanding of scarcity as it applies in the image licensing marketplace.

Q Did you obtain that definition from any book, treatise, or publication?

A I don't believe that we defined that. I mean, my organization is the organization that defines terms like that. I don't think that we have a definition for scarcity.

Q Is Brittney Gobble a professional photographer?

A If you asked me that question 20 years ago,
I would say no, very quickly, have a very short
answer for you. I would have said 20 years ago that
a professional photographer makes their living or a

significant portion of their living from generating revenue from the licensing or sale of their photography. It's been my lifetime definition of professional photographer.

But as our industry has morphed, there are many photographers who do photography for a living and yet make no money whatsoever at it, and they work -- they either lose money or they perform or license for -- in exchange for kind of a barter arrangement or in-kind -- in-kind consideration.

So the industry is in a bit of turmoil, my profession, like many other professions, to where anybody can call themselves a professional photographer, and I can't argue with them anymore.

- Q Do you consider Brittney Gobble a professional photographer?
- A Yeah. I have a tough time with that question.
- Q Choose whatever definition you want for professional photographer that you're comfortable with.

Do you consider Brittney Gobble a professional photographer?

A Under the most common definition -- or not definition -- most common understood meaning of that

term today, I would have to say yes.

2.3

Under my -- my personal understanding historically, no, but that doesn't apply today.

Q So what is that current definition that you are applying to say that you think today she is a professional photographer?

A Well, a couple things. Her images are of a creative and technical quality that surpass 60 to 70 percent of the professionals who earn their living as photographers. I mean, I'm just throwing that out there in my experience. It's a high quality -- high-quality results, and I don't understand how she developed that level of expertise.

She's testified that she learned it all herself and went to a few PPA events, Professional Photographers of America, but didn't like them and didn't learning anything. But she's got high-quality, impactful, well-executed images with lighting that is better than most professionals.

She, in the course of doing business, licenses her images in exchange for consideration that is of value to her business -- I guess you could say to her husband's business or their business, which is the equivalent in some respects

of receiving cash consideration because of the promotional value of it.

And many of the other folks who today call themselves professional photographers are engaged in similar pursuits. They agree to take photographs in exchange for no money, but maybe they get a hotel and a flight out of it, and they're licensing their images in exchange for that.

So, despite my personal reservations as to what I consider a professional photographer, the marketplace has completely changed, and people who -- I mean, she's a part-time professional photographer, is what she is, is -- is what I can say in answer to your question.

Q Please turn to page 32 of your report. I'm going to refer you to the paragraph immediately above P.

Do you see that? Starts with "Based on my knowledge and experience"?

A Yes.

2.3

Q And then the sentence continues on (as read):

And based on my review of hundreds of instances of publications of photographs by

WENN's customers and defendants.

Do you see that?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q I want to talk for a little bit about what review you did of instances of publication of WENN's photographs by persons or entities other than the defendants in this case.

Explain to us exactly what images you reviewed that were published by persons or entities other than defendants that came from WENN?

A Okay. It's a multifaceted answer. Again, it seems to you like a simple question, but --

Q Please, you have the floor.

A All right. So WENN's customers included stock agencies who then relisted the photographs, offered them for sale, as I recall -- and this is back in 2017 that I was looking at this.

And so I went to those websites. I went -and I reviewed whatever was produced by the parties
in the matter. And certainly there were hundreds of
instance of use by Sinclair in that documentation.

Q I don't want to talk about the uses by Sinclair. I'm asking about entities or persons other than Sinclair that you reviewed.

A Well, at the time that I wrote this, many

Page 289 of those entities were not defendants. That's the 1 2 other facet of this question. Right? Well, let me ask you this --3 Q 4 Α So they were WENN's customers, but they were not yet defendants. 5 6 Q All right. You know that there are fairly 7 large number of defendants in this case now? 8 Α Yes. Did you review instances of publications of 9 0 photographs obtained from WENN by anybody else other 10 11 than one of the defendants in this case? 12 I just answered that. I said I went to Α 13 whatever website was offering up the photographs. I'm fairly certain. It was in 2017, but I'm fairly 14 certain I did that. 15 16 Please take a look at your table of Q 17 documents that you reviewed or relied on in 18 preparing your report. 19 Α Index to exhibits --20 Can you give me the index to exhibits, Q 21 please. 22 Α Thanks. Making a diligent effort here. 23 Maybe it got stuck back into one of those folders.

Well, maybe I did not mark it. Let me pull

24

25

0

it out.

Case 1:18-cv-03403-SAG Documente 168y 18 ed 1 it led 07/20/21 Page 1292 w 18 et 13, 2019 Page 290 Let's mark this, please, as Exhibit -- your 1 Exhibit B, Mr. Sedlik. 2 (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit 24 3 4 was marked for identification by the 5 Court Reporter.) BY MR. MARDER: 6 7 I'm showing you what's been marked as 8 Exhibit 24 to your deposition, which is your 9 Exhibit B to your report. Please show me in here where it shows that 10 you considered the materials that you just testified 11 12 about, the websites you just testified about. Might be on Exhibit 24, page 2 of 3, 13 Α 14

halfway down the page, list of websites there.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dailymail.co.uk, I don't think that's a Sinclair site. Or Refinery29 or -- I don't know if that says MagCloud. And then --

Let me just stop you there for a second. You just identified a number of websites.

Is it your testimony those may have been where you -- where you found these images that stock photo companies bought from WENN? Or that you know that those were --

Stock companies bought from WENN? go back to the paragraph you were asking me about.

Your question didn't pertain to stock companies.

Q Well, you testified that there were stock photo companies that bought images from WENN; correct?

A Yes. But --

Q And then you said you went to those websites; correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Show me where in your list of materials considered you have identified the websites that you found those -- those WENN images on?

A I mean, I don't -- I don't have those tagged here 'cause I didn't anticipate having to track that. But I do recall from two years ago finding either on the sites themselves or on archive.org in the Wayback Machine -- I'm looking to see if I have archive.org in this list, but just got to run through.

I would also say, further answer to your question, but not stock agency, would be Cats

Paradise and Parimatch [phonetic], neither of which are Sinclair entities.

Q And you found WENN images on those

websites, you're testifying?

2.3

A Yeah. I believe we actually extracted metadata from those at the request of Mr. Quisenberry -- Quisenberry.

- Q Where is that metadata in your documents?
- A I wasn't asked to testify on metadata.
- Q Well, you just testified that you reviewed WENN images from stock photo companies, and that that was part of what you considered in evaluating this case.

And then you've also testified that

Mr. Quisenberry asked you to extract some metadata

from those websites.

A Yes.

Q So that's part of your work in this case. So where is that metadata?

A Or viewed the metadata, or what have you, just look at the metadata. I don't -- I don't recall what -- what actual work was done there.

But I'm just looking through to see if agents -- archive.org is one of these websites that's listed.

Well, yeah, that these -- these websites were produced by the parties, by Brittney Gobble with her pictures on them.

I'm not asking about Brittany's pictures. 1 2 I'm asking about your statement that stock photo companies purchased images from WENN. 3 4 Do you recall saying that today? 5 I don't know that they purchased images 6 from WENN. They obtained Brittany's images from 7 WENN and posted them on their sites for sale. 8 this. 9 All right. So now I want to make sure we're clear. 10 In your work in this case, did you find any 11 12 stock photo companies that obtained images from WENN 13 that were not produced by Brittney Gobble? 14 Α T --15 MR. ALLEN: Objection. Form. 16 BY MR. MARDER: 17 You can answer. Q 18 And now you added another dimension to it. 19 Not to my knowledge, when you add "not produced by 20 Brittney Gobble." Because I recall that one of her 21 complaints was that the images were being resold by

Q And how do you know -- other than what Brittany said, how do you know that stock photo

WENN customers, some of which are stock photo

22

23

24

25

agencies.

companies obtained images from WENN that were created by Brittney Gobble?

A I believe that I saw it amongst the production and then either visited the site or relied on what was produced. But because it's been two years, I don't recall which.

Q In your report on page 28, you say that (as read):

BGP experimented with licensing through a stock photography agency, Rex Features.

Do you see that? It's the second paragraph on page 28 of your report.

A Yes.

Q When you say that BGP "experimented with licensing," what did you review to conclude that she was experimenting with licensing through Rex?

A I reviewed the documents and materials produced that were relevant to that topic, and I -- well, there it is. There's a footnote that answers your question.

And then, in my discussion with Ms. Gobble and in her -- well, her testimony was more recent. Let me just think back.

I recall her saying that -- and I can't

2.3

Page 295

recall where I read this, but that she was dissatisfied with both the licensing practice of Rex and the fact that they could not and did not satisfy her licensing conditions, and that she did not like the licensing model that they operated under.

So she -- at first, as I recall from memory, she gave them some images; did not ever sign a contract with them, their contributor agreement, only filled out a registration form in order to receive payment many months after she first provided the images. And when she first provided the images, she didn't fully understand that they were going to proceed to license them, and she didn't really understand the model that they were going to license them under.

And she received a series of statements and then a request that she sign an agreement months later. This whole thing happened over about 15 months total. And at that point she told them to take her images down. She terminated -- even though there wasn't an agreement, she terminated the relationship. And that was her experimentation with using a stock agency, and she hated it.

- Q Did she use the word "experimented"?
- A She may have, but that's how she

categorized it.

2.3

Q Well, I'm not asking you to characterize her testimony. I want to know her specific words.

A She did not -- my answer would no, she did not use the word "experimented." She definitely terminated after trying -- after trying it for the first time for a brief period of 15 months approximately, less months if you consider when she signed her -- when she filled out that registration form.

She terminated it because she did not want to license in that manner or -- and she didn't want anybody to license her work in that manner.

Q Are there any documents that use the word "experimented" when describing her venture with Rex Features?

A No. It's my opinion that she experimented based on her description of that relationship and the brief time that she was engaged with them, which is quite unusual in the stock industry.

Q You say further in that sentence on page 28 of your report that (as read):

She terminated BGP's agreement with Rex after a brief test period after Rex offered BGP's photographs

Page 297 at rates below market values. 1 2 Do you see that? Α Yes. 3 4 Q Is it your testimony that the reason that 5 she terminated the agreement with Rex was that Rex 6 was offering her photographs at rates below market 7 value? 8 Α Because even though she offered her 9 images for use at no licensing fee, she was 10 exchange and had control over the type of use and 11

bartering for the consideration that she received in the conditions and -- the conditions of use for her specific goals for her business.

Rex was paying her money to license her images, weren't they?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Α But she wasn't receiving the same level of consideration that she did when she was offering the images in exchange for the promotional value. So money and the promotional value for her breeding business are equivalent.

Well, we'll talk about nonmonetary consideration. In other words, I want to talk right now about dollars paid -- or pounds, in that case -paid to Brittany Gobble by Rex.

You would agree that Rex Features paid

money to Brittney Gobble for the right to license her photographs?

2.3

A In a roundabout way. They paid her a royalty resulting from their -- their -- their sales of licenses of her photographs. And she did not agree with that royalty level after considering that she was not receiving the -- those who were using the images under those licenses did not provide her with benefits commensurate with the rights that they were receiving and the rates that they were paying.

Q Did she say to you that she did not agree with that royalty level?

A Yes. And she's testified in that regard as well.

Q And when you say "royalty level," you're including the cash paid to her as well as other compensation that you've previously testified to?

A What's the other compensation that she got out of the Rex licenses?

Q I don't know. You tell me. You were referring to credit and driving business to the cat business or something like that earlier.

A Right. And her licensing practice in direct licensing was to offer up her licenses of her images in exchange for -- I mean, these were

2.3

Page 299

basically licenses subject to conditions: You must credit her; you must make this statement in the -- in text associated with the photographs; you must make that statement in text associated with the photographs; you may not make this other statement in association with the photographs, very much unlike a royalty-free license.

And so I don't know how -- how better to explain it.

- Q Simply because a licensor sets a particular rate for the license, does that necessarily mean that somebody is going to pay that amount for that license?
- A No. I mean, depends on the extent of the licensee's need for those images.
- Q Does it also depend on whether the proposed license fee sought by the licensor is reasonable?
- A It assumes a willingness to engage in the transaction.
- Q And included in that is consideration of whether the license fee sought by the licensor is reasonable to the licensee; correct?
- A Whether they may -- may be reasonably required to pay that amount by the licensor is the standard, in your own cite.

Q Well, reasonably required, where does that come from?

A Well, originally, looking back, it comes from the HR Pufnstuf case, and then was quoted in -- I mean, was cited in an intermediate music case, and then was cited in Jarvis, and then was cited in the Mayfield -- Mayweather case.

Q And underlying those decisions was the concept that the license fee sought by the licensor had to be a reasonable license fee that the market would pay; correct?

A The willingness of the buyer is part of that model.

Q Earlier you testified that you have done personal work collecting pricing data for photography licenses.

Do you recall that testimony?

A Can you give me the context of that testimony? Because it's been a long day. There was some testimony earlier about just collecting sample prices from the stock marketplace -- marketplace every year for certain images. There was testimony about sitting down with groups of photographers and talking about their business practices. There was testimony about attendance at events in which

pricing trends and -- were discussed.

So I need a little bit of context when you say "earlier today."

Q Earlier we were talking about your matrices that were collected that inadvertently contained some pricing information.

Do you recall that? I'm not done referring you, but I want to make sure -- see if you recall that area of testimony.

A When -- yes. When you mentioned that they contained pricing information, I corrected you and said they just contained percentages.

Q Hold on. Hold on. I just want to direct you to some testimony, then I will ask questions.

Okay?

So you recall that time period of your testimony?

A Yes.

Q And then I asked you about whether you collected that kind of data, and I was talking about while you were at -- at PLUS. And you said, "No, we're not going to be doing that for another two years."

And then I asked you, "And how about you personally outside of the PLUS Coalition?"

Page 302 That's not accurate. 1 Α Well, let's read it. You mentioned Q earlier -- and I'm reading the question from earlier 3 4 (as read): You mentioned earlier that some 5 of your matrices that were collected 6 7 may have inadvertently contained 8 some pricing information during the 2006 to 2010 time period. 9 It says, "I did hear you correctly?" 10 And your answer was (as read): 11 12 That's incorrect. Not pricing 13 information, but percentage difference. For example, between a 14 15 use in United States only versus use in United States and Canada. 16 Percentages sitting there in 17 18 formulas, in spreadsheets, errantly 19 included in submissions to us, which 20 we then ignored -- although I can 21 say I looked at it, but the PLUS 22 Coalition ignored. 2.3 And I did note in looking at that information that across all of 24 25 the stock agencies, it appears that

Page 303 they're all copying from each other 1 2 or all copying from the market 3 leader, because these percentages, 4 like 46.5 percent between "x" number of years and "x" number of years, 5 6 were identical in the submissions 7 given to us. Now, in my capacity as the PLUS 8 9 Coalition president and CEO, I did nothing with that will [sic] 10 11 information, other than to observe 12 it and to learn from it about 13 industry practice. I would doubt that there are 14 15 many other people who have seen the 16 licensing matrices for multiple 17 stock photography agencies all in 18 one place. 19 My next question (as read): 20 Since 2006 to 2010 period, have 21 you collected any of that type of 22 data? 2.3 Answer: No. We're not going to be doing that for another two years. 24 25 Question: How about you

Page 304 personally outside of the PLUS 1 Coalition? Answer: Oh, I collect all kinds 3 4 of data all the time about pricing 5 and licensing, and I have many activities outside of the PLUS 6 7 Coalition. 8 So when you said, "Oh, I collect all kinds of data all the time about pricing and licensing," 9 that's what I want to focus on now. 10 The beginning -- before you went to that, 11 you had a question about what -- what did I mean 12 13 that I would be going back to it in two years. Don't worry about that. 14 That's earlier. 15 I'm asking a different question. I don't want -- I don't want to -- I asked 16 Α 17 you to clarify that question and I don't want to let 18 that lie. 19 Can you -- I mean, I guess you can make me let it lie, but I have -- I need to clarify it. 20 21 Go ahead. 22 So we wouldn't be looking at pricing 2.3 information in two years; we would be putting out a 24 second version of our standards, which involves 25 revising the matrices so that they are current with

current industry practice, which has drastically changed.

2.5

So there's no percentages in there; there's no pricing in there. That's PLUS Coalition work. I just want to be clear about that, it wasn't me personally. The second part was me personally.

Q Yeah, I appreciate you clarifying that because I want to make sure that everything in here is accurate.

But now I want to focus on something different than that. I want to focus on your statement earlier where you said, "Oh, I collect all kinds of data all the time about pricing and licensing."

What type of information do you collect about pricing and licensing?

A So I think in that testimony I was referring to both collecting pricing information from the stock photo agencies, as you've seen that I have done and as I've testified that I have done, as well as the discussions with groups of photographers about their pricing strategies and practices, and attendance at industry events in which pricing trends are discussed. And so there's three parts there.

Page 306 Understood. 1 0 2 I'm not doing a formal survey, if that's Α what your question is. 3 4 Q Understood. Thank you. 5 Why don't we go off the record and I'm 6 going to review my notes and we may be done or 7 almost done. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 9 record. The time is 6:42 p.m.(A recess was taken from 6:42 p.m. 10 11 to 6:47 p.m.) 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on the 13 record. The time is 6:47 p.m. BY MR. MARDER: 14 15 We mentioned Getty Images from time to time Q 16 today. 17 They're a stock photo agency; is that 18 correct? 19 Α Yes, sir. 20 When Getty accepts a photographer and Q agrees to sell their work as stock photography, does 21 22 Getty have any particular standards? 23 Α They have a list of requirements for Yes. 24 quality of work. Of course, there's different 25 levels of that. They have their micro stock

division, iStock photo with different requirements, but on the rights-managed side, they do have some requirements.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q And what are Getty Images' requirements for their rights-managed side in order to list images from photographers?

A I'm forgetting the resolution requirement, but I believe that Brittney Gobble's raw files would be acceptable to Getty at this stage.

Q I'm not asking about Brittney Gobble's images. I'm talking solely about Getty and what their requirements and standards are.

A I don't have it memorized.

But to answer your question, yes, they do have standards. They ask you to put metadata in certain fields. They have certain editorial standards as well for their editorial -- editorial photographs.

There's a whole list of requirements.

- Q And have those changed from 2015 to now, or have they generally been the same on the rights-managed side?
  - A Through November 1st.
  - Q Through November 1st of this year?
  - A They periodically revise their

requirements, and I'm not familiar with what the changes might have been along the way.

- Q Does Getty require exclusivity?
- A In some cases they require image exclusivity.

2.3

- Q In 2015, isn't it true that Getty required image exclusivity before listing an image on their rights-managed side?
- A I think that may be true. I'm not -- I can't say for -- with absolute certainty.

Also, I don't know the extent to which they enforce that or agreed with some photographers to make exceptions. But I think it's a reasonable assertion.

- Q In -- in 2015 would Getty accept an artist who only presented 55 images to Getty?
- A I suppose it depends on the images, but Getty would want to see a larger selection, typically.
  - Q Why is that?

A Because they would want artists who sign up with them to submit regularly and to be familiar with the system and to be efficient in their uploads of, you know -- of images so that Getty could keep its content fresh and new and have new images to

sell so that their customers aren't looking at the same thing every time they come in.

But I can tell you that with certainty from my experience, I could take 20 Phil Stern images and they would accept them.

- O Understood.
- A Yeah.

2.3

- Q But you would agree that Brittney Gobble is not Phil Stern?
  - A Brittney Gobble is not Phil Stern.
- Q Does Getty Images accept images that are -where the focus is soft? And by "focus soft," I
  mean on the subject of the picture.

A There are examples that I have seen where that subject itself is out of focus and something in the background is in focus. But with that exception, they like the primary subject matter to be sharp, at least some portion of it. They will accept very limited depth of field in an image, but something should be sharp in the image.

Q Something, meaning not necessarily a beer can in the background but the subject of the photograph?

A The subject of the photograph, yeah. But you have to understand that aesthetically there has

been a trend to have subject soft in the foreground and the background sharp, like might be a row of poplar trees or something.

Q Fair enough.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

- A Those would be exceptions.
- O That would be an artistic shot?
- A That would be.
- Q Now, does Getty Image accept pictures where the subject's skin has blown highlights?
- A I would say that where that is intentional, yes. There are certain types of photographs that are done in high key with beauty light where they will accept blown-out highlights. Not to the point where it creates digital artifacts, but where it's aesthetically pleasing.

They would not accept accidentally blown highlights that cause weird shapes to appear in the background or gradation interruptions with lines in the background from poorly processed images, things like that.

- Q Or loss of texture, for instance, on skin because of blown highlights?
  - A Sometimes that's an aesthetic choice.
- Q Well -- and I'm not talking about high-key images. I should say other than high-key images

where that is intentionally the aesthetic of the image.

A That is essentially my answer, is where it is -- where it serves an aesthetic purpose, they -- those are situations in which they would likely accept it, if it's a successful image. But if it's an accident, technical error, then they may reject the image.

Q Now, you've testified earlier that you've done some workshops at times and done some teaching about photography and composition and things of that nature.

And have you ever taught photographers to be aware of the background of their images before they shoot the subject?

A Yes.

2.3

Q And one of the things that you teach photographers is, for instance, to make sure there isn't a light pole sticking out of the head of a subject of a photograph or a tree?

A It's a sore subject with me, because people of a certain age that's about 30 years younger than me tend to like that -- that happenstance and those strange compositional choices because it makes the image look more con- -- not contemporaneous, but --

Page 312 1 Spontaneous? -- more real and less planned. And my Α personal style as a photographer is very planned and 3 controlled. 4 5 You know, my daughter who is on the way to being a professional photographer would have the 6 7 opposite view, and I can't teach her otherwise. And 8 some of my students who are also of that age, and I'm talking about 19, 20, 21, they like all kinds of 9 strange things happening in their compositions, and 10 I get shredded if I criticize them for that. 11 12 Whereas 20 years ago I could get away with 13 it, with that kind of advice or calling them on it 14 or grading them down on it... 15 THE REPORTER: Or calling --16 THE WITNESS: Or calling them on it or 17 grading them down on it. 18 MR. MARDER: Let's go off the record for 19 one second. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 21 record. The time is 6:56 p.m. 22 (A recess was taken from 6:56 p.m. 2.3 to 7:00 p.m.) 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the 25 record. The time is 7:00 p.m.

	Page 313
1	MR. MARDER: Mr. Sedlik, thank you for your
2	time. I have no further questions.
3	THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.
4	I would like to read and sign.
5	MR. MARDER: Thank you.
6	Any questions, Rob?
7	MR. ALLEN: No questions at this time.
8	MR. MARDER: We're done. Thanks.
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's
10	testimony given by Jeffrey Sedlik. The number of
11	media units used was one. It will be retained by
12	Veritext.
13	The time is 7:01 p.m. We're now off the
14	record.
15	(Off video record.)
16	THE REPORTER: Do you guys need a rough
17	draft?
18	MR. MARDER: I'll take a rough.
19	MR. ALLEN: If he's going to take a rough,
20	I'll take a rough.
21	(The deposition ended at 7:02 p.m.)
22	-000-
23	
24	
25	

	Page 314
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
2	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE ) ss.
3	
4	I, Paula A. Pyburn, CSR No. 7304, RPR, CLR, in
5	and for the State of California, do hereby certify:
6	I am the deposition officer that
7	stenographically recorded the testimony in the
8	foregoing deposition;
9	Prior to being examined the deponent was first
10	duly sworn by me;
11	The foregoing transcript is a true record of the
12	testimony given.
13	Before completion of the deposition, review of
14	the transcript [xx] was [ ] was not requested. If
15	requested, any changes made by the deponent (and
16	provided to the reporter) during the period allowed
17	are appended hereto.
18	
19	Dated December 2, 2019
20	
21	Tarla a By
22	parta ll y
	Paula A. Pyburn, RPR, CLR
23	CSR No. 7304
	Certified Shorthand
24	Reporter for the
	State of California
25	

Page 315 Robert Allen, Esquire 1 rallen@qlaserweil.com 2 December 2, 2019 3 RE: Brittney Gobble Photographer v. Sinclair Broadcast Group 5 11/13/2019, Jeffrey Sedlik , Expert (#3621210) The above-referenced transcript is available for 6 review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should 8 read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are 9 any changes, the witness should note those with the 10 reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. 11 12 The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. 13 Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at 14 cs-midatlantic@veritext.com 15 16 17 Return completed errata within 30 days from receipt of testimony. 18 If the witness fails to do so within the time 19 allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed. 20 21 22 Yours, 23 Veritext Legal Solutions 24 25

						Page 316
Brittney	Gobble Pl	notographer,	LLC	v.	Sinclair	Broadcast Grou
Jeffrey S	Sedlik , D	Expert (#362	1210)			
	1	ERRATA	S H	E E	Т	
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE				
REASON						
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE				
REASON						
PAGE	_ LINE	CHANGE				
REASON						
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE				
		CHANGE				
REASON						
		CHANGE				
REASON						
Jeffrey	Sedlik	, Expert			Date	

Page 317 Brittney Gobble Photographer, LLC v. Sinclair Broadcast Group 1 Jeffrey Sedlik , Expert (#3621210) 2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT 3 I, Jeffrey Sedlik , Expert, do hereby declare that I 4 5 have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as 6 noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is 7 8 a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony 9 given by me. 10 11 Jeffrey Sedlik , Expert Date 12 \*If notary is required 13 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS 14 \_\_\_\_\_, DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_. 15 16 17 18 19 NOTARY PUBLIC 20 21 22 23 24 25

[& - 2015] Page 1

&	1100 2:22	271:17 272:9,12	<b>2000</b> 72:12 127:20
<b>&amp;</b> 2:20 7:24 88:11	<b>11:43</b> 79:19 80:2,3	272:17 273:8,16	128:3
89:17 90:2	<b>11:59</b> 80:4,6	273:19 312:9	<b>2000s</b> 51:22
	<b>12</b> 4:10,12 5:6	<b>1920s</b> 17:4	166:23 218:4
0	26:12 37:19 41:23	<b>1979</b> 161:9	<b>2001</b> 99:18
<b>000</b> 313:22	43:24 44:4 100:19	<b>198</b> 5:13,14	<b>2004</b> 31:25 32:17
<b>00599</b> 1:12	100:23 101:1	<b>1981</b> 161:9	35:8 108:23
<b>00606</b> 1:14	<b>120</b> 58:22	<b>1986</b> 64:1 108:16	116:13 126:4
<b>03384</b> 1:10	<b>12th</b> 80:23 202:11	115:1	<b>2005</b> 31:25 113:21
<b>03403</b> 1:7 7:12	<b>13</b> 1:18 2:3 5:9 7:2	<b>1988</b> 127:18	151:6
1	101:16,17,22	<b>199</b> 5:15	<b>2006</b> 50:13 54:3
1 1:1 4:10 7:7	103:24 235:15	<b>1990</b> 109:22	55:4 102:5 113:23
11:21,22 12:6,12	<b>133,000</b> 38:22	<b>1994</b> 118:3,11,21	151:3,6 302:9
43:4 266:4 271:7	<b>133,082</b> 38:2,3	<b>1997</b> 127:18	303:20
275:16	<b>13th</b> 7:6 18:22	<b>1998</b> 108:25 109:1	<b>2007</b> 50:13 113:24
<b>1,000</b> 257:21	<b>14</b> 4:13,15 5:10	<b>1999</b> 126:4	128:11
<b>1,090</b> 200:3	105:5,9,11,15	<b>1:00</b> 95:19	<b>2008</b> 50:13 113:25
<b>1,200</b> 200:3	<b>140</b> 171:12	<b>1:18</b> 1:7,10 7:12	122:4
<b>1,300</b> 58:21	<b>145</b> 40:9	138:7,9	<b>2010</b> 50:13 54:4
<b>1,500</b> 58:21 59:4	<b>15</b> 5:12 17:7 18:12	<b>1:19</b> 1:12,14	55:4 101:3 302:9
<b>1,940</b> 199:25,25	49:21 61:15 95:3	<b>1st</b> 257:4 307:23	303:20
10 5:3 29:19,25	107:14,20 110:16	307:24	<b>2013</b> 22:21
30:5,21 82:2,7,8	113:3 114:22	2	<b>2014</b> 22:19 33:22
114:6 228:6,24	295:19 296:7	<b>2</b> 4:12 11:21,22,24	<b>2015</b> 4:16 22:17
229:7 238:21	<b>15,000</b> 73:18	12:4,7 13:22,25	33:22 38:16 42:25
239:3 266:2	189:4,6,13,19,21	16:14,16 38:24	42:25 167:3 175:5
<b>10,000</b> 188:22	190:3	121:21 131:14	176:5,7 178:11
189:22 190:1,2	<b>159</b> 171:13	271:8 275:16	179:5 181:21
<b>100</b> 2:22 5:6 47:1	<b>16</b> 5:13 155:16	290:13 314:19	182:16 191:4
47:6	198:19,24 226:3,4	315:3	196:17 197:7,17
<b>101</b> 5:9	226:9,10 228:1	<b>2,000</b> 199:25	197:21,23 199:15
<b>10250</b> 2:14	230:23 232:7	<b>2,135</b> 200:1	199:24 200:5
<b>104</b> 42:3	<b>160</b> 171:12	<b>2,904</b> 44:5	201:8,19 202:17
<b>104,594</b> 42:5	<b>17</b> 5:14 155:13,15	<b>20</b> 5:17 28:19 63:3	203:3,17 204:4,13
<b>105</b> 5:10	198:20,25 199:18	88:23,24 164:14	204:21 205:5
<b>107</b> 5:12	236:1	197:14 229:1	206:2 233:10,19
<b>10:00</b> 78:22	<b>18</b> 5:15 27:22	239:4 241:5	233:20 234:4,7,11
<b>10:07</b> 1:19 2:3 7:3	198:23 199:1	265:10 266:16,22	234:20 235:8
7:6	222:18,22 223:2,7	267:24 271:3,4,5	236:16,19 237:4
11 5:5 98:17,20,24	226:9 248:20	271:11,15,24,25	237:15,21 238:16
99:1,21 276:15	250:5 252:1	272:10,11 273:8	239:19 268:14
<b>11/13/2019</b> 315:5	<b>19</b> 5:16 27:22	284:22,24 309:4	270:17,19,23
<b>11/6/2019</b> 108:3	265:9,19 266:3	312:9,12 317:15	271:1 307:20
	267:1 271:4,7,15		308:6,15

[**2016 - 6:00**] Page 2

<b>2016</b> 4:17 22:10	258:24 259:3,14	222:17 237:1,6,7	<b>4:49</b> 245:6,7
22:15 33:22 40:2	260:7 270:22,24	237:18,19 238:8	
40:20,21 41:1	314:19 315:3	238:15,20,21	5
42:11,24 43:12	<b>2020</b> 24:18	239:2,5,17,21,25	<b>5</b> 4:16 35:16,21,24
119:3 197:7,7,18	2020 24.18 20th 68:8	240:10,23 241:6,6	37:19 222:13,15
204:16 236:19	<b>20</b>	240:10,23 241:0,0	222:17 228:6
<b>204</b> .16 230.19 <b>2017</b> 4:18 22:5	271:14 273:5	243:16 290:13	237:1,6,18,20
31:25 33:18,19,22			238:8,15,20 239:2
33:25 34:19 36:25	275:14,17 276:4	30 28:22,24 29:20	239:5,17,21,25,25
	312:9	30:6 95:3 207:25	240:10,23 241:1,6
40:25 43:22 44:3	210 37:7,8,13	222:18,22 223:2,7	241:7,8 242:15
44:13,15 45:3,6,9	<b>21202-1053</b> 2:23	229:1 248:2,20	243:16
45:20,25 47:11,21	<b>21st</b> 72:11,13 81:1	249:2 250:5,13	<b>50</b> 27:21 33:22
87:17 88:12,16,20	82:21 83:1,13	251:3 252:2	34:20 168:7
175:8 182:15	235:20,24	311:22 315:17	224:14 229:2
197:8,15,18,21,23	22 5:19 64:4	300 228:6,24	237:25 264:8,14
197:25 199:14,24	265:11 273:5	229:7,18,21	<b>500</b> 58:18 152:5,6
201:8,19 202:2,17	277:17	254:24	152:7
203:3,17 204:4,10	<b>220</b> 37:13	<b>310.553.3000</b> 2:16	<b>501</b> 25:25
204:12,16 205:5	<b>23</b> 5:20 265:12	<b>32</b> 287:15	<b>50s</b> 155:10
206:3 236:19	273:4,5,6,8,9,17	<b>33</b> 108:11 114:21	<b>51</b> 222:23
259:1 288:17	<b>24</b> 5:21 18:15 64:5	115:2,18 116:15	<b>55</b> 222:23 308:16
289:14	171:11 290:3,8,13	<b>333</b> 2:4 7:14	<b>5:00</b> 78:23
<b>2018</b> 21:19,20,23	<b>25</b> 17:5 18:15 24:7	35 4:16	<b>5:08</b> 245:8,10
22:2 31:25 32:1	<b>25,000</b> 207:24	<b>3621210</b> 315:5	<b>5:20</b> 253:24,25
32:17 33:6 34:21	<b>2610</b> 2:4 7:14	316:2 317:2	<b>5:22</b> 254:1,3
45:12,19,23 46:10	<b>265</b> 5:16,17,18,19	<b>37</b> 15:25,25	<b>5:38</b> 265:6,7
46:25 47:4 140:5	5:20	39 4:17	<b>5:45</b> 265:8,16
140:18 141:4	<b>273</b> 272:2	<b>3:27</b> 198:12,13	6
197:18,21 202:7	<b>275</b> 266:5 271:8	<b>3:40</b> 198:14,16	
202:16 204:18	<b>2797</b> 36:12 37:2	4	6 4:17 25:25 39:19
236:19	<b>27th</b> 87:17 88:12	<b>4</b> 4:15 14:8,9,15	39:20,21,25 40:4 43:4
<b>2019</b> 1:18 2:3 7:2	88:16	15:6,7,8 16:4,7	<b>6,000</b> 152:24
7:6 18:22 21:18	<b>28</b> 294:7,13	40:9,10,17 41:5	224:14 225:7
27:17 28:1,18,21	296:21	87:7,10,14,14,16	
34:21 80:23 81:1	<b>290</b> 5:21	239:25	237:25 238:1
82:21 83:1,13	<b>2:00</b> 95:19	<b>4/12/19</b> 4:19 5:3	244:5
139:9 175:5 179:5	<b>2:02</b> 138:9,11	<b>40</b> 29:4 30:1,21	6,212,215.82.
181:21 196:17	<b>2:53</b> 177:12,13	33:21 34:20 42:12	222:12
197:21 202:2,5,11	<b>2:58</b> 177:14,16	207:25	6/21/19 4:21
202:17 233:7,10	<b>2nd</b> 257:6	400,000 235:22,22	<b>60</b> 148:2 286:8
234:4,7,12,19	3	<b>410.752.2468</b> 2:24	60s 155:10
235:20,24 236:16	<b>3</b> 4:13 14:7,8,14	<b>43</b> 4:18	670 228:2
236:18 237:4,15	14:20 16:7 87:7	<b>46.5</b> 54:18 303:4	<b>6:00</b> 78:23
238:16 239:19	152:6,7 222:13,15		

[6:42 - added] Page 3

6:42       306:9,10       9.15       200:7 201:24       acceptance 59:15       302:1 305:9         6:47       306:11,13       205:11       accepted 59:7,16       accurately 77         6:56       312:21,22       9.17       196:19,24       206:3 223:17       116:24 117:1         7       9.17       197:11       224:21 225:12,13       achieve 62:19         7       4:18 26:12 43:15       90067 2:15       225:14 244:9,13       159:1         90067       2:15       accepts 306:20       achievement         14:21 218:4       144:21 218:4       187:10 188:17       144:2 147:9         70s       155:10       215:23 218:10,11       317:3         7141       314:22       215:23 24:24       218:12 234:24	9 nent
6:56       312:21,22       9.17       196:19,24       206:3 223:17       116:24 117:1         7       9.17       197:11       224:21 225:12,13       achieve 62:19         7       4:18 26:12 43:15       90067 2:15       225:14 244:9,13       159:1         43:16,20,21 47:10       90s 125:16,17,18       accepts 306:20       achievement         213:17       144:21 218:4       187:10 188:17       acknowledgem         70s 155:10       220:2,2,4       215:23 218:10,11       317:3         7141       314:22       96       171:22       218:12 234:24       acknowledgment	9 nent
7       9.17.       197:11       224:21 225:12,13       achieve 62:19         7       4:18 26:12 43:15       90067 2:15       225:14 244:9,13       159:1         43:16,20,21 47:10       90s 125:16,17,18       accepts 306:20       achieve 62:19         213:17       90s 125:16,17,18       144:21 218:4       187:10 188:17       acknowledgem         70s 155:10       220:2,2,4       215:23 218:10,11       317:3         7141 314:22       96 171:22       218:12 234:24       acknowledgme	ient
7 4:18 26:12 43:15 43:16,20,21 47:10 213:17 70 286:9 70s 155:10 7141 314:22  90067 2:15 90071 7:15 90071 7:15 90s 125:16,17,18 144:21 218:4 220:2,2,4 96 171:22  225:14 244:9,13 accepts 306:20 accepts 306:20 187:10 188:17 215:23 218:10,11 218:12 234:24  317:3 acknowledgement 317:3 acknowledgement 317:3 acknowledgement 317:3	ient
7 4:18 26:12 43:15 43:16,20,21 47:10 213:17 70 286:9 70s 155:10 7141 314:22 90071 7:15 90s 125:16,17,18 144:21 218:4 220:2,2,4 96 171:22 accepts 306:20 accepts 306:20 187:10 188:17 215:23 218:10,11 218:12 234:24 acknowledgem	
43:16,20,21 47:10       213:17       90s 125:16,17,18       access 116:8       114:2 147:9         70 286:9       155:10       220:2,2,4       215:23 218:10,11       317:3         7141 314:22       96 171:22       218:12 234:24       acknowledgem	
70 286:9 70s 155:10 7141 314:22 18:4 187:10 188:17 acknowledgem 220:2,2,4 215:23 218:10,11 317:3 acknowledgem	
70s 155:10 7141 314:22  220:2,2,4 96 171:22  215:23 218:10,11 317:3 218:12 234:24  acknowledgment	
7141 314·22 96 171:22 218:12 234:24 acknowledgme	ent
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 $	ш
<b>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</b>	
12 228:14   000 4:16.17.18   accessed 243:21   acquired 170	·16
72,000 42:10 35:25 36:1 40:2 257:7 act 28:11 104	
7304  1:25  2:5	
314:4,23 78.000 28:20 22 40:18 47:11 accessionity 144:23 47:21,23 48:14 162:10,11 156:21	
78,000 38:20,23 aggressible 234:23 aggressible 234:23	72.10
42:17  7:00 212:22 25  a accident 66:8  121:14 248:1	2.10
7:00 312:23,25 a&e 68:5,7 69:22 actions 211:2	2
7:01 313:13 69:25 accidentally active 21:1 15	
/:U2   313:21       <b>a.m.</b>   1:19 2:3 7:3.6	0.0
80:2,3,4,6 accommodates 159:3	
anticon	00
γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ γ	
able 53.2.63.9	
144:1 151:4 159:1   23:2 : 30:2	
162.5 7 12 167.11   decomposited   117.20 50 110	
177.75 195.16	33:9
201:1 218:1 221:7	
243.17 259.18	10 10
261:17.275:4	
absent 40.6	
absolute 308:10	
absolutely 10:19	
36:24 67:18	
230:20 277:8	
81 161:10 academic 130:12 accounting 39:10 222:4,12 224	
82 5:3 accounts 262:2 234:15 235:5	
9   147.23   accrual 42:22   237:21 292:1	
9 4:21 43:10 45:7   academy 153.6   accuracy 48:7   ad 57:25 188:	14
45:7 80:10.20.25   accent 24.5 98.9   148:21 176:3   274:6	
81:21 82:21 197:9   130:18 308:15   233:4 315:9   add 1/5:12 23	)4:19
197:12 235:14.15   309·5 11 19 310·8   accurate 11:5 29:3   293:19	
9.468 43:13 310:13 16 311:6 30:19 38:12 46:22 added 131:9	_
9.13 200:9,11   acceptable 307:9   47:1,6,9,14,17   254:18 262:1	8
63:19 73:8 114:11 293:18	

[adding - alm] Page 4

adding 254:20	administration	57.22 24 58.1	agrans 206:21
<b>adding</b> 254:20 <b>addition</b> 24:2 61:2	17:6 19:7 32:3	57:23,24 58:1 76:24 134:6	<b>agrees</b> 306:21 <b>ah</b> 87:15
144:13 146:1	94:24	143:16 177:1	ahead 10:20 11:19
171:8	administrative	194:20 217:23	15:15 16:3 80:7
	20:16 21:5 94:23		98:15 173:22
additional 12:22		288:15 293:23	
13:16 20:23 23:22	administrator	302:25 303:17	199:11 232:8
23:24 85:13,21	32:7 38:25	305:19	276:13 277:14
86:7	admissible 135:21	agency 155:2	304:21
additionally 236:9	adobe 31:5,11	291:22 294:10	al 1:9 7:10
additions 317:6	adopted 129:2	295:23 306:17	album 244:3
address 36:9,12	advance 30:13	agents 49:13	alleged 217:5
36:16,20,23 37:11	39:6	136:16 292:21	245:16 254:25
37:12 40:5,6,8,19	advanced 23:1,3	aggressive 95:25	allen 2:13 7:21,21
40:23 100:1 102:4	advent 196:13	ago 17:7 21:16	11:24 12:2,5
105:10,14 110:12	advertisements	22:13 23:18 31:23	14:10 15:24 78:15
110:14,20 116:6,7	178:6,7	66:15,16 93:19,20	78:19,21 79:2,11
119:10 149:19	advertising 15:19	100:6 101:5	79:17,19,23 80:13
190:18 234:1	26:6 64:2,12,13	102:15 124:21	80:15 81:5,10,14
251:17	64:18 65:2,16	132:3 153:25	84:18 89:9,18,24
addressed 73:22	86:2 125:8 127:5	161:11,12 164:6	90:8 98:17 137:24
234:3	142:16 160:10	174:7 186:11	138:2,5 160:24
addressing 126:14	243:4,5	191:8 200:10	161:2 173:9,17
179:19	advice 150:8	238:12 240:22	174:4,20 212:20
adequate 240:11	151:18 312:13	241:22 257:22	212:25 245:3
282:17	advise 67:6 254:14	284:22,24 291:16	267:19,22 269:25
adjunct 17:21	advisory 133:25	312:12	270:7,8 293:15
18:1,6,7,10	advocacy 64:4	<b>agree</b> 29:19,21	313:7,19 315:1
adjust 196:23	125:7,11	30:5,20 56:24	alliance 93:24
206:14 252:17,17	advocate 113:25	178:24 187:21	133:21,22,23
269:12	aesthetic 282:22	234:20 247:6	allotted 315:20
adjusted 197:25	310:23 311:1,4	251:4 259:21	<b>allow</b> 124:7
237:3,14 249:1	aesthetically	261:20 263:7	207:17 208:7
251:11	309:25 310:15	282:14 283:5	213:3 248:13
adjusting 184:9	<b>affect</b> 49:6 162:19	287:5 297:25	249:14 250:19
206:15	164:24 180:25	298:6,11 309:8	allowable 209:9
adjustment	181:1 217:13	agreed 71:6	<b>allowed</b> 71:6 72:5
199:14 201:21	279:15	254:19 308:12	136:18 165:10,11
204:1 224:10	affiliated 117:15	agreement 15:10	207:14 314:16
225:3 238:15	affiliations 7:20	87:19 172:17	allowing 96:6
239:17,21 240:12	<b>afford</b> 94:20,22,22	189:12 210:2,4,18	162:5 208:17
251:9	<b>africa</b> 66:25 67:3	295:8,17,21	211:11 214:15
adjustments 201:5	age 311:22 312:8	296:23 297:5	<b>allows</b> 19:24
223:23 240:3,9	agencies 26:7	agreements 191:1	alm 5:5 99:3,8
	49:12 54:15 55:2	215:6	

alternative 58:15	ansi 59:11	239:9 240:21	applicable 220:15
amanda 179:10	answer 9:19 10:15	251:3 260:14	240:11 250:11
america 64:3	10:17 11:3,4,5	289:12	315:8
120:21 125:8	15:22 24:24 33:6	answering 49:7	application
127:5 142:17	33:7,12,20 36:19	123:6 252:23	132:17 185:3
143:25 243:4,6	41:2,13 47:7 52:4	268:22	257:7
286:17	53:6,9 55:19	answers 73:3	applications 59:17
american 69:15	60:15,20,21 64:20	83:19 124:22,24	applied 222:13
119:2,6,20 120:10	67:5 71:15,18,25	270:12 294:20	224:9 242:24
131:25 132:11,14	73:16,22 75:5	anticipate 291:15	262:2
132:18 133:10,17	77:12,19 83:24	anticipation 79:13	applies 260:23
144:11	86:14 87:8 88:8	anybody 35:2	284:12
amount 30:4 34:6	89:21 94:3 95:2	76:22 78:25 85:12	apply 109:2
39:7 47:8 64:8	104:9 106:11	115:8,8,17 117:14	220:15 225:3
97:9 134:12	110:1 144:24	117:15 137:13	240:23 245:1
171:10 172:18,21	145:23 157:1	245:25,25 247:13	260:18,20 286:3
173:1 218:13	161:4 167:18	285:13 289:10	applying 222:17
299:12,24	169:22 174:6	296:13	224:5 286:5
amounts 43:5	176:12,13,24	anymore 21:6	appreciate 34:18
49:19 50:24 53:24	177:4 180:19	243:21 285:14	41:16 53:4 124:5
analysis 184:11	185:14,19 187:18	<b>ap</b> 186:16,21	145:1 158:12
201:25 202:3,5,16	192:14 194:5	187:8,11,13 188:4	182:20 203:12
203:18,23 206:24	202:4 204:2 212:2	apa 113:20,24	225:16 234:1
analyze 52:11	213:2 216:10,15	127:8,15	236:15 239:6,13
analyzed 50:22	216:17 217:13	apologies 81:17	250:1 305:7
52:1	218:20 219:21	apologize 148:11	approach 64:15
anecdotal 204:25	227:16,18 231:7	254:5	65:8 72:8 206:1,3
angeles 2:4,15 7:1	233:11 236:15	apparent 228:16	259:7
7:15 69:6,7 106:2	238:14 240:2,8,22	appeals 210:12	approached
115:2 125:10	249:16 250:2	211:1	133:21
anger 121:16	251:1 252:9,24,25	<b>appear</b> 47:3 110:8	appropriate 72:6
angles 65:9	254:10 257:13	110:10 156:12	241:18 247:6
animals 154:14	259:2 260:19	310:17	263:18 264:1,2,4
<b>announce</b> 8:2 31:6	261:6 264:6	appearance	approve 59:10
announced 172:2	268:13 274:11	110:18	approved 34:5
announcement	276:11 278:24	appearances 2:9	48:3
31:4 217:19	280:18 284:24	3:1 7:19 101:7	approximately
announcements	287:14 288:11	appears 14:1	17:5 18:12 28:2
33:13	291:21 293:17	42:16 54:16 99:1	29:5 31:24 32:16
annual 35:25	296:4 302:11	101:22 104:20	45:24 78:20,22
57:17,18 144:6	303:23 304:3	108:2 114:10	138:17 152:3,7
annually 97:22,24	307:14 311:3	128:2 302:25	171:21 197:8,12
144:17 173:2	answered 71:2	appended 314:17	222:18,23 296:8
	78:3,4 104:9	317:7	

approximating	aside 23:14 77:11	aspect 260:13	232:15,17,21
28:8	115:20 131:20	283:25	234:13 276:15
april 36:25 80:23	135:17 275:11	assembly 137:18	278:5,6
140:9 202:11	asked 11:1 13:1,4	assert 222:5	assumptions 15:4
archive 143:25	13:16 15:2 19:21	assertion 308:14	85:18,19,20
archive.org	23:6 39:8 41:4,7	assets 280:22	182:18 227:10,14
291:18,19 292:21	41:11 61:11 85:12	assign 58:6	227:17 232:10,23
area 23:9 63:8,10	86:6 91:1 97:18	assignment 66:13	275:22
63:10 86:1 142:23	104:11 110:19	66:14,21	<b>attached</b> 14:4 81:4
149:20 161:5	119:8,12 122:9,12	assignments 159:3	82:16 315:11
211:18 212:13	125:12 128:1,2	assistance 23:25	attempts 211:23
213:12 301:9	133:22,24 135:14	137:20	attend 144:1,7,18
areas 63:14 134:9	135:14 136:5	assistant 32:6	147:19
256:25	139:3 145:10	assisted 137:14	attendance 8:2
argue 285:14	148:3,8,25 149:9	assisting 144:23	300:25 305:23
arises 30:24	151:20 154:4	162:15	attended 143:14
army 153:19	156:2,19 159:25	associated 23:3	143:21,22 144:2
arrange 192:10	169:6,14,25	53:24,25 115:9	144:17 145:3,6,14
arrangement	183:13,15 186:11	116:1 128:16	145:18,21 147:10
285:10	194:8 233:22	153:14 164:24	147:15
arrived 239:5	252:21 261:12	299:3,4	attending 32:11
<b>art</b> 61:5 114:5,7	262:18 284:22	associates 107:13	134:2
159:5,6 220:3,4	292:6,12 301:19	association 57:4,5	attention 30:15
artcenter 17:1,2	301:24 304:16	58:1,2 143:24	98:14 141:2,9
17:18,24 21:15,22	<b>asking</b> 9:11,20	299:6	142:2
63:25 117:22	11:11,13 16:17	associations 64:7	attorney 89:19
118:1,2,12,20	24:22 29:2,10	130:21	91:25 92:6 136:7
143:11 147:5,18	55:21 65:15,17	assume 9:21 18:23	
147:24	67:7 70:17 77:19	30:8 34:12 39:14	attorneys 89:18
article 122:23	85:2 112:3 120:8	45:16,17 76:2	92:3 119:7,16
128:2,16 129:11	141:15 146:15	97:23 99:12	150:18 213:10
180:5,14 183:4	192:3 196:2	139:18 140:6,17	attributable 160:5
articles 121:22	203:14 204:24	157:4 190:1	audit 139:6
128:6 129:15	210:25 229:3	210:21 224:3	author 122:4
167:14 180:8	251:13,14,20	234:18,21 235:6	124:8 148:20
264:23,24	252:10 259:25	251:22 279:17	149:2 162:22
artifacts 310:14	260:15,16 270:21	282:11 283:1	163:3,17,18
artist 26:9 308:15	280:25 288:23	assumed 186:8	164:19
artistic 310:6	290:25 293:1,2	282:4	<b>authored</b> 121:23
artists 24:13	296:2 304:15	assumes 299:18	131:6
130:17 308:21	307:10	assuming 166:4	authority 25:15
arts 17:10 69:15	asks 118:17,19	257:13 263:11	authorization
114:6 147:2,7	asmp 122:2,8,9	assumption	246:9
149:4	243:6	227:20,21 232:13	

authorized 97:7	80:5 82:12 83:3	<b>banner</b> 111:8,9,11	beiny's 255:19
automatic 257:15	88:23 95:4 100:24	barbara 143:10	believe 15:24 17:4
257:17	106:22 109:1	146:20 147:16	31:19 33:2,7
autopilot 99:17	116:7,17 131:13	barry 41:6,7,15	42:23 45:13 62:9
availability 123:4	138:10,13 143:8	barter 285:9	70:3,6 71:12 72:9
175:20,24 176:5	144:25 145:11	bartering 297:10	72:14 73:1 75:15
available 162:3,9	146:13 152:13	base 222:12	76:16 77:4 79:4,9
175:12 220:23	153:12 157:19	224:18 237:1	83:18 84:21 85:7
228:13,20 235:2	172:22 176:14	241:2,17 262:6	86:20 89:15
236:3,10 242:8	177:15 182:15	<b>based</b> 147:12,14	100:16 111:19
247:8 263:14,17	185:13,25 197:16	151:18 153:13	117:17 120:23
271:2 281:21	198:15 200:4,9	161:25 166:18	122:11 125:6
315:6	202:19 204:13,21	172:3,10 180:24	126:20 127:25
avatar 112:12	208:9 214:25	182:16,19 188:17	153:25 178:19
average 27:18	218:21 239:11,25	211:9 220:11,22	186:2,10 189:11
28:1,5,15,18,21	245:9 254:2	221:3 223:23	193:21 204:9,18
28:24 33:5 34:20	265:15 266:18	227:10,16 237:12	210:12 230:16
38:14 42:10 44:14	268:11 273:16,17	238:18 249:4	246:7,20 247:10
45:23 47:12 200:6	273:19 275:2,3	287:18,23 296:18	247:13,17,18,23
201:24 205:10	277:6 284:5	<b>basic</b> 160:21	247:23,25 249:21
225:2	288:17 289:23	basically 124:22	254:15 269:5,9
averaged 182:12	290:25 294:24	208:13 299:1	276:16 280:4
182:14	300:3 304:13	<b>basis</b> 30:9 42:22	284:16 292:2
avoid 56:11	306:12 312:24	42:22,23 173:8	294:3 307:8
award 113:23	backed 67:4	194:15,17 195:13	believed 233:23
114:4 141:10	background 16:18	195:15,23 244:17	<b>believes</b> 247:4,15
147:13	65:10 71:7 175:15	<b>bb</b> 244:2	benchmark
awarded 147:8	181:24 197:2	<b>bbc</b> 68:11	181:15,17,23
aware 82:10 88:25	211:24 220:5	bear 101:14	182:25 226:22
97:25 138:25	236:12 264:8,13	beauty 310:12	229:14 257:24
159:17 168:9,21	264:14,14 309:16	becoming 129:7	258:8,16,19 262:6
188:7,9 253:13	309:22 310:2,18	beer 309:21	263:8,21,24 264:1
254:11,12 311:14	310:19 311:14	began 116:12	264:2,4 268:15
aways 220:6	<b>backing</b> 129:24	<b>beginning</b> 16:22	270:18,20
b	backup 208:11	106:11 122:23	benchmarks
<b>b</b> 1:13 4:8 5:1,21	backwards 127:18	155:7 217:18	182:4 193:16,17
8:20 290:2,9	199:21 <b>bad</b> 168:5 195:7	254:10 304:11	225:18 226:1,13
bachelor 147:2	badge 119:9	begins 21:25 102:25	258:9 259:9,22,22 260:7,8 261:21,21
bachelor's 147:17	baggage 57:22	behalf 2:2 7:23	261:23 262:14
147:22	balanced 59:9	91:16 103:3 104:6	270:21
<b>back</b> 10:23 16:3	ballpark 126:6	105:22 152:19	<b>benefit</b> 96:6
17:4 20:2,3 33:22	baltimore 1:4 2:23	154:21 156:16	207:11
34:5 49:21 59:7	76:4	246:5	<b>2</b> 0/.11
63:2,3 75:23 77:1	70.1	210.3	

benefits 37:23	301:2	<b>boring</b> 264:21	252:10 253:15
41:25 43:25 298:9	black 264:14	<b>bottom</b> 38:6 99:2	254:12 259:12
<b>best</b> 10:14 16:6,10	<b>blame</b> 40:10	105:14 110:16	260:6 261:20
37:1 73:7 126:4	blanked 176:10	114:24 131:9	262:13 263:12,13
246:7 247:11	blanks 75:3	137:20 228:19	263:14,23 276:24
258:16	<b>blends</b> 138:24	<b>boughn</b> 3:4 8:4,4	284:20 285:15,22
<b>bestow</b> 132:23	bless 155:22	73:1 138:1	292:24 293:13,20
bestseller 163:3	<b>blog</b> 130:10 163:7	<b>bought</b> 290:22,24	294:2 298:1 307:8
better 96:7 217:23	164:14,16 165:13	291:4	307:10 309:8,10
218:10 259:9,21	165:21 166:4,4,5	boulevard 2:14	315:4 316:1 317:1
260:7 261:21	166:8,9	36:13,23 37:2,12	<b>broad</b> 123:2
262:14 263:8	<b>blogger</b> 164:8,10	40:9,19,23	129:21 137:8
286:20 299:8	164:11,14,18	<b>box</b> 36:7 40:4	171:18
<b>beyond</b> 58:21 86:4	<b>blown</b> 310:9,13,16	<b>brando</b> 153:20	broadcast 1:8
214:13,13	310:22	<b>break</b> 79:20 83:11	7:10 157:5 315:4
<b>bfa</b> 147:1,2,21	<b>blue</b> 229:25 230:1	84:7,15 137:22	316:1 317:1
<b>bgp</b> 294:9,15	<b>blur</b> 30:12	138:14 150:3	<b>broadcasting</b> 69:4
<b>bgp's</b> 296:23,25	<b>board</b> 26:11,15	155:21 177:18	broadly 129:17
<b>bid</b> 67:1	27:10 39:9 52:20	198:18 199:9	162:9
bifocals 110:21	56:18,19 59:9	245:3 268:10	brochure 57:16
<b>big</b> 29:18 66:25	94:24 132:2	269:1,15,23 270:2	<b>brokers</b> 136:16
<b>bigfoot</b> 181:24	264:19	270:3	215:6
242:6	boards 19:17	breaks 11:7	brooks 114:2
<b>bigger</b> 195:1	<b>body</b> 132:22 273:3	breaths 194:21	147:8
biggest 66:24	<b>boise</b> 163:24 164:1	<b>breed</b> 178:14	brought 12:24
<b>bill</b> 140:1,2	164:8,8,14	234:22	14:7,22 139:15
<b>billing</b> 137:14	<b>bolts</b> 282:19	breeding 297:20	<b>budget</b> 99:10,13
<b>bills</b> 99:17	<b>book</b> 122:7,14	<b>breeds</b> 262:15	<b>building</b> 50:2 64:1
<b>bin</b> 282:20	129:12 130:10	brian 8:20	<b>built</b> 51:16 248:24
<b>binder</b> 266:5	134:12 148:17,22	<b>brief</b> 185:10 296:7	<b>bulk</b> 192:10,12
<b>bit</b> 9:1,11 18:19	149:17,18 153:9	296:19,24	193:14
21:1 29:24 37:18	162:23,23 163:2	bring 13:1,4 18:5	bunch 255:6
41:22 53:5 56:1	163:19 165:14,20	23:11 73:10,13,14	<b>burden</b> 34:10
58:11 62:17 63:13	166:10 223:14	81:7 101:14	bureau 208:5,9,23
63:16 77:16 79:22	231:25 232:3	brittany 196:7	208:24
83:2 85:23 106:5	284:15	293:25 297:24	<b>business</b> 19:7,15
106:8 113:5 117:1	<b>bookkeeper</b> 32:10	<b>brittany's</b> 293:1,6	23:19 24:12 27:9
146:8 154:16	48:2 99:15	brittney 1:5 7:9	29:13,17 50:18
155:24 156:6	bookkeeping	7:22 74:17 75:14	103:9 109:16
177:19 182:22	32:11	87:3 88:9,14 89:7	113:17 116:16,17
190:16 196:8	books 39:12 42:22	183:10,14,22	117:11,20 121:9
212:4 217:17	129:14 148:8	184:25 190:7	122:3 125:15
221:16,24 279:16	149:18 206:17	196:6 222:5	127:20 133:1,12
285:11 288:4	221:20,20	236:14 248:3,6	141:8 143:8,9,11

[business - cats] Page 9

144:10,22 147:13	119:10,11 130:19	careful 181:22	274:9 278:9
148:24 193:8	131:6 158:21	carefully 46:1	284:10 288:7
243:9 286:21,23	187:2 188:12	123:7	289:7,11 292:10
286:24,25 297:13	192:15 193:6,18	case 1:7,8,9,10,11	292:15 293:11
297:20 298:21,22	193:22 194:22,24	1:12,13,14 7:11	297:23 300:4,5,7
300:24	195:2 221:11	12:17 13:2 15:2	cases 1:8 89:15
businesses 19:16	228:21 239:23	28:11 74:9,11,13	90:13 91:17,18,22
40:15 41:4 106:18	263:15 285:13	75:25 76:5,7,10	92:2,19 93:2,13
241:24	287:3	·	
	called 20:10 21:7	76:22,23 77:2,3 80:23 82:12,17,25	93:25 95:8,9,9,21 101:11,12 139:10
<b>busy</b> 95:24 96:1 <b>buttoned</b> 101:6	21:8 25:21 41:19	83:14 84:6,20	139:19,21,22,22
buy 193:3 222:23	50:3 99:3 117:10	85:13 86:12,18,24	187:11 212:3,16
buyer 49:11	128:18 130:17	88:17,21,22 89:3	213:11,18 214:8
195:10,12,14	143:24 148:7,23	89:6,10,17,19,25	213.11,18 214.8
244:14,15 251:20	155:18 175:23	90:5,8,18,21,23	240:23,24 246:16
300:12	215:14 228:20	91:2,4,5,7,15,25	274:3 308:4
buyers 182:23	calling 249:11	92:1,14 93:6 96:3	cash 42:22,23
•	312:13,15,16	124:1 129:8,20	287:1 298:16
183:11,23 184:1 192:21	calls 32:8 77:4,5	134:22,25 135:7	casual 58:9
192.21	96:18 98:9 104:17	134.22,23 133.7	casually 10:7
C	camera 229:2	135:25 136:5	casually 10.7
<b>c</b> 3:4 25:25 36:7	255:23 256:5,6,9	139:16 143:7	175:4,8,22,24
40:4 45:2 114:3	255.25 250.3,0,9	159:14 162:8,22	175.4,8,22,24
144:15,15 149:3	cameras 196:13	179:18,18 180:10	178:25 179:5,22
276:22	256:11	181:15 182:9	181:18 182:12,13
ca 2:15	campaign 65:17	183:22 184:2,14	231:20,21 233:6
calculate 223:10	campaign 03.17	184:19 185:20,23	231.20,21 233.0 233:14,17 234:9
257:24	302:16	187:13 188:25	234:11,18 235:1
calculated 182:16	candid 175:16	189:16 193:17	235:21 236:12,18
250:11	candids 236:10	201:22 202:10	236:23 238:13
calculating 160:22	candids 250.10 capabilities 92:10	206:8 213:4,5	239:19 242:7,23
182:8 183:11	capacity 54:21	214:2,4,9,12,24	252:15 258:17,18
calculation 183:12	55:17 125:14	215:5,15 221:25	259:7,20 263:5,6
237:10 249:7,24	129:25 243:3	222:6 223:11	269:9,13 298:21
calculations 160:5	303:8	224:17,20 225:9	catch 135:22
222:8 225:9	<b>caption</b> 82:12	225:11,19,21,23	catching 166:16
california 2:5 7:1	capture 255:24	226:14 227:15	categorically
7:15 37:3 115:2	256:2,16	232:2,10,23 233:3	185:7
143:10 146:20	card 97:20	240:20 244:18	categories 152:18
147:15 314:1,5,24	care 219:11,11	251:14,19 252:17	categories 132.18
call 15:13 20:22	career 24:8 61:16	251:14,19 232:17	categorized 296:1
24:16 34:2 59:20	61:19,22 62:6,11	255:2 258:16	categorized 290.1 cats 154:7,13,14
61:9,11 77:1,1,2	62:16,21 63:18,22	260:9,16 261:2	154:17 156:11,12
83:8 91:3 94:14	67:10 242:19	269:24 270:8	157:22 175:4,10
111:6 118:24	07.10 474.17	207.27 270.0	131.22 113.7,10

[cats - client] Page 10

175:13 176:7	57:24 102:8	308:2 314:15	circumstances
178:20 179:20	116:16 123:12	315:10 317:6	142:21,22 207:4,5
180:6,7,8 183:4	124:1 143:22	changing 167:1	210:16 241:7
222:23 233:16,20	150:14 161:19	194:23	252:11
234:22 260:7	169:10 203:8	<b>chapter</b> 122:7,10	cite 299:25
261:19 262:3,4,16	288:20	122:11,14 129:12	cited 300:5,6,6
264:21 291:22	certainty 308:10	chapter's 125:10	clarification 9:20
cause 13:18 58:16	309:3	chapters 129:14	78:12 108:6
291:15 310:17	certification 25:15	characteristics	clarify 70:12
cbs 68:11,11,15,15	134:6	62:23 223:24	191:15 304:17,20
cd 69:14	certifications	characterize	clarifying 41:17
cease 158:19,22	120:14,17,17,23	296:2	305:7
245:13,19 246:4,8	certified 314:23	charge 97:9	clarita 32:23,24
246:12,23,25	certify 314:5	142:19,20,21,22	33:1
247:7,14	cetera 50:11	189:23 194:9	clarity 48:25 56:3
celebrities 154:15	125:15 192:12	243:18	56:8,10 58:12
celebrity 155:11	197:8 272:23	charged 189:2	263:10
180:14	<b>chair</b> 125:6,9	cheaper 197:21	class 19:2,8,20
<b>cell</b> 106:4	challenge 134:18	check 99:14	20:2,3,17 21:24
censured 121:14	134:23	119:21 132:16	23:7,8,24 24:7
121:19	challenged 136:1	137:24 202:20	25:2 61:2,12
century 68:8	136:6	232:11	211:23 215:17
72:11,13	challenges 136:3	checking 14:2	classes 21:2 61:5,8
ceo 38:11 39:3	137:6	china 158:18,24	119:15,18 120:9
44:11 46:17 54:22	chance 58:12	chinese 158:21,25	142:6,9,10 143:1
57:21 303:9	120:24 199:8	<b>choice</b> 310:23	143:4,8,11 164:7
cepic 144:15	268:24	<b>choices</b> 50:7,9	classic 243:23
certain 11:14	<b>change</b> 33:25 34:2	311:24	<b>cle</b> 119:7 120:1
22:14 34:13 36:24	34:19,22 35:14	choose 160:3	clean 267:7,14,18
45:14 51:1 65:21	164:17 180:4,24	178:3 227:8	<b>cleans</b> 34:15
66:19 69:4 70:7	206:5 234:4	278:17,19,21	clear 10:9 11:13
120:15,16 126:5	236:17 237:4	283:20 285:19	14:10 21:21 48:21
127:4 132:9 133:2	238:16 239:18	<b>chose</b> 182:4 193:9	53:17 75:5,23
133:2,3,3 149:23	257:15,20 267:6	260:11 261:22	135:11 148:11
151:18 164:23	269:13 316:4,7,10	262:15	231:14 234:5
172:18,21 173:1	316:13,16,19	chronological	262:24 293:10
182:18 191:6	<b>changed</b> 35:4,7,10	199:19,20	305:5
207:4,10 224:13	220:7 234:8	<b>circle</b> 268:11	clearly 60:9
241:7 257:7	254:21 257:15	circled 267:5	212:10,12
259:10 289:14,15	277:12 287:11	circles 154:3	<b>client</b> 60:17 64:16
300:22 307:16,16	305:2 307:20	circuit 243:5	64:23 65:13,14
310:11 311:22	changes 171:24	circumstance	66:3,11 68:1
certainly 9:10	196:10 201:20	152:14	69:16,19 88:6
16:9,20 52:19	256:18 257:3		96:20 111:16

[client - company] Page 11

135:1,4,12,14	301:25 302:22	<b>columns</b> 199:18	committees 20:19
136:4,19,20 156:8	303:9 304:2,7	200:14	commodity 162:8
157:17,18 214:14	305:4	com 5:8	<b>common</b> 56:15
<b>clients</b> 64:12,13	coalition's 39:12	combination	220:12 239:2
67:7 150:11	47:19	251:1	242:2 243:16
154:14 253:5	coauthor 128:11	<b>come</b> 20:16,16	285:24,25
<b>clio</b> 114:3	<b>code</b> 274:18,25	24:6 61:12 67:25	commonly 128:18
clip 220:3,4 266:5	<b>codes</b> 272:20	85:21 95:21 96:3	commonplace
266:18	274:21	116:7 133:24	170:19,21
clock 24:2 27:15	<b>coffee</b> 175:15	146:3 149:9,11	communicate 53:2
close 257:2	236:11	150:17 155:19	58:8 60:9 246:21
closely 97:15	collaborate 56:24	176:12 182:14	communicated
<b>closer</b> 32:25	collaborates 129:1	194:11 201:21	86:11,13 185:5
110:22 113:11	collect 49:18	206:13 209:25	communicating
260:8 261:21	55:10 304:3,8	225:2 239:1,11	56:13 88:25
263:8	305:12,15	266:11,14 272:25	communication
<b>clr</b> 1:25 2:6 314:4	collected 49:23	275:19,23 279:14	26:2,19 56:7 83:3
314:22	50:3,19,22 51:23	280:2 300:2 309:2	114:5
<b>club</b> 114:5	52:10 54:2 55:5	comes 41:12 65:21	communications
<b>cmyk</b> 230:7	57:12 175:4 301:5	86:1 149:1 176:14	13:13 56:8 75:19
<b>coach</b> 173:15	301:20 302:6	189:4 224:11	75:20 78:17
coalition 19:15	303:21	266:12 275:21	community 24:15
23:5 25:22,24,25	collecting 300:15	300:3	206:4,7 223:18
26:3,25 27:7,19	300:20 305:18	comfortable 238:7	compact 19:20
27:20 28:3,14,16	collection 277:2	238:20 285:20	companies 86:21
28:22 30:11 31:13	collective 131:25	coming 14:23 18:3	97:19 109:6 112:1
31:15,18,21 32:2	132:22	64:23 65:13	112:13 154:22,24
32:16,22 33:5	college 17:1,2,3,19	108:12,18 139:7	156:15 157:12,25
35:1,6,8 36:17,22	17:24 24:22 61:5	166:25 232:1	158:5 167:20
37:11 40:3,18	63:25 117:22	commensurate	170:14 184:17
42:21 43:12 44:13	118:2,12,21	203:20 298:9	185:17 186:6
45:9,11,24 47:5	132:12,14,18	comment 59:6,7	191:10 193:11,19
47:16 48:22,23	146:22 147:5,18	131:4 134:16	193:25 194:11
49:8,10,18 50:22	161:8	149:5 152:15	195:22 215:21,22
51:21,22,25 52:9	<b>colleges</b> 25:15 <b>color</b> 219:13	170:3	217:20 224:4
52:14,18 53:8,11	color 219:13 column 45:2	comments 149:10 commerce 146:3	231:12 249:7,8 259:6,19 261:18
53:20,23 54:13,21	124:20 126:14	commercial 159:3	262:10 282:21
55:9,12,18,24 56:3,14,17 108:9	200:17,20 266:8	commercial 139:3	290:22,24 291:2,4
108:15,23,24	266:25 271:8,12	committee 20:0	290:22,24 291:2,4 292:8 293:3,12
108.13,23,24	271:18 272:18	64:4 122:17 125:7	292.8 293.3,12
114:17,25 115:18	273:21 274:13,17	125:10,13 133:25	company 29:20
129:1 130:1,17	276:3	158:25	40:22 41:19 86:12
131:19 134:3	210.3	150.25	86:17 94:19 95:3
131.17 137.3			00.17 7 7.17 70.0

05.4.06.14.15.17	250.16	249.14.205.4	249.10.201.0
95:4 96:14,15,17	complaints 250:16	248:14 295:4	248:10 281:9
99:3 102:7 109:3	293:21	297:12,12 299:1	285:15,22 287:10
117:10 118:16,18	complete 11:5	<b>condo</b> 209:23	296:8
118:18 138:21	14:3 203:18 317:8	condominium	consideration
156:22 168:2	completed 315:17	209:20	184:6 197:17
192:9,11 210:17	completely 269:20	<b>conduct</b> 119:7	238:16 239:18
210:24 211:6,12	287:11	conference 146:10	240:19 248:6,9
211:15,17 216:6,8	completion 314:13	conferences	281:12 285:10
216:9,20,23	complex 210:15	143:23 145:3,9,14	286:22 287:1
225:14 227:4	<b>comply</b> 72:7 87:11	145:15	297:10,17,22
244:8 260:5 263:2	components	confident 249:19	299:20
company's 115:7	159:14	confidential 15:11	considerations
152:23	composition 61:17	15:13	157:24
comparable	219:13 262:11	confirm 232:22	considered 68:6
259:20 260:5	263:4 264:24	233:25 242:24	73:12 81:4 84:23
261:19 262:11,12	311:11	confirmation	84:25 191:12
262:20 263:3	compositional	233:1 234:14	207:14 280:16
compare 201:19	311:24	confirmed 21:25	290:11 291:11
compared 201:7	compositions	150:6,6 176:6	292:9
201:22 206:2	312:10	178:9 236:21,21	considering
234:12 236:19	compound 173:10	236:23 242:25	223:21 298:6
comparison	compute 28:4	confirming 75:4	considers 280:4
280:22	232:2	conflicting 56:23	consistent 172:20
compensate	<b>computer</b> 74:3,5,7	confused 41:20	196:21 204:5
237:20	115:23 116:8	83:21	258:1 274:14
compensation	256:13	confusing 173:11	consistently 24:5
35:4,7 37:22	computers 58:11	257:8	33:21
38:19,21 39:7,11	<b>con</b> 311:25	congress 28:11	consolidated 1:8
41:24 42:13,24	concept 51:6	93:24	1:10,12,14
43:24 45:3,19	108:24 245:22	connected 91:10	constant 276:24
49:5 298:17,18	300:9	109:24 110:3	constellation 2:14
compete 218:1	<b>concepts</b> 279:4,23	112:2,9,13	constitutes 141:8
competent 136:11	280:1	connection 66:9	constraints 60:17
competing 194:25	<b>concern</b> 53:1 58:4	69:8 74:9,11,13	constructed 51:6
194:25	248:8	75:25 76:10,23	construed 60:23
competition 197:3	concerned 27:14	82:25 83:14 84:5	<b>consult</b> 46:7 275:3
203:8 217:21	56:20 57:2 209:21	84:17,19 85:13	consultant 102:25
219:19	concerning 217:5	86:12,17,23 88:13	113:15 117:9
competitors	<b>conclude</b> 216:7,22	88:17 111:20	139:10
219:17	244:7 294:16	212:23	consultation 24:3
compiled 242:12	concludes 313:9	connects 256:14	consulting 87:19
242:14	conclusion 185:24	consider 26:17	109:11,20 117:3,7
complaint 73:13	conditions 60:17	27:1 106:15	117:10,12,16
73:15 169:9	136:11 210:22	189:17 209:9	138:19 141:25

consumer 219:11	contract 27:3	315:14	correct 18:24
consuming 156:4	136:5,12 172:16	<b>copy</b> 12:19 13:1,3	25:22 35:11 36:3
156:5	172:24 187:4,8	13:12 14:3,23	36:21 38:13 41:2
contact 89:5 91:12	188:8,20 190:10	15:4,8 80:16	41:13 43:1 45:12
92:3,12 96:7 98:7	192:13 209:24	81:16 87:4 103:17	53:11 56:5 76:1,3
99:5 123:23	216:5,13,19 221:9	104:15 108:2	76:11 81:6 86:24
124:16 148:20	295:8	207:25 208:11	93:7 97:14 99:3,6
247:19	contracting	254:23 267:4	101:24 102:13
contacted 41:7	208:14	<b>copying</b> 54:16,17	104:6 114:11,18
89:3 91:18 151:17	contractors 96:16	303:1,2	114:22 115:1
175:21 253:14,15	contracts 103:9	<b>copyright</b> 19:2,3,8	118:9 122:8
254:12	136:22 187:16,18	20:25 21:10 23:8	123:17 139:1,19
contacting 31:8	187:23,25 191:3	23:21 59:24 60:1	140:18 147:3
67:7 98:9 246:18	contractual	60:8 63:2,10,21	168:10 170:21
contacts 188:5	190:21	64:3 91:17,22	171:4 178:11
contained 54:3	contrast 235:23	92:4 93:2,13,24	179:1 198:4 199:5
301:5,11,12 302:7	contributes 41:12	96:4 101:3 103:6	199:10 224:7
containing 4:13	contributing	103:8 109:12,21	226:14 228:3,7
contains 51:23	122:4	117:4,8,16 119:16	229:15,18,22
256:16	contributions	123:16,21,22	230:1 231:17,18
contemplate 49:9	43:5 125:9	124:18 125:7,15	232:10 237:1
235:17	contributor 295:8	126:17 133:21,22	240:9,13,14
contemporaneous	<b>control</b> 232:24	133:23,25 146:2,9	241:10 242:20
311:25	297:11	150:16 153:6	249:19 256:4,6,9
content 104:13,15	controlled 312:4	158:25 245:12	256:10,20 259:4,4
148:21 161:25	controls 251:24	247:5,16 248:12	259:9,23 260:8
162:1,2,3 218:11	252:11	276:4,10,19	261:6 266:23
221:11 234:16	convenience 224:9	copyrighting	273:12 280:1
261:23,25 262:19	convention 144:7	113:16	291:5,8 299:22
263:3 282:23	conversation	copyrights 23:21	300:11 306:18
308:25	31:10 58:9 75:7	63:20 123:10	317:8
context 56:10	75:15 78:2	corbis 50:4	corrected 48:13
157:7 160:14,15	conversations	core 58:18 149:18	301:11
174:9,23 183:2	74:23 75:1 83:7	corelogic 215:14	corrections 317:6
211:6 212:5,6	238:22 241:15	corn 263:16	correctly 16:23
244:10 245:12	242:21,23 243:2	<b>corner</b> 51:13	36:14 54:5 109:5
300:18 301:2	cooperate 57:4	105:11	115:3 117:5 122:5
continue 21:3	cooperative 57:20	<b>corp</b> 90:1	201:5 281:14
64:10 68:6 119:11	copied 82:17	corporate 41:20	302:10
138:15 151:5	copies 12:3 13:14	168:15 170:6	correspond
continued 3:1 5:1	73:14,19 74:2	corporation 87:3	271:11 272:9
204:17	79:5 81:5 139:15	89:16 158:3	corresponds
continues 287:21	207:24 229:11	corporations	273:10
	277:24 280:14	191:24	
	277:24 280:14	191:24	

<b>corrupt</b> 115:12	29:15 30:5 45:11	117:13 215:24	133:14 153:10
corrupted 115:11	61:15,19,22 62:6	261:19 294:2	curriculum 4:12
115:22	62:10,13,16,17,21	creates 128:15	14:1,4 143:9
corruption 115:5	67:10 79:12 88:24	310:14	curtailed 21:2
116:2	120:25 121:3	creating 26:4,22	customer 32:8
cosmopolitan	143:18 198:6	64:12	162:14,14 193:15
69:13	220:19 242:19	creation 26:18	220:20
<b>cost</b> 52:3,4,4 73:18	249:20 261:5,9	51:3,5 257:4,5,9	customers 172:1
142:8 252:16	286:21 306:24	257:21	214:19 218:1,10
costume 141:11	courses 18:20 23:2	creative 63:7	288:1,14 289:4
<b>couch</b> 160:17	62:5,8 119:7,9,18	124:2 263:22,24	293:22 309:1
council 143:25	120:2,9,16 145:5	286:8	cut 78:13 102:3
<b>counsel</b> 2:9 7:8,19	145:17,20 146:17	creatively 209:16	180:12 269:2
13:1 24:10 76:21	146:23 147:19	creativity 114:4	<b>cutoff</b> 277:22
78:2,5 81:5 83:8	161:8	262:12 263:4	278:13,15 279:18
84:4 86:16,16	<b>court</b> 1:2 7:11,16	264:25	cutting 148:11
315:14	8:5 9:24 10:13	creators 26:8	268:21
counsel's 87:11	12:9 14:6,17	credit 97:20	cv 1:7,10,12,14
counselor 8:18	35:18 37:15 39:23	254:20 298:21	7:12 12:4 16:15
30:12 55:15 76:17	43:18 71:5 80:12	299:2	30:18 48:20,21
92:15 120:12	82:4 96:6 98:22	criteria 133:18	67:17,24 69:18
122:15 131:24	100:21 101:19	151:19 153:13	92:9 121:20
138:20 139:5	105:7 107:16	157:24 172:19	131:13 145:25
140:13 160:14	134:18 199:2	182:17 185:11,11	146:16
<b>count</b> 26:12 59:4	210:12,25 225:11	187:6 224:15	d
90:12 95:17	237:7 244:25	282:9,23,23 284:3	<b>d</b> 1:13 4:1 8:21
countries 58:22	265:14 290:5	284:5	115:7 149:3 150:4
59:17	<b>cover</b> 19:24 20:6	criticize 312:11	d'pergo 90:14
country 45:14	94:25 162:24	cs 315:15	d800 255:23
81:8 152:11	163:19 165:14,20	csr 1:25 2:5 314:4	d810 255:23
157:15 158:9	166:10 244:3	314:23	daily 142:13
167:8 173:6 174:1	268:6,7	cuff 198:2	dailymail.co.uk
241:22	<b>cowboy</b> 154:19	cup 175:15	290:15
<b>county</b> 314:2	cpa 32:12 36:4	cups 236:11	damages 159:15
couple 33:8 41:9	40:10 46:7 48:16	<b>curious</b> 109:17	182:9 183:12
66:20 108:5 149:7	craft 147:9,12	<b>current</b> 18:16	200:5,10 201:16
178:14 190:12	crawford 149:3	36:16,20 40:6	204:20 206:14
203:7 205:15	crawford's 149:18	41:18 118:15	221:25 222:4,12
238:11 286:7	create 25:10 56:15	181:3 286:4	223:11 232:2
course 19:9,10,11	101:9 102:18	304:25 305:1	244:17 245:1
21:13,16 22:1,3,5	104:16 116:6	currently 19:12	249:4,7,24 250:10
22:8,9,15,16,18	197:4 276:20	48:1 75:25 90:7	250:10,20,21
22:20,23 23:1 24:17,20 25:2,5,5	created 100:10 102:12 105:21	99:15 106:24,25 121:5 127:25	251:5 252:14
24.17,20 23.2,3,3	102.12 103.21	121.3 121.23	257:24

[data - derived] Page 15

data 49:18,22,24	days 27:22 28:6	101:17 105:5	269:6,9,13 278:16
50:22 51:23 52:10	146:7,7 165:18	107:14 159:16	279:7,12,17 280:5
52:10 55:5,10	190:12 315:17	198:24,25 199:1	281:13,17,22
74:3 128:18,19	deal 221:18	235:16 265:9,10	282:1,1,3,6,7,10
170:24 171:5	dealing 143:2	265:10,11,12	282:12,14,17
175:3 179:4,6,19	150:15 282:19,20	288:1,7,10 289:1	283:17
182:6 184:15	deals 178:25 182:1	289:5,7,11 290:3	demonstrated
185:15,23 186:1,4	dean 153:20	defer 39:1,3,4	185:7
186:13 197:2	decades 232:5	deferred 39:11	demoted 42:16
202:25 203:1,15	238:23 241:12	define 63:13	denies 253:11,13
204:3 205:4 206:8	december 42:19	179:25 182:7,23	254:8 255:12
233:12 234:10	314:19 315:3	183:10,23,25	<b>denver</b> 243:8
236:21 237:10	decide 279:2	224:15 279:9	department 19:25
250:24 300:15	decided 56:19	283:24	146:3
301:20 303:22	57:7 58:24 59:1	defined 172:19	depend 165:3
304:4,9 305:13	161:12	174:17 185:10	189:24 299:16
<b>database</b> 115:5,12	decides 260:22	284:16	depending 229:2
115:21 116:2	deciding 240:19	<b>defines</b> 187:5,5	<b>depends</b> 29:22,22
databases 115:11	281:24	284:18	92:1 162:20
dataset 242:12,14	<b>decimal</b> 44:17,20	definitely 55:25	164:20 192:20
date 41:1 75:15	decisions 67:8	276:17 296:5	256:5 299:14
76:15 116:14	300:8	definition 161:22	308:17
122:18 254:23	declare 279:1	180:22,22 195:6	<b>deponent</b> 314:9,15
256:2,6,8,9,12,17	317:4	284:8,14,19 285:3	315:13 317:3
256:18 257:3,4,5	decrease 196:19	285:19,24,25	deposing 315:13
257:9,11,11,14,14	197:3,6,12,23	286:4	deposition 1:16
316:24 317:12	201:15,16 237:16	definitions 58:13	2:1 4:10 7:7,13
<b>dated</b> 80:23 82:20	decreased 64:14	59:5,10	8:10 9:6 12:13,17
87:17 108:3 202:6	200:5,10	degree 25:16	13:7,19 72:22
314:19	decreases 34:7	114:1 146:22,25	77:24 78:6,10,16
dates 256:23,24	dedicate 67:2	147:1,6,14,17	78:17,19 79:13
257:21	139:6	<b>delay</b> 13:19	83:4,10 84:12,17
<b>daubert</b> 134:18,23	dedicated 20:23	<b>delegate</b> 31:2 34:8	85:5,14 139:1,4
daughter 312:5	83:5,7	34:13	177:5 198:21,23
davis 244:2	deemed 317:6	deleting 103:18	226:20 290:8
dawn 178:14	<b>deep</b> 10:21	<b>delve</b> 63:15	313:21 314:6,8,13
day 27:22 56:25	defendant 1:10,14	<b>demand</b> 161:20,24	depositions 9:4
65:6 95:18 99:19	7:8 101:13 135:3	162:2 175:4 179:3	16:1 28:25 72:15
99:19 120:2	247:20,21	179:4,12,19,22	82:11 257:19
126:15 144:21,22	defendants 2:2,19	180:2,4,9,17,23	<b>depth</b> 62:7,13
194:12 238:5,5	7:24 12:6,7 14:14	180:24,25 181:14	309:19
254:20 277:7	14:15 35:16 39:21	181:20 182:4	<b>depths</b> 62:25
300:19 317:15	43:16 80:9,10	206:21 242:11	derived 138:18
	82:2 98:20 100:19	245:24 268:9	141:24

	T		T
describe 92:10	185:16 186:4	106:8,18 110:17	233:18
184:3 240:18	201:25 202:16	112:16 127:6	director 113:14
241:15 245:21	206:19 221:25	130:20 139:20	132:1
described 22:3	223:18 224:19,21	160:17 163:12,13	director's 114:5
34:21 209:8 221:5	235:2 240:7,16	164:17 165:15,16	directories 104:14
223:14 241:13	241:18 248:13	165:22 166:3	<b>directors</b> 26:11,15
249:4	252:14 261:12	174:13 182:22	52:20 56:18,19
describes 117:19	281:23 282:24	184:13,16 185:16	59:9
describing 57:9	determined 72:18	186:5 197:1,1	directorships 27:9
296:15	210:12 222:7	201:2,13,23	directory 96:17
description 4:9	251:19	202:17 203:13	disagree 226:16
5:2 245:19 274:23	determining 48:24	208:19 256:25	disappeared
274:25 296:18	53:23 160:13	258:22 262:17	254:20
descriptions	161:17,18 183:20	264:17,18 269:20	disbarred 246:19
166:15 201:13	184:8 197:11	274:8 277:25	247:3
design 17:1,2,3,9	206:4 224:2	279:23 280:1,14	disciplinary
17:10,19,24 21:15	develop 137:16	280:14 304:15	121:14
21:22 26:7 61:5	145:2 158:3	305:11 306:24	disciplined 121:19
69:20 117:22	developed 57:13	307:1	disconnect 252:6
118:2,12,21 147:5	59:5,13 286:13	differential	discounting
designed 100:14	developer 34:3,6	205:11 220:8,10	204:25
designer 141:11	developing 58:13	differentiate 93:5	discovery 83:23
designs 225:14	59:21	differently 62:17	84:3 179:17
desirable 162:1	development	differing 62:24	253:11 254:7
desires 282:5	33:17	difficult 30:19	255:11
desist 158:20,22	developments	250:25	discuss 15:12
245:13,19 246:4,8	33:13 217:12	difficulty 149:8	48:16 49:9 62:7
246:12,23,25	219:4,5	<b>digital</b> 73:16 74:2	78:18 146:10,12
247:7,15	devoted 42:11	143:23 196:13	241:17 270:1,4,8
desk 107:12	44:14 47:12	208:5,9,24 255:23	discussed 22:4
despite 136:2	dictated 182:18	256:22 310:14	83:10 123:10
287:9	227:15	dilemma 122:22	143:15 145:7,21
<b>detail</b> 15:22 77:11	difference 29:18	diligent 289:22	146:6 175:22,24
details 69:13	30:9,21 38:22	dimension 293:18	176:4 269:24
determin 250:20	54:7 165:1,2	dimensions	301:1 305:24
determination	172:15 201:9	229:12	discussing 230:23
167:22 183:7	202:1 206:19	<b>direct</b> 105:10	239:1 241:13
244:25 249:21	217:9 218:25	158:3 219:21	discussion 49:17
250:21	219:7,12,24	255:8 298:24	236:22 243:13
determinations	257:10 302:14	301:13	268:10 294:22
160:4	different 52:2,3	<b>directed</b> 92:8 97:4	discussions 83:6
determine 52:1	56:12,21 62:18,19	direction 114:7	238:18 242:1
139:6 142:19	62:22,23 65:9	directly 145:21	243:7 278:4
183:13,15 184:15	73:21 84:8 93:7,8	151:20 167:18	305:21

disgorgement	83:14 84:3,5,19	<b>draft</b> 75:2,12	206:11 221:5,16
159:16	84:24 85:3 131:1	103:2,2 137:20	236:20 237:23
display 153:5	131:3 188:25	313:17	241:19 262:18
168:1 169:2 171:3	197:11 253:21	<b>drafted</b> 104:4,5,22	269:21,22 270:12
174:2 216:25	289:17 292:5	104:23 131:1,2	277:21 298:22
229:4,9 230:8	294:18 296:14	drastically 305:1	300:14,20 301:3,4
displayed 168:23	<b>dog</b> 178:6	draw 156:7 218:1	302:3,3,5 304:14
228:14 230:13	dogs 154:14	<b>drill</b> 227:19	305:12 311:9
displaying 228:10	<b>doing</b> 11:15 55:6	<b>drive</b> 33:14	early 51:21 166:23
displays 228:23	73:19 89:22	206:21 249:23	218:4 220:4
229:6	116:16 130:3	driver's 121:6	earn 286:9
disqualified 135:8	133:14 136:2	driving 203:8	earned 43:11
dissatisfied 295:2	154:25 155:1	298:21	118:22
distant 220:1	159:2 183:3 184:1	<b>drop</b> 31:3 197:9	ease 272:15
distinguished	187:20 198:8	dropped 218:2	easier 9:6 218:10
132:15	243:5 244:21	dropping 253:21	273:8
distributes 209:6	259:12 275:10	drove 217:22,22	east 36:12 37:2
distributing 26:4	286:21 301:22	due 25:9 49:10	easy 212:11
distribution 209:6	303:24 306:2	55:15 104:12	222:16
distributors	doke 275:15	197:3,4 238:9	<b>ebb</b> 204:6
154:25 217:23	dollar 50:24 53:24	<b>duly</b> 314:10	economic 160:21
<b>district</b> 1:2,3 7:11	240:6	duplicates 209:4	161:16 180:22
69:8 124:10,14	<b>dollars</b> 97:8 99:11	e	economics 146:18
<b>divide</b> 28:6 251:6	153:2 166:11	e 2:13 4:1,8 5:1,18	146:23 160:1,2
divided 171:22	238:1 297:23	8:20,20,21 69:14	161:8 164:7
190:2 250:14	<b>domain</b> 100:2,4,5	69:14 90:25 114:4	economist 160:3
<b>division</b> 1:4 307:1	105:18,19 174:12	115:7 144:15	161:13 184:12
dmla 143:23	174:13 249:17	150:20 151:2,2,2	economists 160:6
144:16	domains 249:10	151:15,15 178:1	economy 181:7
<b>docket</b> 83:15,16	<b>door</b> 57:22 58:3	188:8 266:12	editions 149:9
document 15:11	<b>double</b> 202:20	316:3,3,3	editorial 64:13
41:23 58:10 87:17	232:11	earlier 18:12	69:6 111:16
130:12 131:9	<b>doubt</b> 24:13 54:25	21:15 22:3,4	171:14,16,23,24
189:8	187:9,10 303:14	24:18 25:7,20	191:19 192:13
documentaries	downbeat 69:14	40:24 41:14 54:1	194:14,18 195:5
153:11	download 188:20	56:2 61:3 75:13	195:11,16,23
documentation	189:22 191:5	117:25 118:23	225:7 244:3,5,5
288:21	downloaded	135:22 140:11	307:16,17,17
documented	189:25 190:1	145:13 156:18	editorially 188:15
207:19,19	downloading	161:7 166:19	196:3
documents 4:14	230:17	169:14 176:24	editors 58:20
13:16 73:9,11,14	dozen 178:23	185:13 187:3	edits 59:7 131:2
73:18,24 76:4	<b>dozens</b> 211:22	195:20 199:12,22	educate 130:14
1 70.1 70.2 7 10	·		1
78:1 79:3,7,10		200:8 201:6	

education 20:1,6	embedded 58:10	entered 37:25	errata 315:11,13
96:14	emotions 24:14	43:8 46:5 108:19	315:17
educator 63:9	emphysema	115:16,17 116:12	errington 88:11
113:14	153:25	116:13,19,21,22	error 205:13,18
educators 17:25	employed 17:14	116:24 117:18	225:8,10 311:7
18:4	19:14 31:17,20	entering 117:18	errors 85:8
<b>effect</b> 36:1 165:12	35:1 115:8	<b>enters</b> 221:9	especially 193:12
190:5	employee 27:1	entertainment	228:17
effects 62:19	31:24 32:5 37:22	1:12,13 65:25	esq 2:13,21
efficient 29:23	41:25 43:25 48:1	69:10,15	esquire 315:1
308:23	67:13 91:21 94:17	entire 13:2 126:22	essentially 50:7
<b>effort</b> 30:10 35:14	104:23 108:25	entities 70:24	128:6 311:3
59:14 60:9,16	employees 31:17	124:9 142:15	establish 179:4
100:7,16 203:19	31:20 39:1 96:16	151:22 158:1	215:17
289:22	103:12	219:16 246:14,18	established 102:5
egotistical 143:7	employment 23:4	249:1,5 251:5	<b>estate</b> 136:16,16
<b>eight</b> 22:14 153:25	27:3	288:6,9,23 289:1	136:21 150:4,19
either 42:18 85:8	<b>empty</b> 41:8	291:24	151:1,8,11,12
87:6 91:20 92:16	<b>enable</b> 183:17	entitled 87:18	152:2,4,8,20
103:14 104:3,24	encompass 56:8	121:22 188:19	154:21 155:5
106:13 108:1,18	encounter 279:13	<b>entity</b> 41:20 70:21	156:3,5,10,16,19
126:15 179:18	<b>ended</b> 111:21	92:16 102:1	157:2 212:5,6
214:25 216:6,19	112:23 118:18	109:15,16 117:11	213:11,21 224:12
243:3 253:8 285:8	313:21	117:14 127:2	estates 138:23
291:17 294:4	endorsement	142:4 168:16	142:12 149:23
elastic 179:23,24	11:16	192:19 221:8	150:1 151:16,24
179:25 180:2,22	ends 103:13	249:12 250:10,12	estimate 60:18
181:16,21 182:5	enforce 308:12	251:4,6	67:4 141:6,23
268:9	<b>engage</b> 299:18	entries 272:5	estimating 19:6
elasticity 182:2	engaged 88:4	<b>entry</b> 83:16	et 1:9 7:10 50:11
268:22,23 269:16	287:4 296:19	109:10 114:17	125:15 192:12
270:12,13	engagement 15:10	119:2 122:2 127:8	197:8 272:23
eliminated 167:1	79:12 86:25 87:4	127:19 128:10	<b>europe</b> 144:17
elle 69:14	87:11,18,21 88:13	271:21	evading 239:10
ellen 3:4 8:4 73:1	88:17,20 150:6	environment	251:1
em 158:17	240:5	146:5	evaluating 292:9
<b>email</b> 60:19 92:8	england 20:2	environmental	evasive 11:3
94:5 95:11	<b>english</b> 58:24 59:2	17:10	<b>event</b> 85:17
emails 32:8 60:13	enjoy 207:11	<b>equation</b> 179:1,20	144:11 162:7
60:14 93:1,23	enjoyment 159:8	equivalent 144:16	264:20
96:18 98:10 130:1	<b>ensure</b> 148:21	223:22 286:25	events 63:3 145:24
130:4	ensures 49:1	297:20	146:4,13 181:3,3
embarrassing	enter 118:16	errantly 54:11	286:16 300:25
100:13		302:18	305:23

eventually 39:5	309:17	99:1,21 100:19,23	existed 126:24
everybody 57:8,21	exceptions 256:10	100:24 101:1,16	127:24 214:11
77:8 196:13	256:14 308:13	101:17,22 103:24	existence 126:22
everyday 60:24	310:5	105:5,9,11,15	193:23 217:4
evidence 225:19	excerpted 15:14	107:14,20 110:16	existing 175:8
230:23 231:1,4,9	exchange 57:14	113:3 114:22	exists 73:15 128:1
231:15 244:7,12	156:25 248:11	121:21 131:14	expansive 130:6
253:1 255:18,21	285:9 286:22	197:10 198:18,19	expect 100:15
evidenced 171:8	287:6,8 297:11,18	198:20,20,22,23	140:3
ex 91:21 153:19	298:25	198:24,25 199:1	expectation 248:8
exact 59:4 135:1,2	exchanged 49:4	199:13,15,17,18	expenses 99:11
277:10	exclude 134:24	200:12,14 202:12	expensive 20:5
exactly 22:14	219:4	203:1,15 204:3	203:19
55:20 89:21	excluded 134:21	205:6,10 209:13	experience 16:18
121:10 180:3	137:4	213:17 222:10	18:2,4 24:13
269:23 278:23	exclusive 150:20	226:3,4,5,10	92:10 107:8 108:7
288:8	151:23 248:13	227:13,19,21	114:14,25 157:11
examination 4:3	exclusively 119:17	228:1 230:23	170:5 171:6
8:15 240:7	exclusivity 308:3	231:8 232:7,14	182:19 232:4
examined 314:9	308:5,7	235:14,15 265:9	237:12 243:17
example 20:25	excuse 16:13 45:7	265:10,11,11,12	286:11 287:19
26:6 51:9 54:7	77:22 82:8 98:25	265:19,22 266:2,3	309:4
57:17 58:14	118:8 129:13	266:12,15,16,22	experimentation
108:19 115:6,18	173:12 193:16	266:22 267:1,8,24	295:22
132:11,19 158:1	230:21 282:1	271:3,4,4,5,7,11	experimented
162:21,22 165:4,9	executed 286:19	271:17,24,25	294:9,15 295:24
165:19 171:8	<b>exhibit</b> 4:10,12,13	272:9,10,11,12,17	296:5,15,17
178:20 180:5	4:15,16,17,18,19	273:4 275:14,17	experimenting
183:5 189:14,21	4:21 5:3,5,6,9,10	276:4,15,22	185:9 294:17
199:23 200:2	5:12,13,13,14,14	277:14 290:1,2,3	<b>expert</b> 1:17 2:1
207:7,9 208:22	5:15,15,16,16,17	290:8,9,13	4:4,19,21 5:13,14
210:9,15,21	5:17,18,18,19,19	<b>exhibits</b> 5:3 12:1	5:15,16,17,18,19
211:25 212:1,7,11	5:20,20,21,21	13:15,17 14:7,25	5:20,21 14:5 23:9
212:14 225:4	12:4,6,7,12 13:22	80:17,19,20,24	60:24 75:16 80:22
226:11 231:22	13:25 14:8,9,14	81:3,8,10,20 82:1	81:1 83:1 89:14
243:23 244:4,6	14:15,20 15:6,7,8	82:9,11,15 87:7	91:12,13 92:11
263:11 284:5	15:25,25 16:4,7,7	137:18 199:9	96:1,9,11,19,20
302:14	16:14,16 35:16,21	250:17,18 265:2	96:21 97:1,5,13
examples 180:20	35:24 37:19 39:19	265:24 266:2	98:1,6,25 99:12
201:14 202:21	39:20,21,25 40:4	275:8 289:19,20	100:14 101:8
207:20 309:14	43:4,15,16,20,21	exist 72:20 73:25	102:9,13,20,24
exceeded 99:13	47:10 80:9,10,22	74:1,5 174:22	103:1,23 104:13
exception 136:1	80:25 82:2,7,8,21	258:20 259:24	104:13,14 109:12
137:9 257:10	87:10,16 98:20,24	280:15 283:15	109:21 113:15

[expert - fellow] Page 20

117:4,8,16 124:25	exposure 61:20	247:10 248:5	191:3 230:14
129:20 135:12,25	262:12	249:7 250:18	308:1,22
136:20 139:11	express 102:19	262:2 275:23	familiarity 189:16
157:6 159:22	207:16 210:17	295:3	family 154:2
161:14 206:7	211:21	<b>factor</b> 165:23	155:25 230:15
251:18 315:5	expressing 129:3	factors 160:12	famous 150:5
316:2,24 317:2,4	expressly 209:2,7	161:16,20 162:13	155:19 163:3,18
317:12	extend 107:8	162:17 166:21	fanciful 262:4,5
expert's 191:25	132:21 174:12	180:25 196:15,16	263:15
192:2	<b>extension</b> 140:8	240:15,18,25	fantastic 57:19
expertise 23:9	extensions 140:16	279:1 281:22	far 133:5 162:25
63:10 96:5 97:6	extensions 70:10 extent 72:20 85:16	facts 174:23,24,25	163:9,15,20
101:14 135:2	203:9 299:14	180:10 251:13	188:14 261:6,14
136:10 142:23	308:11	252:20 258:1	276:3
144:20 145:2	exterior 225:14	260:9,15	faux 134:11
149:20 160:19	extra 41:8,11	faculty 18:1 119:2	favor 197:16
161:5 232:4	81:16	119:10,11	fears 243:10
286:14	extract 72:5	failed 235:16	features 294:11
expertpages	292:12	fails 315:19	296:16 297:25
103:14 104:4,5,24	extracted 292:2	fair 9:9,17,22	federal 36:2 38:15
expertpages.com	extracted 232.2 extracurricular	13:20 16:11 34:24	44:12
5:9 101:23	20:22	50:21 65:23 71:21	fee 48:24 51:2
experts 5:5 18:2	extreme 183:5	78:12 126:7	152:24 164:18
96:15,15 98:11	239:23	135:17 160:13,16	166:17 184:8
99:3,8 102:16,17	ez 4:16,17,18	160:18 183:15,18	187:5 189:2,19
104:15,17 135:1	35:25 36:1 40:2	183:20 187:24	190:4 192:17
235:16	43:22	192:21 193:10	193:20 194:6
<b>explain</b> 21:4 48:22	f	203:25 206:15	200:6 237:1
60:25 204:7 207:7		224:6 226:18	241:18,18 244:23
207:8 236:16	f 5:20 8:20,20	239:16 251:19	248:11,23 250:8
288:8 299:9	149:3 273:2	275:8 281:23	251:10,12 252:5
explained 174:7	facebook 111:19	310:4	297:9 299:17,21
184:23 192:9	111:21,24 112:6,8	fairly 45:12,14	300:9,10
233:20 273:2	112:8,19,22 113:4	127:4 154:2	feel 16:15 67:20
explains 204:12	129:22,23	182:10 196:20	87:6 198:6 222:10
explanation	facet 289:2	205:14 239:23	255:3
180:20	facets 250:23	289:6,14,14	fees 49:4 153:13
explicit 210:22	facilitate 26:2	fairness 201:16	182:8 193:21
explicitly 166:7	56:6	fall 18:23 20:11,12	224:20,22 225:20
210:4	facing 24:11 101:7	21:9 127:20 128:3	225:22,25 226:2
explored 168:18	126:15	241:6	252:13
169:7	fact 30:22 97:12	familiar 17:20	feet 41:10
<b>expo</b> 144:5,5	109:3 126:23	101:25 157:4,6	<b>fellow</b> 60:13
1.00	160:7 178:10	172:3 186:16	132:14,17
	184:19 185:19		, - ,

[felt - found] Page 21

<b>felt</b> 206:15 231:20	275:22 293:11	flux 34:2 166:22	<b>forced</b> 71:7,11,17
fewer 229:18	finding 223:22	195:19	72:7,19 157:20
284:2	291:17	fly 20:2,3,3	forcing 29:10
<b>field</b> 18:3,5 62:7	fine 17:10 48:12	focus 20:21 23:8	foregoing 314:8
62:14,25 132:11	81:12 147:2,7	26:18 62:4 63:2,8	314:11 317:5
309:19	159:5,5 270:14	64:13 65:3,10	foreground
fields 129:2	finger 271:23	125:1,4,25 127:12	211:25 310:1
307:16	finish 141:16	145:17 154:15	forensic 66:7,9
<b>fifth</b> 272:4 273:21	217:25 262:23	234:7 250:2 255:9	forget 268:20
figure 157:19	276:14	280:11 281:6	forgetting 144:15
file 4:15 12:24	<b>finished</b> 10:15,18	304:10 305:10,11	191:9 307:7
13:2,4,13 15:8	35:22,23 95:20	309:12,12,15,16	forgive 39:4
36:3,5 74:15	232:7	focused 23:19	forgot 41:5,14
87:14,14 140:9,11	fires 30:13	29:25 63:20,22	forgotten 120:25
140:12 165:20,21	firm 7:24 15:11	64:11 72:2 101:8	form 4:16,17,18
208:1,10,13	86:23 87:2,4,24	205:1	35:25 36:1,5 40:2
229:16 256:22,25	88:5,10,22 89:1,8	<b>folder</b> 4:13 14:19	46:5,18 47:8,11
257:1,2,3,6,8,21	90:4 91:9	14:22 16:4 87:9	47:20,20,23 48:6
275:17,19 276:9	firms 26:7 119:14	87:10 128:7,8	48:8,10,14 73:16
276:16 277:4,9,11	<b>first</b> 36:7 37:19	129:10 273:18	85:12 86:6 102:18
<b>filed</b> 7:11 38:15	38:23 43:3 45:6	<b>folders</b> 13:8,10,12	141:4 160:24
44:13 45:12,14	57:7 69:18 89:5	14:7 289:23	161:1 172:12
47:5,6,24 48:14	92:6 93:5,17 99:2	folks 287:3	173:13,14,15,20
76:10 91:17,25	101:24 102:24	<b>follow</b> 133:5,6	174:4,20 186:23
93:2 140:5,10,15	103:8,21 114:17	162:25 163:9,15	186:23 212:20,25
<b>files</b> 87:7 165:16	116:10 122:2	163:20 165:8	293:15 295:9
246:16 257:21	126:1 147:20	196:21 220:25	296:10
276:20 307:8	148:1,2 155:14,15	251:15 260:17,18	<b>formal</b> 60:18
<b>filing</b> 46:18	155:21,23 177:23	261:6,14	306:2
140:15 246:18	190:13 207:8	<b>followed</b> 143:10	formally 117:13
247:18	226:22,23 227:1	223:20,20,25	<b>formed</b> 51:21
<b>filled</b> 295:9 296:9	227:25 228:1	following 210:8	53:21 56:17
filling 75:3	230:21,22 266:8	249:20 283:23	formulas 54:10
<b>film</b> 69:15	267:1 271:21	<b>follows</b> 251:22	302:18
<b>final</b> 59:8	272:2 295:6,10,11	<b>food</b> 137:23,25	<b>forth</b> 83:3 95:4
finally 59:8	296:7 314:9	178:5,6	153:12 231:6
<b>finance</b> 86:12,17	<b>fit</b> 185:6	<b>fool</b> 232:13	<b>forty</b> 38:17
86:21	<b>five</b> 50:11 106:18	<b>foot</b> 225:13	forward 29:12
financial 71:6	246:16	<b>foothill</b> 36:12,23	30:13
248:8	<b>fix</b> 275:11	37:2,12	<b>found</b> 19:18 23:1
<b>find</b> 92:18 101:15	<b>flight</b> 81:18 287:7	footnote 294:20	97:3,6,19 183:2
123:11 162:12	floor 34:15 288:13	footnotes 75:17	201:14 235:5
218:11 259:6,19	<b>flow</b> 204:6	77:7,15	290:21 291:12,25
262:9 263:3,21			

[founded - go] Page 22

<b>founded</b> 108:23	99:6 100:24	general 186:8	give 8:10 9:19
founding 56:19	105:15 110:6	209:11 215:5	11:4 18:13 29:3
132:1	113:10 115:12,19	219:9 221:1	81:16 86:16 93:1
four 23:24 64:23	118:8 189:8 198:7	227:16,16 246:13	132:11 150:22
106:18 139:14	199:7 265:20,24	generally 25:9	173:18 176:12
150:23 213:18	266:17 271:25	151:19 180:11,13	177:7 180:21
fourth 272:18	277:15 278:8	203:6 206:3 207:8	207:7,9,20 209:4
fox 68:8	fulfilling 208:8	217:8 218:24,24	213:5 218:6,20
frame 109:23	full 8:19 11:5	223:17 224:21	267:13 275:21
283:9,10	17:12 18:4 26:24	240:23 245:23	281:8,10 289:20
free 16:15 52:24	27:1,6 31:17,19	307:21	300:18
67:20 87:6 98:4	51:12 87:25 94:21	generated 15:17	given 9:3 23:4
100:9 103:15,17	94:23 146:19	15:19 160:10	54:20 81:19 85:19
164:25 165:8,23	147:25 203:23	generating 285:1	102:13 232:17
166:9,12 171:10	205:16 228:6,11	generation 229:12	236:25 239:7,23
171:11,23 172:12	228:21,21 268:12	generic 182:13,25	242:20 276:20
184:20,24 185:4,6	fully 20:24 55:19	184:9 224:13	303:7 313:10
185:20 186:2	71:18 78:4 168:14	242:10 243:15	314:12 317:9
188:16 191:17,20	295:12	generous 50:17	gives 180:19
192:1 194:15,17	fun 20:7	geographic	250:15
195:2,6,13,15,18	further 15:22	166:20	<b>giving</b> 198:4
195:19,23 217:7	41:22 52:22 117:1	geography 164:6	glad 25:11
217:11,24 218:23	119:1 174:10	getting 57:3	gladly 9:2
217:11,24 218:23	176:22 185:7	205:16 220:7	glamour 69:11
220:3,13,17 221:2	204:7 209:4 218:5	203:10 220:7	glaser 2:12 7:13
222:10 248:4,10	233:4 237:13	getty 50:4 171:9	7:21
248:17 251:2	291:21 296:21	171:19 174:13	glaserweil.com
258:2,4 299:7	313:2	191:14,19,22	2:17 315:2
freelance 48:2	<b>future</b> 53:1	192:3,5,10 193:6	glasses 110:24
freelancer 99:17		196:22 197:13	gligoriu 32:18,21
frequently 39:1	g	201:8 221:18	91:21 94:11,12
61:8 108:21	<b>g</b> 5:19 32:20,20	231:4 249:8	global 26:22 129:3
119:13 131:1	151:2	283:22 306:15,20	146:4,5
151:17 220:23	gain 180:6	306:22 307:4,9,11	glossary 59:20
fresh 308:25	gained 144:20	308:3,6,15,16,18	<b>go</b> 10:20 11:19
friction 218:13	gallery 264:21	308:24 309:11	15:15 16:3 33:8
<b>friend</b> 158:21	gamble 89:18 90:2	310:8	41:21 43:10 65:17
209:21	games 78:8	getty's 192:17	69:17 71:8 77:10
friend's 106:13	gas 280:7,7	193:2 196:20	80:7 90:11 92:21
friends 107:13	gasping 194:21	217:19	95:22 98:6,15
163:7	gather 176:1	gettyimages.com	102:15 106:22
<b>front</b> 12:11 13:9	gathered 170:4	235:20,24	109:6 114:24
13:21 16:14 67:19	gathering 170:6	gifts 43:5	133:11 135:5
81:20,23 87:16	gene 150:4 151:8		143:18 144:25
01.20,20 07.10			1.0.10 111120

[go - happened] Page 23

148:1 152:13,15	263:14 307:8,10	72:15 79:22 82:18	241:5,23 243:7
157:19 160:12	gobbles 87:2,3	82:19 132:8	300:23 305:21
166:24 173:22	90:24	137:22 181:10	gruff 153:19
176:13 177:7	goes 17:4 34:10	195:14 210:1	guarantee 19:23
185:13 188:14	88:7,23 102:20,20	245:4 247:14	guarantee 17.23 guess 24:16,24
195:12,22 196:2	109:1 128:8	280:17	61:10 132:5
196:25 198:9	130:11 193:2	<b>google</b> 97:3	167:18 180:13
190:23 198:9	256:17	government 38:15	209:9 219:21
203:10,10,11	<b>going</b> 14:6 16:17	130:13,14 158:25	246:15 286:23
214:25 218:5	23:10 29:12 39:18	governs 25:15	304:19
224:13,24 225:1	43:14,14 48:19	grab 102:15	guest 61:9 69:11
232:8 241:7 242:5	53:4 55:6 58:5,6	grabbed 236:13	119:15
253:22 257:18	59:23 63:12,13	gradation 310:18	guidelines 9:5
258:5 259:5,18	65:4,8 68:13	grading 23:2	11:10 26:19 51:4
260:2,4 261:17	73:13 74:22 76:2	312:14,17	59:22
263:2 265:3	77:8,10 80:1 88:1	graduated 63:25	guys 313:16
267:18,25 273:19	88:1 89:13 90:11	granted 49:3	
276:13 277:14	94:14 95:20 110:3	185:4 190:8	h
280:5,6,7 290:25	110:9 127:18	207:16 209:2	<b>h</b> 2:21 4:8 5:1,13
304:21 306:5	135:12 138:6	granting 57:11	151:2 198:18
312:18	142:20 146:13	grants 43:5	226:5 316:3
goal 208:16	151:5 152:16,16	grass 59:13	half 34:16 93:19
goals 27:13 39:6	153:12 155:6	great 12:5 53:14	93:20 120:2
56:23 297:13	162:18 163:2	153:17,25 155:9	178:23
gobble 1:5 7:9,22	166:24 173:9	180:6 212:1	<b>halfway</b> 290:14
74:17 75:7,11,14	177:9 182:20	214:20 219:24	hand 8:7 32:13,13
75:19 76:13,25	198:11 206:10	greater 51:1,2	34:3,14 175:16
77:2,5 88:9,14,14	208:3,4,5 209:7	243:18	208:3,4 276:3
89:7 91:2,3	220:21 224:3,16	greece 83:4	281:2
183:10,22 190:7	227:13 233:11	106:20	handbook 148:24
196:6 222:5 248:3	234:6,6 243:9	green 229:25	handed 13:8
248:6 252:10	244:25 245:5	230:1,2	232:23
253:15 254:12	253:8,23 257:14	gregariu 94:10	handing 14:19
259:12 260:6	265:2,4,5 266:6	gross 140:19	80:15,19
261:20 262:13	266:19 267:5	group 1:8 7:10	handling 32:2,7
263:12,13,23	269:13 272:17	58:19 128:21	125:10
275:21,23 276:25	273:7 275:2 281:4	130:17 134:1	hands 49:16 208:9
284:20 285:15,22	282:22 287:16	157:5 186:19	220:4 242:3
292:24 293:13,20	295:12,14 299:12	248:3,21 250:6	handwritten 74:8
294:2,22 297:24	301:22 303:23	252:2 315:4 316:1	74:10,12,16
298:1 309:8,10	304:13 306:6,8	317:1	hang 268:4
315:4 316:1 317:1	312:20 313:19	groups 56:21 57:3	happen 81:15
gobble's 183:14	good 8:17,18 10:1	59:18 63:4 142:18	happened 196:17
184:25 236:14	29:16 55:13 66:14	172:8 238:24	295:18
101.23 230.17	27.10 33.13 00.17	1,2.0 230.27	

happening 312:10	hierarchy 51:9,11	hotel 287:6	i
happens 31:4,12	51:15	hour 10:22 95:20	idaho 163:25
39:2 211:22 242:1	<b>high</b> 156:6 165:19	139:22	
happenstance	286:11,12,18	hours 24:4 27:21	164:3,8,9
311:23	310:12,24,25	27:22 28:2,6,15	idea 68:23 71:16
<b>happy</b> 9:13	higher 194:9	28:19,22,24 29:4	99:10 122:15
hard 212:1 258:7	241:8 243:1	29:6,7,8,15,19,20	140:21,23 141:1
harder 29:14	highlights 310:9	29:25 30:1,5,6,10	150:22
harrington 148:25	310:13,17,22	30:21,21 31:14,15	identical 54:19 303:6
149:17	highly 166:5	33:4,10,11,19,22	
hat 154:19	hill 221:18,19	34:16,20 35:2	<b>identification</b> 12:8
hated 295:23	hired 27:11 35:8	38:14 42:10,12	14:16 35:17 39:22 43:17 80:11 82:3
<b>head</b> 10:4,4 21:5	135:1 150:3	44:14,16,19 45:22	98:21 100:20
65:16 90:12	<b>hiring</b> 27:12	46:2,5,6,14 47:3,8	101:18 105:6
176:14 188:23	102:19 210:18	47:12,15,15,20	107:15 103:6
199:13,21 277:6	historical 224:18	48:9 65:5 119:24	107:13 123:4
311:19	240:6 243:13	120:2 203:23	265:13 290:4
<b>headed</b> 241:25	historically 286:3	house 122:17	identified 85:10
header 257:12	history 88:23	214:20	85:11 123:13,21
heading 102:24	231:2,11 248:16	hr 300:4	123:23 124:9
103:23 274:17	252:13	huge 31:4 171:19	213:25 290:19
headings 266:6	<b>hmm</b> 130:16	172:15	291:11
hear 16:23 53:9	179:24	<b>huh</b> 10:8,8 163:21	identifier 277:1
54:5 100:3 244:21	<b>hold</b> 120:13 121:1	human 26:20,20	identify 14:12,21
302:10	121:5 141:15,15	<b>hundred</b> 33:10,11	15:15 70:25 71:10
<b>heard</b> 109:20	281:3,3 301:13,13	61:15 152:5 238:1	123:16 131:11
111:23 112:4,18	<b>holder</b> 59:24 60:1	240:6	167:5,24 168:3,22
112:21 117:7	247:9 248:12	hundreds 152:5	169:10,14 170:15
132:12,16 167:13	<b>holding</b> 154:18	241:11,16 242:17	172:6 174:16,18
178:5 245:13	180:15	283:19 287:24	206:18 222:20,25
hearing 239:14	holdover 165:17	288:20	223:5 228:22
heavily 28:8	hollywood 150:5	<b>hung</b> 75:11 153:19	258:22 276:19
heavy 28:13	155:10	husband's 286:24	ignore 24:23
<b>held</b> 7:13	home 32:25 74:5	hypothetical	267:10
help 22:24 119:15	83:4 106:20	185:1,2 200:6	<b>ignored</b> 50:19
173:18	honor 132:10,15	244:8 248:19,24	54:12,13 302:20
helped 150:7	honorary 114:1	250:4 251:14,16	302:22
<b>helpful</b> 10:18 86:9	132:20	251:17,20,21,22	ignores 260:9
226:20	<b>hope</b> 2:4 7:14	252:21,23 259:25	ignoring 104:7
<b>hereto</b> 314:17	140:4	260:14,16 261:17	illustration 17:9
317:7	hopefully 138:3	263:2,11 283:8,10	illustrators 26:9
hesitant 50:1	246:19	hypothetically	89:16 90:1 130:22
hesitate 221:11	host 162:13	259:18 260:4	image 26:2,20,22
			50:10 56:7,8,13

57:9,11 58:8 62:4	201:8,12 205:15	immediately	including 84:10
112:22 113:16	205:25 211:20	253:18 287:16	134:9 143:15
123:15,20,22,25	214:14,16 215:24	impactful 286:19	211:23 253:5
136:10 153:1,7,8	216:3,13,21 217:9	imperial 69:12	262:11,11,12
154:3 156:2 183:3	217:24 218:25	implied 51:14	298:16
184:10 189:23	217.24 218.23	166:6 207:3,21	income 47:9
	220:20 221:20	1	138:18 140:18
200:17 201:1,1,8 201:11 202:1		208:18,20,21	
	222:23,23 224:4	209:13 210:6,13	141:4,8,24
206:2 208:1	225:6 228:14,25	211:5,10	inconsistent 185:3
210:19 215:4	231:4,7,12,16,18	implies 280:22	incorrect 54:6
216:9 223:24	233:6,15,17,18	imply 72:1 187:21	85:19 108:20
224:10,13,15,25	234:9,11 235:1	importance	115:22 116:23
227:25 228:1,6	236:18,23 237:9	128:17	123:24 302:12
229:13 230:21,22	237:16 239:24	important 56:10	incorrectly 116:20
230:24 231:2,3,5	242:3,8 243:19	87:13 161:17	increase 180:16
231:6 232:5 242:3	244:20 246:1	impossible 252:8	180:17 197:4
242:5,10 243:15	248:17 249:8,15	impression 269:6	203:6 217:22
243:15,20 244:16	252:12 254:21	inaccuracy 48:7	242:10
247:24 250:22	258:12 259:6,19	48:15	increased 199:25
251:9,12 255:24	260:6,12,13,21	inaccurate 109:25	200:3 203:3,16
256:8,20 263:1,3	261:18,25 262:3	inadmissible	204:4 205:5
271:13 272:13	262:10,19 263:12	137:5	increasing 238:20
276:25 277:23,24	263:13 277:2,2	inadvertently	increasingly 60:12
277:25 278:1,14	278:6,17,17,20,21	54:2 301:5 302:7	60:12
278:16,18,19	279:7,12,14	inbound 32:8	index 14:24 82:1
279:1,2,8,18	280:14 281:19	96:18 98:10	82:12,15 265:23
280:12,15,21,25	282:5,9,9,17,20	104:17	266:2 273:12,14
281:9,24,25 282:1	282:22,24,25	incapable 252:23	276:21 289:19,20
282:2,3,15,16	283:6,9,11,14,15	inch 228:7,10	indexed 272:11,13
283:2,4 284:12	283:22 284:1,1,3	229:8,18,21	indicate 189:15
308:4,7,7 309:19	284:4 286:7,19,22	inches 207:25	240:25
309:20 310:8	287:8 288:8	inclination 170:7	indicated 205:10
311:2,6,8,25	290:21 291:4,12	include 26:6	281:12
images 50:4 51:24	291:25 292:8	195:16 256:2	indicates 170:25
71 07 C1 04 C0 1		274:9	205:4 234:10
51:25 61:24 62:1	293:3,5,6,12,21	\ \ \Lambda 14.9	203.7 237.10
51:25 61:24 62:1 64:12 123:4,10	293:3,5,6,12,21 294:1 295:7,11,11	included 14:25	indicating 135:7
64:12 123:4,10	294:1 295:7,11,11	included 14:25	indicating 135:7
64:12 123:4,10 152:24 154:25	294:1 295:7,11,11 295:20 297:9,15	included 14:25 50:14 54:11 81:11	indicating 135:7 218:17 indirect 123:12
64:12 123:4,10 152:24 154:25 159:12 171:9,11	294:1 295:7,11,11 295:20 297:9,15 297:18 298:8,25 299:15 300:22	included 14:25 50:14 54:11 81:11 145:8 160:9	indicating 135:7 218:17
64:12 123:4,10 152:24 154:25 159:12 171:9,11 171:12,13 172:25 173:7,7 174:2	294:1 295:7,11,11 295:20 297:9,15 297:18 298:8,25 299:15 300:22 306:15 307:4,5,11	included 14:25 50:14 54:11 81:11 145:8 160:9 173:10 175:19 228:3 235:4	indicating 135:7 218:17 indirect 123:12 indirectly 227:23 individual 172:8
64:12 123:4,10 152:24 154:25 159:12 171:9,11 171:12,13 172:25 173:7,7 174:2 175:20 180:9	294:1 295:7,11,11 295:20 297:9,15 297:18 298:8,25 299:15 300:22 306:15 307:4,5,11 308:16,17,24,25	included 14:25 50:14 54:11 81:11 145:8 160:9 173:10 175:19 228:3 235:4 237:11 254:24	indicating 135:7 218:17 indirect 123:12 indirectly 227:23 individual 172:8 242:21,22
64:12 123:4,10 152:24 154:25 159:12 171:9,11 171:12,13 172:25 173:7,7 174:2 175:20 180:9 188:18,19 189:23	294:1 295:7,11,11 295:20 297:9,15 297:18 298:8,25 299:15 300:22 306:15 307:4,5,11 308:16,17,24,25 309:4,11,11	included 14:25 50:14 54:11 81:11 145:8 160:9 173:10 175:19 228:3 235:4 237:11 254:24 288:14 299:20	indicating 135:7 218:17 indirect 123:12 indirectly 227:23 individual 172:8 242:21,22 individuals
64:12 123:4,10 152:24 154:25 159:12 171:9,11 171:12,13 172:25 173:7,7 174:2 175:20 180:9	294:1 295:7,11,11 295:20 297:9,15 297:18 298:8,25 299:15 300:22 306:15 307:4,5,11 308:16,17,24,25	included 14:25 50:14 54:11 81:11 145:8 160:9 173:10 175:19 228:3 235:4 237:11 254:24	indicating 135:7 218:17 indirect 123:12 indirectly 227:23 individual 172:8 242:21,22

[industries - ip] Page 26

industries 26:3	193:24 198:5	institute 114:3	intorpunt 99.1
66:18	235:4 239:7	119:2,6,20 120:10	interrupt 88:1 121:2
industry 26:15,16	242:13,15 247:22	147:8	
33:14 54:24 59:18		instructions 11:11	interruption 254:5
	254:18,21 255:6 272:12 301:6,11		
65:24,25 66:6,17	·	instructors 23:12	interruptions 310:18
103:9 113:22,25	302:8,13,24	intended 159:23	
133:20 134:5	303:11 304:23	intent 52:19	interviews 75:20
136:21 170:23	305:15,18	intention 48:13,17	introduce 193:23
171:7,20 183:6 191:17 203:5	informed 217:1	159:19 <b>intentional</b> 50:16	inventory 192:24 invitation 131:22
207:13 209:8	infringements 254:25	310:10	invitation 131:22 invitations 132:21
211:4,10 217:22	infringer 245:17 246:22	intentionally 52:1	invite 134:11
221:1,17 225:1		311:1	invited 132:2,3,5,6
228:9 241:24	infringing 207:15 245:18 253:17	intently 124:4	134:1 243:8
285:5,11 296:20		interaction 142:18	invoices 13:13
303:13 305:1,23	inherent 51:6	interest 23:20	15:9 139:16,20,21
industry's 246:10	initial 56:18	26:10 101:13	invoicing 19:6
inelastic 179:23	initially 232:18	102:19 180:6,17	involve 156:10
180:2 181:16,21	initiated 97:16	interested 185:8	184:11
269:6,10,22	initiative 31:6	283:3	involved 19:16
inform 60:16	58:25	interesting 96:4	20:18,18 26:4
253:17	initiatives 19:17	153:15 214:8	27:10 30:14,17
<b>informal</b> 130:16	30:14,17 34:10	239:14 255:7	49:14,15 64:6
informant 69:11	65:3	interests 157:10	66:25 89:9,24
information 6:5	inordinate 64:8	interface 118:15	90:21 91:14,18
26:23 39:16 48:8	inquiries 98:10	interfacing 32:12	92:13,17 93:6
50:12 51:8,24	inquiry 91:15	34:8	95:9 121:12,17
53:2 54:3,6,15,23	inserted 62:8	interim 218:18	127:6 129:7
60:10 72:4 75:4	instagram 112:9	interior 69:11	139:10 160:22
85:21,23 86:15	159:12,13	interiors 69:12	162:15 193:25
93:1,11,22 97:20	instance 62:6,24	intermediate	211:24 212:16
98:7 99:6 100:8	132:9 133:1	300:5	214:13 218:13
102:12,16 105:1	186:16 188:1	internal 275:1	240:24 245:23,24
108:21 109:9	241:1 243:22	internationally	249:5 253:7,7
111:24 112:19	267:1 282:7	194:18	258:3
114:10 115:10,15	288:21 310:21	internet 105:19	involvement 52:21
115:16,20,22,24	311:18	110:3 209:14	109:1 156:1
116:6,19,22,23,24	instances 137:2	interpret 11:14	involves 162:11
122:19 123:24	206:13 246:3	215:7	184:4 223:21
124:7 128:15,17	273:14 287:24	interrogatories	304:24
129:3 157:8	288:5 289:9	73:3,16 168:17	involving 154:13
169:24,25 170:2,4	<b>instant</b> 174:23	interrogatory	iowa 164:1
173:18 175:19	179:7 217:15	79:5 83:19	<b>ip</b> 116:6
176:2 179:16			
		1	

[ipc - know] Page 27

ipc 113:21	315:5 316:2,24	220:13 271:23	86:20 91:11 92:3
iptc 128:10,14	317:2,4,12	272:17 308:24	92:9,15 95:17
129:1 186:19	jeopardize 212:2	keeping 34:9	96:9,18 97:9,10
188:4	jet 20:7	keeps 31:14 42:21	97:23,24 98:13
iptc's 128:20	jfk 155:12	kept 74:13	99:16 100:6,6,11
irrelevant 158:12	job 32:24 68:8	key 310:12,24,25	101:4 102:14,22
167:22 183:2	71:1 155:14 207:2		101.4 102.14,22
186:12,14 189:18	261:4,5	<b>kill</b> 253:2,3,12,14 254:9 255:12,20	104.19 103.2,2
190:11 191:12	jobs 68:9 70:24	kind 28:12 41:20	107.21 108.12,17
irs 46:18,21 47:5	71:3,4,7,9,9 72:17	66:20 89:2 96:16	110:1 112:7,8,23
47:24 48:14	john 148:25	112:11 116:14	118:22 119:25
issue 10:21 110:24	149:17 154:11,18	134:11 137:8	120:1,15 124:3,15
149:19 151:4	johnnie 76:25	154:11 137.8	120:1,13 124:3,13
168:17 219:10	77:5 88:14	186:20 196:21	124:19,23 123:12
254:16	johnson 177:23	206:22 209:12	131:23 132:2
issued 80:22 84:6	join 132:4,5,5,23	210:14 256:22	131.23 132.2
84:20 90:18	133:4,11,22,23	263:14 264:21	139:21 140:13
135:19 139:18,21	134:4	266:21 276:11	141:9,14 142:9,10
142:11 147:4	<b>jointly</b> 87:1,24	283:2 285:9,10,10	143:4,6 146:16
176:23 248:7	88:5	301:20 312:13	150:15 152:5,13
issues 24:11,14	joke 57:1	kindly 10:16	154:8 156:12
33:14,15 83:23	journal 153:2	kinds 18:20 55:10	166:24 167:16
126:14 146:12	jpeg 257:12,13	96:12 184:16	168:16,20 169:7
150:15,17 238:25	judge 134:20	185:16 186:5	169:11,23 170:20
issuing 82:25	135:3,19,24 136:9	304:3,8 305:13	174:24 175:14
83:12 185:12	136:12,23 137:3	312:9	179:11 180:14
istock 307:1	260:22 261:11	king 244:2	181:14,20,24
items 83:20	judge's 137:8	knew 154:2 156:1	182:3 183:4
iv 38:7 42:8 44:7	judges 134:20	knock 116:10	186:20 188:14
i	judiciary 122:17	know 9:3,13 11:3	192:15,17 194:20
J	jump 31:5 33:15	15:12 17:15 21:7	194:24 195:3,9,25
j 8:20 235:15	june 81:1 82:21	24:13,21 26:12	204:17,18 205:18
276:15	83:1,13 235:20,24	28:8,10,12 29:4	206:12,23 207:1
james 153:20	justified 236:7	30:23 34:14 35:21	209:19,22 211:3,9
january 21:25	justify 94:20	40:1 42:19,21	212:11 218:2,3,6
42:19 118:3,21	k	44:17 45:13 46:7	218:14,17 220:5,5
257:4		46:14 48:5 49:25	225:6,10,11
jarvis 300:6	k 8:21 178:1,1	52:13 56:20 59:4	227:17 228:21
jazz 69:13	227:19,20,21 <b>katrell</b> 177:23	59:11 63:1 64:19	239:3,24 242:2,4
jazziz 69:13 jeff 88:3 101:3	katris 177:23	66:19,24 67:6,6	244:24 246:14,20
113:13 142:2,4	178:1	67:21 68:5,8,25	249:3,14,24
jeffrey 1:17 2:1	keep 24:4 29:15	70:19 73:17 76:25	250:16,16 251:6
4:4,11,20,22 5:4,4	35:2 48:19 87:12	77:1,4,8 80:16	253:14 256:10
7:8 8:20 313:10	151:4 152:16	81:17 83:22 86:1	257:4,5,10 258:2
7.0 0.20 313:10	131.4 132.10		

[know - license] Page 28

258.14.14.261.0	largest 229.12	<b>left</b> 32:24 78:23	160:19 162:16,16
258:14,14 261:9	largest 228:12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
264:10,12 268:12	larry 177:23	102:6 105:11	162:19,23 163:6
276:17 277:2,10	178:19,19	115:6 134:25	163:11,12 164:18
277:11 278:15	las 33:2	135:7 274:13	164:20,22,24,25
282:19 289:6	lastly 10:13 81:25	legal 29:17 46:17	165:6,8,23 166:1
290:16,22 293:5	late 220:2,2,3	46:20 60:3,21,22	166:2,9 167:23,25
293:24,25 296:3	law 7:24 19:2	60:23,25 93:24	169:2,21 170:17
298:20 299:8	86:23 87:23 88:5	136:7 149:3 150:9	171:4,15,15
308:11,24 312:5	88:10 91:9 119:2	150:15,17 315:23	172:10 174:10,10
knowledge 23:11	119:6,14,14,20	legs 79:21,24	174:13 175:1
52:15 53:23 94:4	120:10 134:10	138:4 177:19	182:8,17 183:16
136:10 182:19	lawsuit 193:23	<b>leigh</b> 151:2,12	183:21 185:5,12
271:2 287:19	lawsuits 211:23	155:6,8 156:10,16	190:4,8 193:7
293:19	lawyer 53:14	157:2	194:12 195:5,12
knowledgeable	150:13,14 246:15	length 250:17	195:15,22 196:3
257:20	247:2,2 261:2	lenses 62:19,24	200:6 207:6,12,17
<b>known</b> 18:1 154:3	lawyers 44:24	leonard 225:12	207:18,22 208:8
178:4	91:18 95:7,8	lesser 250:15	208:17 209:8
<b>komo</b> 167:16	132:12,15,18	<b>letter</b> 86:25 87:5	210:23 211:21
254:15	layperson's 62:3	87:11,18,21	216:8,24 217:2,3
l	245:19	158:20,22 245:13	217:4,5,10,11
I 5:17 8:21 32:20	<b>lazo</b> 3:5 7:18	245:17,20 246:4,8	219:1,2 221:4,14
69:14,14 114:3	lead 1:8 89:19	246:23 247:7,15	221:14 222:7
115:7 150:4 151:2	247:22	letters 15:10	223:2,7,19,22
178:1,1 266:15,22	leader 54:17 303:3	246:12,25	224:1,16,18,20,22
<b>15</b> 271:9,12,21	leadership 31:11	level 161:19,20	225:20,22,25
272:4,9,10	113:22	162:14 219:8	226:2 229:10,15
la 127:8,15	leading 102:25	233:9 234:8,11	229:17 231:18
label 221:7	113:15 175:21	244:18 286:13	235:2 237:1,23
lack 189:15 217:2	233:17 234:15	297:17 298:6,12	241:18,18 244:10
217:2	learn 54:23 143:5	298:15	244:23 247:24
lacked 263:25	146:8 303:12	levels 306:25	248:3,11,21,23,25
	learned 157:8	lexisnexis 93:10	249:9,13,14,17
language 50:1	286:15	93:12 94:7	250:6,8,22 251:2
language 59:1 102:10 173:10	learning 286:18	<b>libowitz</b> 2:20 7:25	251:10,12 252:3,5
	leave 20:17 36:22	libraries 26:8	252:12 254:17
laptop 110:2,6	57:21 58:3 135:16	library 93:24	258:2,4 266:13,25
114:13 118:8	160:4 177:5 269:8	178:22 188:18	271:8,12,13,18
large 167:7 169:16	leaves 184:21	license 48:24 49:3	272:12 273:21
171:1 173:5,25	185:21	49:5,17 57:11	274:4,7,10,11,12
193:13 289:7	lecture 243:5	59:25 121:6,7,8,9	274:18,23,25,25
larger 192:21	lectures 145:6,18	138:22 142:8	283:4 285:9
228:12,18,18	145:20	151:19 153:13,14	295:13,14 296:12
308:18		155:15,23 156:15	296:13 297:14

[license - little] Page 29

298:1 299:7,11,13	52:23 55:1,11	lifetime 147:9	291:10,19 306:23
299:17,21 300:9	60:24 63:11,21	285:3	307:5,19
300:10	66:13 103:6,9	<b>light</b> 2:22 85:21	<b>listed</b> 38:9 42:2,14
licensed 123:13	113:16 119:17	227:2 228:2	73:11 85:18 88:4
152:22 153:1,4,8	122:10 123:1	310:12 311:19	88:9,10 89:15
156:13,15 169:20	124:18 126:17	<b>lighting</b> 23:1,3,7	92:1,7 96:25
170:16 171:3	131:25 136:10	23:10,25 61:2	97:13 98:1 99:9
190:14 194:14,19	138:22 143:6,11	263:4 286:20	114:9 133:8
225:6 228:15	143:16,23 144:3,3	lightroom 257:18	134:12 145:25
237:24,25 244:20	144:19,22,23,24	257:19	227:7 292:22
248:22 250:7	146:5,5 150:7,20	liked 195:8	<b>listen</b> 123:7
252:3	151:24 154:3	limine 134:24	144:14
licensee 59:25	156:2 157:24	limitations 10:1	listening 46:1
157:23 193:5	158:4 159:8 161:6	limited 99:10	124:3
219:11 299:22	161:14,19 162:20	156:7 159:23	<b>listing</b> 97:9 213:20
licensee's 299:15	163:13 165:9	162:4 172:18	308:7
licensees 49:13	166:22,25 170:22	188:13 207:6	<b>listings</b> 97:11 98:5
152:9,17,18	171:20 172:4	221:10,10 309:19	102:16 103:17
licenses 49:10,20	183:3 184:14,16	line 22:25 37:19	104:16
50:23 51:25 52:3	184:17 185:1,2,16	41:23 43:4,10,23	<b>lists</b> 57:8
52:11 53:25 56:4	185:18 186:5,6,20	43:24 44:4 45:7,7	litigation 66:10
57:10 121:5,11	188:10 191:17	156:7 268:25	86:11,17,21 92:4
142:12,13,14,14	192:10,12 193:13	316:4,7,10,13,16	138:18,19 139:1,4
142:23 145:7,22	194:13 196:12	316:19	141:7,24,25 157:8
149:16,23 150:2	215:4 219:13	lines 99:22 244:11	210:8 211:19
150:10,16,21,24	220:11 221:2	310:18	212:23 213:21
151:3,21 152:3,7	224:11 227:6	linkedin 5:12	217:5
154:20 157:12	230:25 231:1,6,11	107:18,22 108:2	little 9:1,11 18:19
158:6 160:20	232:5 236:4 238:5	108:20 109:7,17	33:9 37:18 41:22
169:11,12 171:17	241:20,25 242:2	109:24 110:8,11	53:5 56:1 57:1
172:9 173:7 174:2	243:13 252:13	111:21,23,25	62:17 63:13,16
183:8 187:6 192:7	284:13 285:2	112:8,19,20,23	72:2 77:16 83:21
197:20 215:5	287:7 294:9,16,17	113:1 115:9,10	89:22 106:8 108:6
217:7,7 218:14,23	295:2,4,5 297:9	116:5,7,25 118:16	112:16 113:5
218:23 220:23	298:23,24 303:16	119:1	117:1 120:19,20
224:3 226:12	304:5,9 305:14,16	linkedin's 115:21	129:16 142:25
239:2 248:7	licensor 165:4,15	<b>list</b> 13:17 15:3,17	167:10 177:4,19
273:13 274:8	299:10,17,21,24	57:13 68:2,7	182:21,22 190:16
286:22 298:5,8,19	300:9	69:16,19 73:12	196:8 198:1
298:24 299:1	licensors 49:13,13	81:4 82:8 84:23	203:13 208:19
300:16	50:5	84:25 93:13 128:9	212:4 217:17
licensing 19:3	<b>lie</b> 304:18,20	141:3 157:17,18	221:24 288:4
49:1 50:3,6,9 51:1	<b>life</b> 69:10 142:7	213:4,16,17	301:2
51:4,9,11,15	154:16 256:19	273:12 290:14	
		ral Calutions	

[live - managed] Page 30

live 32:21 60:24	199:9 201:1,18	lose 267:22 285:8	m
lived 32:23,25	202:8,11,21,24,24	loss 310:21	m 5 16 222 12 14
lives 33:2	213:4 219:5,22	lost 184:12 218:19	m 5:16 232:13,14
living 284:25	221:18 226:3,7	lot 20:15 24:13	232:15 265:22
285:1,6 286:10	232:14,14,19	29:5 30:15 33:17	machine 26:20,21
llc 1:5 7:22 88:9	252:14 257:8	34:12,12 44:24	291:18
316:1 317:1	259:14 265:18	63:12 73:5 77:8	macro 161:10
lloyd 255:19	266:6,16 270:5	77:11 84:8 127:6	macroeconomics
llp 88:11	271:3,23 273:4,9	153:23 155:24	146:20
local 127:2	274:24 275:14,16	166:8 168:9,13	madre 40:9,19,23
locally 32:23	275:24 276:3,12	188:25 190:15	magazine 69:7,7
located 7:14	276:14 281:25	196:7 211:19	114:8 125:5,23,24
lodge 183:5	289:16 292:18	218:5,15 239:7	126:3,10,10 127:7
log 57:14	311:25	loud 89:13 113:11	127:9,16 129:11
logo 108:20 115:6	looked 48:3 52:10	love 283:21 284:6	130:10 154:16
115:6,7,25	54:13 107:23	loved 160:2	magazines 129:15
london 111:15	160:7 169:9 187:7	low 165:21 166:10	magcloud 290:17
long 18:10 26:21	187:15,22,25	242:4 282:15	maintain 197:4
40:18 48:4 81:18	188:5 202:25	lower 105:11	218:9 228:16
118:1 119:23	206:2 240:16	193:7,19 220:20	maintained 129:5
120:1,11 133:15	302:21	lunch 138:8,13	maintaining 137:7 maintains 128:14
134:5 144:24	<b>looking</b> 35:22,23	150:3 164:12	
151:6 161:11,12	54:14 67:22,23	183:18 222:17	128:15
164:6 248:16	97:5,5 99:21	lykoi 175:4,8	maintenance 156:6
277:6 300:19	110:15 113:1	176:5,7 178:9,13	
<b>longer</b> 20:21	115:25 170:13	178:25 179:5,20	major 31:6
165:17 278:1	184:12 196:24	179:22 180:6,7,8	<b>majority</b> 17:25 241:4
280:12,16 281:9	200:12 202:5	180:15,18 233:6	making 31:9,10
look 15:6 18:14	213:16 227:25	233:14 234:9,11	32:12 87:13
35:21 37:18 38:6	230:21,22 266:3	234:18 235:1,18	134:16 171:24
39:25 40:4 41:22	271:7,17 273:6	235:25 236:5,18	204:1 216:3
41:23 43:3,4,20	276:15 279:2	236:23 237:21	223:23 289:22
43:23 44:6,7 45:2	283:8 288:17	238:12 239:19,24	man 154:1
45:6,18,22 46:2	291:18 292:20	242:7 258:17,18	managed 52:25
47:10,23 59:16	300:3 302:23	258:22 259:7,20	165:6,25 166:2,13
67:17,19 77:7,18	304:22 309:1	260:6 261:18	166:14,17 167:25
86:25 91:22 98:24	looks 14:3 40:2	262:3,4,15 263:6	169:2,21 170:17
100:23 101:16	67:23 81:3 101:2	264:8,13,21	171:4,7,13,15,17
103:4 105:9	103:17 198:10	268:15 270:18,19	171:18,23,25
107:20 114:14	227:1	271:1 284:7	171:10,23,23
121:20 131:14	loosely 185:11	lykois 178:23	174:3 182:17
176:16 184:14,15	los 2:4,15 7:1,15	180:17 242:9	184:21 185:10,11
185:15,24 186:1,4	69:6,7 106:2	262:3,7	185:21 186:24
186:10,13 198:1,2	115:2 125:10		187:6 188:12,17
			107.0 100.12,17

191:20,22 192:6	marilyn 153:21	mastery 147:12	mean 10:4 11:2
192:13 193:4,19	155:11 243:22	match 110:15,25	17:23 22:22 23:9
217:7,10 218:23	marine 153:19	111:1 277:1	25:7 27:2 46:14
219:1,8,22 220:16	mark 11:19,20	matches 110:20	50:6,25 56:16
220:22 221:4,14	13:11 14:7 43:15	111:2 113:2	57:15,16,18 58:5
224:1,2 231:23	80:7 81:25 98:15	114:22	58:23 61:7 62:2
236:4 244:10	100:18 267:13	matching 96:19	66:13 72:12 86:20
258:12 259:6	289:24 290:1	material 73:5	93:23 96:11,11,13
307:2,5,22 308:8	marked 12:8,12	176:21	96:14,15,17 98:3
management 26:2	13:22 14:16,20	materials 73:11	103:11 104:13
56:7 192:6	35:17,20 39:19,19	81:3 83:14 84:5	121:1 123:2 128:1
managing 58:20	39:22 43:17 67:19	84:19,22,25 92:18	128:5 130:4
162:16	80:11 82:3,6 87:5	290:11 291:11	131:24 139:20
manila 13:8,10,12	98:21 100:20	294:18	148:13 149:20
manner 296:12,13	101:18 105:6	math 28:7 29:1	150:9,10 152:15
manual 257:16	107:15 121:21	190:2 222:16	154:12 157:13
manually 256:17	198:18,20,22	matrices 50:3 51:4	161:23,24 162:8
march 36:25	199:1 222:9	54:2 55:1 301:4	166:12 167:13
40:25	265:13 290:4,7	302:6 303:16	168:3 169:4
marder 2:21 4:5	market 54:17	304:25	170:18 174:21
7:23,23 8:16	160:13,17,18	matrix 50:6	178:17 180:12
11:19,22,25 12:3	161:21,22,24,25	matter 7:9 15:9	186:18 189:8
12:10 14:12,18	182:7,15,23	17:8 19:5 22:4	204:18 210:15
16:2 35:19 39:24	183:11,15,20,23	24:8 48:16 62:15	212:11,12 215:10
43:19 79:21,24	184:1 194:1	63:5 73:2 76:18	215:10 221:15
80:7,14,18 81:7	199:14 203:24	87:18 88:13 89:14	225:25 230:12
81:13,15 82:5	206:13 251:19	91:1 137:12	242:22 257:17
98:15,18,23	282:6 297:1,6	157:22,23 161:21	258:7 270:19
100:18,22 101:20	300:10 303:2	161:22 167:23	271:14 272:25
105:8 107:17	marketplace 59:6	169:13 174:23,24	274:2,21 279:6
138:12 160:25	133:19 182:11	174:25 179:7,14	284:17 286:10
161:3 173:12,21	184:4 203:2,15	183:8 186:9	287:12 291:14
174:5 175:2	204:6 223:23	191:13 217:15	298:25 299:11,14
177:17 198:9,17	232:20 233:15,21	219:15 231:22	300:5 304:12,19
199:4 212:21	235:19 252:15	236:8 249:21	309:13
213:1 245:4,11	281:1 284:13	258:1 281:20	meaning 47:18
253:20 254:4	287:11 300:21,21	288:20 309:17	215:25 285:25
265:17 267:17,23	<b>marlon</b> 153:20	matters 90:8	309:21
290:6 293:16	<b>maryland</b> 1:3 2:23	153:12	meanings 58:15
306:14 312:18	76:1,3	mayfield 300:7	means 17:15 18:6
313:1,5,8,18	masquerade 70:15	mayweather	27:3 128:18
margins 197:5	massive 171:10	300:7	131:23 233:5
218:9	master's 114:1	mcgraw 221:18,19	meant 9:21 10:9
	147:7,13		164:10 166:14
	Varitant Lac		

[meant - money] Page 32

203:25	memory 13:16	128:20 129:2	<b>minutes</b> 95:3,3
media 7:7 67:11	70:17 149:24	188:6 255:25	102:15 119:24
67:15 68:2,3,3,4,9	152:22 189:17	256:16,21 292:3,5	177:3,8 186:11
69:2 70:1,4,5 71:1	198:8 235:13	292:6,12,16,17,18	241:22
71:13,23 72:10	295:7	307:15	miscellaneous
113:23 127:19	menial 30:1	method 206:19	63:3 64:19 83:20
129:24 133:10	mention 20:7	224:19,21	missing 109:4
143:23 144:12	55:16 69:22	methodology	mission 26:1 56:3
150:11,25 151:18	219:10 246:15	182:10,21 183:24	misunder 186:8
152:9,23 157:12	255:15	184:3,4,13 197:11	misunderstand
158:5 159:9,11	mentioned 16:22	201:4 205:14	53:18
164:23 165:22	21:15 24:18 25:20	221:24 223:10,21	misunderstanding
166:19 167:5	29:1 54:1 56:2	223:25 225:12,13	58:12,17
170:13 172:6	61:3,4 66:22	225:15 232:1	misunderstandin
173:4,24 174:16	69:18 70:21 77:3	238:6 284:9	53:3 56:11
184:17 185:17	77:25 81:9 84:8	michelle 73:1	mix 16:6 273:18
186:6,21 187:8,16	84:14,17 85:4	micro 161:10	mls 210:5 211:8
188:1,13 191:1	91:21 94:9 102:14	184:20 185:21	211:14,15,20
194:17,19 195:17	129:13,14 134:11	306:25	214:13,15,16,24
195:21 201:13	134:15 135:11	microeconomics	<b>model</b> 52:23,24,25
204:19,20 215:21	143:20 144:19	146:21	52:25 162:20
216:4,9,19,21,25	149:22 156:18	mid 32:17 51:22	164:20 165:17
221:10 222:21	157:18 160:23	144:21 152:5	170:22 171:7,17
223:1,6 228:22	161:7 166:18	220:2	171:19 172:4
229:5 244:8	169:8 177:21,22	midatlantic	173:1 174:3 185:9
248:19 250:4	178:8 187:3	315:15	186:21,25 188:10
251:11,23 274:5	195:20 196:10	<b>middle</b> 31:25 32:1	191:14,16,18,23
313:11	205:17 212:24	49:11 268:10	192:13 193:4
meet 24:6 64:24	236:11,20 237:23	middleman 49:11	249:20 258:6,8
74:21 130:19,25	241:11 242:18	156:21	295:5,14 300:13
133:2 282:9,25	247:2 250:9	miles 244:2	models 51:1
283:2,17 284:3,4	277:21 301:10	<b>million</b> 171:11,12	164:22 166:22,25
meeting 78:25	302:2,5 306:15	171:13 222:18,18	184:16 185:16
79:14,16	mentored 155:24	222:22,22 223:2,2	186:5 220:18
meetings 134:2	mentoring 21:1	223:7,7 248:2,20	moderator 146:11
megabytes 228:6	24:3	249:2 250:5,13	<b>modern</b> 255:23
228:24 229:1,1,2	menu 51:10,11	251:3 252:2	modernization
229:7	menus 51:4,5,5,15	mind 30:16 37:13	134:1
member 132:4,10	51:16	86:2 121:18 189:4	moment 13:11
133:14	message 24:21,23	267:12 283:9,10	48:18 90:6 100:9
members 130:2	met 88:14,18	mine 115:25	106:1 167:2 174:7
memorized 189:5	155:13 203:6	minute 137:19	240:22 275:22
222:8 307:13	metadata 31:4	281:4	money 52:11 66:4
	58:10 128:10,18		66:12 172:18

[money - new] Page 33

173:1 285:7,8	138:23 142:12	276:9,16 277:4,9	needed 75:4 126:7
287:6 297:14,19	150:25 142:12	277:11	254:17,18 258:21
298:1	158:8 168:21	narrative 60:14	needs 57:21 64:25
monitor 92:3	213:20 229:11	260:12 263:15	245:22 282:25
197:1	249:1,13 250:23	narrow 103:20	283:2,12
monitored 91:17	251:24 258:21,21	104:3 137:9 145:4	nefarious 105:4
monitoring 97:15	264:20 303:16	239:15 255:9	negative 53:12
102:8	multiplier 222:14	national 113:19	negotiate 142:13
monitors 228:17	224:8 236:7,25	125:11,12 251:23	150:2 151:21
228:17	237:8,11,15,19	nature 49:10	193:6,19 194:5,6
monroe 153:21	238:3,4,15 239:17	53:20 130:15	224:14
155:11 243:22	240:13,14,17,20	207:13 238:10	negotiated 150:24
month 51:10	241:17 262:1	259:11 260:10,20	152:4,8,19 154:21
152:25 153:4	multipliers 224:5	264:10 311:12	154:22 156:10
188:20 189:19,21	museums 26:7	navarro 89:17	158:6 192:19
191:6	music 68:12,16	90:1	negotiating 59:24
monthly 97:24	69:7 300:5	<b>nbc</b> 68:18 155:18	149:23 150:10
124:15 126:13,18	n	nda 65:21	151:3 153:10,13
126:22,23 173:2	n 4:1 8:20 90:25	near 40:5	157:11 192:22
189:12	90:25 150:4,20	necessarily 49:6	negotiation 60:2
months 64:23 66:5	151:2,15 188:8,8	299:11 309:21	negotiations 19:3
139:22 238:11	278:6	necessary 71:18	19:4,6 124:18
295:10,17,19	nails 282:19	216:24 277:25	neighborhood
296:7,8	name 8:19,22	317:6	28:23
<b>morning</b> 8:17,18	32:15,20 36:8	need 10:22 16:18	neither 115:7
95:19	38:9 42:8 44:8	18:13 20:23 27:13	291:23
morphed 285:5	61:6 94:10 99:5	30:23 41:2 67:19	net 206:23
<b>motion</b> 83:22	99:23 100:5	77:7,17 87:8 89:2	<b>network</b> 1:13 68:5
134:24 135:4	101:23 119:9	92:11 107:11	68:7 69:22 70:1
153:6	130:18 131:7,8	108:6 129:16	70:13
<b>move</b> 9:7 88:2	143:19 145:5,13	159:6 165:19	networks 68:19
98:25 101:21	145:20,24 146:14	177:3 191:15	neutral 59:9
113:11 180:10,19	149:2 158:5	197:4 198:6	never 25:3 35:7
215:20 221:23	167:19 173:4,24	199:10 207:18	49:22,24 50:22
<b>moved</b> 36:24	215:16 223:12	208:15 212:4	51:25 52:10 58:25
40:21,22 100:11	229:5 246:16	249:17,24 253:19	91:8 109:20
102:5 125:11	253:16 276:25	267:19 268:7	115:25 121:19
<b>moving</b> 32:5 69:6	named 145:14	274:13 275:3	135:8 187:25
124:10	255:5	276:11,14 278:9	nevertheless
mtv 68:19	names 98:7,13	278:11,16,20,21	238:7
multifaceted	109:5 145:24	281:2,20 282:21	new 20:3 64:12
288:11	146:13 148:8	283:16 299:15	83:15 84:24 92:18
multiple 55:2	176:8,11 177:6,20	301:2 304:20	93:25 105:25
97:11 107:6	177:22 275:17,19	313:16	106:3,5,5,7,10,12

[new - office] Page 34

106:13 107:1,4,6	normally 81:17	272:12,13 273:14	obtaining 162:15
107:7,9,10,12	207:17 274:3,15	280:24,25 282:17	218:13
149:9 163:17	norms 209:9	289:7 290:19	obviously 92:4
164:19 166:24	north 40:9,23	303:4,5 313:10	130:8 224:24
193:14 215:25	nos 276:5	numbers 45:18	occasion 23:15
233:21 308:25,25	notary 317:13,19	58:8 67:24 205:19	occasional 165:7
newly 93:2	notations 267:3,10	251:6	occasionally 41:11
news 1:12,13	note 54:14 268:1,2	numerous 98:1,3	95:21
67:11,15 68:2,3,4	268:5,14 302:23		occasions 119:8
68:6,9,19,21 69:2	315:10	0	131:7
69:5,8 70:1,4,5,14	<b>noted</b> 175:8 317:7	o 32:20 114:3,4	occupational
70:15,16,22 71:1	notes 74:8,10,12	149:3	121:6,8
71:10,13,23 72:10	74:16,25 75:2,7	oath 46:24 192:5	occur 181:3
94:1 124:11,14	75:10,12 79:16	<b>object</b> 173:9	239:22
127:9,15 142:13	306:6	objection 160:24	occurred 61:14
167:14 170:23	notice 4:10 11:20	173:13,14,20	105:3 137:10
171:7,14,20 172:1	12:16,22,23 13:3	174:4,20 212:20	152:14 174:25
172:15 192:11	15:3 158:23 228:5	212:25 293:15	217:15 252:16
215:21,25 216:4	253:2,3,12,14	obligation 60:21	occurrences 279:8
216:18,21,24	254:9 255:3,13,20	232:22	odd 168:7
222:21 223:1,6	notified 190:13	obligations 46:17	offending 245:21
228:22 248:19	november 1:18	46:20 60:1,3,4,6	offer 25:16 32:24
250:4	2:3 7:2,6 18:22	60:18,25 67:9	60:12 98:4 147:18
newsletter 130:11	217:19 219:6	210:20 233:2	147:24 171:18
152:23	257:6 307:23,24	observation 203:4	191:14,22,24
newsweek 69:8	number 7:12 9:3	218:17 220:9	194:2 217:24
nickname 130:19	19:17 28:4,5,6	237:12	220:20 225:3
nikon 114:6	30:10 33:4 37:6	observations	252:12 258:12
255:23	37:14,25 40:7	204:6,25	298:24
nine 101:5 197:22	42:2 43:8 46:9,13	observe 54:23	offered 94:24
nod 10:3	47:20 54:18,19	253:6 303:11	165:15 171:11,13
nonmonetary	58:6 61:13 70:14	obtain 61:23	197:13 288:16
297:21	106:2 133:2	116:5 162:12	296:25 297:8
nonprofit 19:17	140:19 167:7	179:13 188:11	offering 57:11
25:25 39:3 46:18	169:16 171:1,1	207:25 216:20,24	60:10,11 194:10
64:10 128:25	172:25 173:5,25	228:25 229:10	289:13 297:6,18
nonprofits 36:2	178:24 189:1,4,24	252:2 257:23	offers 96:14 192:6
127:6	191:6 199:17	260:5 261:18	office 34:15 37:3,5
nonresponsive	200:14,20,24,25	284:14	40:11,12,13 41:9
123:23	201:12,13 234:13	<b>obtained</b> 62:23	41:9,11,18 64:24
noon 64:25	249:5 250:15,17	115:21 182:12	74:6,7 105:24
normal 224:5	251:7,10 252:19	216:8 226:1,2	106:12,15,17,19
231:23	264:19 266:13	227:1 228:1	106:21,23 107:12
	267:8 271:13,14	289:10 293:6,12	134:1 146:2,2
		294:1	

[officer - owns] Page 35

off on 214.6	200.11 201.10	214.2 0 22 220.0	170.25 172.1 7 16
officer 314:6	288:11 291:10	214:2,9,23 220:9	170:25 172:1,7,16
offices 36:25	301:15	240:10 296:17	173:4,24 174:16
40:15 106:13 official 52:13	okey 275:15	opinions 57:14	187:8 188:1 216:5
	old 64:5,5 155:14	85:13,17,25 86:7	216:19,22,25
59:12	155:16,16 165:18	134:17,21 136:1	222:22 223:1,6
<b>oftentimes</b> 91:24	166:25	137:16 176:3	228:23 229:5
92:6,9 109:8	once 22:13 34:3,4	191:13 212:15,17	248:20 250:5
oh 55:10 67:18	74:20 148:17	212:22 215:2,9	251:23 252:1
91:11 119:25	256:16	233:5,14 234:15	284:17,17
151:25 154:8	ones 70:22 71:10	236:22	organizations
175:11 176:10	130:24 134:10,15	opportunity	31:9 34:9 67:15
186:8 208:1 267:9	152:21 157:20 166:25 227:12	101:12 268:13	121:17 131:21
271:15 277:19		opposed 52:20	132:21,25 133:7,9
279:22 304:3,8	260:8 261:19	opposing 136:6	134:4,7 142:13
305:12	262:1,13,15 263:8	opposite 56:23	152:10 167:6
okay 10:5,11 11:9 12:2 16:21 35:4	267:5	197:22 312:7	169:23 170:10
	ongoing 204:19	opposition 130:13	171:14 174:14
35:12 37:10 40:18	online 57:13	option 230:16	180:7 186:21
44:22 46:12 55:22	109:18 158:14	options 227:6	187:5,16,23 191:2
63:17 67:15,23	228:10 230:8	228:2 230:25	191:5 230:5,6
70:20 74:8 76:20	234:25 235:2	orchestrations	organize 265:4
77:13 79:23 87:15	open 153:11,11	236:14	organized 128:6
87:15 91:4,6 93:9	257:2	order 87:12,13	originally 86:22 300:3
94:14,16 96:23	opened 257:6	135:20 147:22 169:2 189:22	
110:23,25 112:17	opening 87:9		orphan 122:22
127:13,14 133:7	operate 158:9	199:19,20 201:5	123:15,20 124:6
135:18 138:2	167:8 170:15	203:23 207:11 209:1 225:2	osram 89:17
140:14 141:18,20	operated 169:15 295:5	252:13 273:7	outliers 239:4
145:19 155:4 163:15 172:6			outlines 15:1 outside 21:2 55:8
177:11 180:20	<b>operates</b> 157:15 171:2 173:6 174:1	276:11,20 280:23 282:24 295:9	
189:6 190:23	251:25	307:5	55:12,24 126:20 211:6 221:17,17
194:3 205:9 207:7	operating 19:16		301:25 304:1,6
209:18 210:24	operation 221:8	organization 25:21 26:1,14	overall 141:8
211:17 212:9	_	32:11 36:8 67:11	196:20 282:6
215:18 217:21	operational 218:8 operations 69:5	68:10,20 70:7	overhead 218:8
222:2,3 236:15	208:13	71:1,14,24 72:11	owned 116:8
250:9 261:11,16		109:15 121:15	152:10
,	opine 135:2 136:5		
263:5 266:7,20	<b>opined</b> 136:22 182:9	126:12,19,21 127:3 128:14	owner 60:8 123:21 123:22 124:8
269:4 270:6,25			owns 41:18 123:16
271:16 273:8,20	opinion 60:23,25	131:7 132:1,4	
275:13 277:16,20	136:8 159:19,21	133:4 148:2	157:14 171:1
278:10 279:3	159:24 167:21	157:13 168:24	173:4,25 251:24
280:9 281:7	185:22 211:3,9	169:15,21 170:16	

oxymoron 194:16	254:25	119:13 123:18,19	pasadena 32:25
221:13,16	<b>paid</b> 18:16 27:4	129:4 135:22	37:2
<b>ozzie</b> 114:4	38:23,24 39:7	136:12,14,14,22	pass 102:17,21
p	42:14,20,24 44:3	145:16 196:1	<b>passed</b> 123:24
<b>p</b> 144:15 287:17	45:3 49:19 50:23	215:23 233:1	150:5 153:23
<b>p.a.</b> 2:20	52:11 97:12,16,20	262:4 264:11	155:25
<b>p.m.</b> 138:7,9,9,11	97:25 98:4 103:16	287:12 292:9,15	<b>paste</b> 103:17
177:12,13,14,16	103:16 140:3,4	300:12 305:6	patent 146:2
198:12,13,14,16	167:25 172:21	<b>partial</b> 68:1 69:16	<b>path</b> 249:20
245:6,7,8,10	189:21 222:22	69:19 157:18	pattern 184:19
253:24,25 254:1,3	223:1,7 297:23,24	partially 35:14	185:19
265:6,7,8,16	297:25 298:3,16	participate 20:24	<b>paula</b> 1:24 2:5
306:9,10,11,13	pam 32:18 91:21	23:16 128:21,22	7:16 141:16 314:4
312:21,22,23,25	91:23 92:7,16	129:4 130:16	314:22
313:13,21	94:9,14,17 95:7	participated	pause 11:2
pacer 84:2 85:3	95:10 97:4,18	126:16	pay 30:15 39:6
92:20,21,24 94:5	99:10 102:6	participates 96:19	73:18 99:8 123:14
packaging 165:5	103:15 104:25	participating	134:12 141:2,9
178:6,7	108:1 137:13,14	58:22 136:21	142:2 248:2,20
page 1:1 4:3,9 5:2	panel 133:11	participation	250:5 252:1
36:8 37:19,19	146:14	185:8	299:12,24 300:11
38:5,23,24 42:7	panelist 146:11	particular 29:25	paychecks 95:6
43:3 44:6 45:6	panels 144:4	59:25 67:3 70:24	paying 98:14
51:12,12 52:8	paper 75:8,10	126:19 156:5,8	102:21 250:13
67:21,24 68:2	128:11,13 130:11	165:3 171:16	297:14 298:10
82:13 99:2,6	130:12	183:11 184:1	payment 87:25
100:24 101:24	<b>papers</b> 16:3,6	201:11 227:14	172:18 248:22
102:18 105:15	128:22	229:13 281:20	250:7 252:4
108:21 109:9,18	paradise 291:23	282:7,12 283:2	295:10
110:5,8,11,15,16	paragraph 103:8	299:10 306:22	payments 42:18
111:18 112:7	104:1 287:16	particularly 28:13	97:21
113:1,1 114:13	290:25 294:12	<b>parties</b> 49:2 56:12	<b>pays</b> 99:17
115:9 116:25	paramount 68:24	96:6 168:18	<b>pdn</b> 114:6
119:1 213:17	69:1	203:25 210:18	peel 267:16
226:23 235:15	paraphrasing	214:15 288:19	peer 148:3,13,13
266:4 267:6 271:7	136:17	292:24	149:15
272:2 275:16	parent 245:2	partnership 127:2	peers 144:9
276:15 287:15	249:23	parts 11:14,16	pen 267:19,20,22
290:13,14 294:7	parimatch 291:23	305:24	pending 75:25
294:13 296:21	part 8:22 21:5	party 1:10,14	76:3
316:4,7,10,13,16	24:15 27:4,12	87:23 88:4,9 92:8	pens 267:22
316:19	31:20,23 32:4,16	136:6 187:13	people 18:1 26:12
pages 12:22 73:18	38:7 39:1 42:8	245:16,17 253:8	32:5,9 50:17 53:2
81:9 122:14 160:8	44:7 56:2 117:19	255:5 282:4	55:1 57:13 58:7

58:18,19,20,21	periodic 126:14	phone 74:23,24	photographer 7:9
59:14 69:9 91:11	periodically 23:17	106:1,4 130:20	60:16 65:2 67:14
102:19 123:14	307:25	193:6,18 196:14	67:16 113:13
132:3,22 137:15	periods 39:4	phonetic 291:23	116:18 150:5
149:21 153:20,21	permission 104:16	<b>photo</b> 50:5 69:8	155:9,10,17,21
160:2 162:15	209:1 246:1	76:24 113:23	161:14 163:15
164:14 180:6	248:18	124:10,14 127:19	164:10,13 210:2
188:3 209:10	permissions 60:17	128:10,20 144:5,5	210:23 211:7,8,13
214:15 236:11,13	<b>permit</b> 19:19	154:22,24 155:2	211:21 213:11
243:19 244:2	220:18	156:15,22 193:11	247:4,15 248:21
248:17 259:12	permits 91:20	193:18 203:2,16	250:6 252:3
287:11 303:15	191:5	205:5 213:21	284:21,25 285:4
311:21	permitting 216:24	215:22 216:6,7,20	285:14,16,20,23
perceive 30:8	person 32:15 64:4	216:23 217:20	286:6 287:10,13
<b>percent</b> 47:1,6	94:21,23 113:24	224:4 227:4 259:5	306:20 312:3,6
54:18 107:8	151:23 163:6	259:19 260:5	315:4 316:1 317:1
171:22 196:19,24	243:20,24 283:3,7	261:18 262:10	photographer's
197:9,12,23 200:7	283:8	263:2 266:9	148:24 164:15
200:9,11 201:24	personal 94:17	290:22 291:4	247:5
205:11 286:9	159:4,8 163:7	292:8 293:2,12,22	photographers
303:4	220:9 286:2 287:9	293:25 305:19	26:8 57:23,25
percentage 54:7	300:15 312:3	306:17 307:1	60:13 61:17,20,23
138:17 141:7,24	personally 55:8,16	photograph 48:24	62:18,22 63:4
236:17 302:13	94:25 95:8,14	107:25 111:15	64:3 77:6 107:7,9
percentages 50:13	230:12 253:6	154:11 162:18,23	120:18,21 125:8
54:10,18 301:12	301:25 304:1	163:6,14,19 165:5	126:15 127:5
302:17 303:3	305:6,6	166:3 167:24	130:21 133:10
305:3	persons 49:12	168:22 169:3,20	142:17,18 143:16
perfect 283:11	288:6,9,23	170:16 171:3	144:7,12 147:11
<b>perform</b> 128:24	perspective 60:15	174:11,12 181:23	147:12 153:18
207:1 208:12	96:4 246:11	184:5,7,9 190:5	155:19 175:23
285:8	perspectives	195:10,13,15	176:4,6,9 177:1
period 16:16	144:14	201:22 208:25	178:3 199:13
31:24 54:4 55:4	pertain 291:1	209:14 211:7	215:7 233:16
78:11 84:13	pertaining 282:23	216:25 223:3	234:24 237:17,19
127:18 129:12	pervasively 59:16	228:10 238:2	238:9,19,23,25
185:10 189:7	pet 175:13	243:24 246:9	239:3 241:6,10,11
199:23,24 204:14	phased 66:20	248:15 252:15	241:16,23 242:17
217:14 232:20	<b>phil</b> 150:19 151:11	255:22 258:17	242:23 243:4,6,7
239:22 241:5	152:2,9,20 153:16	263:7 264:13	285:6 286:10,17
252:18 296:7,24	153:17 154:15,21	309:23,24 311:20	287:4 300:23
301:16 302:9	156:20 224:12	photographed	305:21 307:6
303:20 314:16	237:24 309:4,9,10	64:16 154:12	308:12 311:13,18
		178:21	

photographic	310:11	pick 50:10 193:5	plaintiff's 15:25
89:16 90:1 149:16	photography 1:5	193:17 224:13	84:4 86:16,16
223:18	7:22 17:9 19:25	picking 224:4	91:25
photographs 26:5	49:19 50:23 55:2	picture 111:3,4,4	<b>planned</b> 312:2,3
52:2,3,12 64:24	56:4 57:4,5 58:2	111:5,7,11,14	<b>plant</b> 225:14
65:14,14,18	61:4,17 64:18,19	112:6,10,25 113:4	<b>plaque</b> 120:20
107:24 128:16	66:3,11,21 71:13	133:17 143:24	players 195:1
154:6,7,17 156:9	71:23 72:10 88:9	155:2 164:15	196:21
156:11,14 160:9	89:7 91:23 106:3	184:14 242:6,7	playing 78:8
162:9 168:7 169:9	106:7,10,25 107:2	243:23,25 309:13	pleadings 73:2
172:8,9 175:5,8,9	107:3,5,6 109:3	pictured 175:13	please 7:19 8:2,6,8
175:22,25 176:5,7	113:17,22,23	199:13,21	8:13 9:13 10:10
178:10,13,25	120:18 122:3,10	pictures 63:20	11:20 14:21 15:6
179:5,9,10,13,23	123:1 134:8	68:24 69:1 152:23	15:16 16:15 35:21
180:18 181:15,17	138:22,23 142:2,4	153:6 155:18	38:5 43:20,23
181:18,20 182:3,5	142:8 145:7,22	156:13 175:16	48:22 67:20 70:12
182:12,14,24,25	146:12 147:1,8,13	178:22 179:7	70:18,25 80:8
183:9,14,17,21	154:13 155:14	209:22,25 210:3	87:6 98:16,24
184:18 185:18	157:12,25 158:6	226:23 231:20,21	100:18,23 101:16
186:7,22 188:11	160:18,20 161:6	239:19 292:25	105:9 107:20
191:6 192:7 193:3	161:14,18 162:11	293:1 310:8	113:10 121:20,21
194:14 195:22	163:24 172:17	piece 75:8,10	126:9 131:14
196:3 201:18	192:25 194:13	pieces 59:18	199:11,17 207:9
206:5 207:23	196:11,18 197:20	124:13 127:15	222:10 226:3
223:8 224:22	203:24 206:4,6,7	142:25	235:12 255:9
228:23 229:6	210:17 221:4	pile 226:8	265:18 271:3,5
234:19 235:18,22	222:5 223:19	pixels 228:6,10	273:4 275:14
236:1,5 237:21,24	238:25 246:10	229:7,18,21	277:15 287:15
238:10,13,13	264:24 276:25	<b>place</b> 34:1,19 55:3	288:13 289:16,21
244:1 248:3,15,21	285:3,6 294:10	59:19 82:12	290:1,10
248:25 250:6	300:16 303:17	106:20,20 112:10	pleasing 310:15
252:2,4 257:24	306:21 311:11	137:3 155:1	plentiful 280:23
258:18,19,22	photographyexp	165:13 192:10	plugged 256:13
259:7,8,11,20	5:7	196:11 223:22	<b>plus</b> 19:14 23:5
260:10 262:6	photojournalists	258:5 279:16	25:21,24,25 26:24
263:5,24 264:8,9	216:2	303:18	27:6,19,19 28:3
264:10,15,17,20	<b>photos</b> 153:22	placed 15:19	28:14,16,22 30:11
264:24 266:12	175:16	<b>places</b> 112:11	31:13,15,18,21
269:10,12,14	phrase 57:18	placing 107:25	32:2,16,22 33:5
271:2 276:21	132:7 145:18	plaintiff 1:6,10	33:19 35:1,6,8
287:5,25 288:6,15	phrases 57:9	2:11 7:22 76:11	36:16,22 37:11
289:10,13 296:25	physically 73:25	90:24 101:13	39:11 40:3,18
297:6 298:2,5	74:1,21	159:15	42:21 43:12 44:13
299:3,5,6 307:18			45:8,11,23 47:5

[plus - price] Page 39

47:16,19 48:22,23	portfolio 158:14	247:12,14 295:2	115:1 118:4 119:3
49:8,18 50:22	158:16	298:23 303:13	146:10 191:4
51:20,22,25 52:9	<b>portion</b> 77:12	305:1	206:23
52:14,18 53:8,11	134:21 135:20	practices 50:18	presentations
53:20,22 54:13,21	137:4 182:21	103:9 122:3,10	144:9,13 146:8
55:9,12,17,24	285:1 309:18	143:17 144:4	presented 74:15
56:3,14 59:20	portrait 120:18	242:1 243:14	82:14 169:24
94:18,19,19,21,22	<b>position</b> 18:16,17	246:12 300:24	170:1 199:19,20
95:1 108:9,15,23	32:6 42:11 44:15	305:22	308:16
108:24,25 113:18	47:13 55:24	preamble 88:2	presenter 146:6
114:17,25 115:18	117:25 130:14	preceding 213:18	presenters 144:12
116:13 128:25	131:19 133:19	precise 42:4 60:14	presenting 51:7
129:25 131:19	185:1,1 221:17	132:8 218:20	preservation
134:3 138:21	positions 23:4	237:10 278:15	49:14
144:5,6 254:24	positive 230:20	precisely 83:24	preserving 26:5
301:21,25 302:21	possible 47:25	89:21	presidency 126:25
303:8 304:1,6	48:2 73:7 137:10	predictable 33:13	president 38:11
305:4	221:3 229:14,17	predominant	44:11 46:17 54:22
<b>point</b> 11:10 27:17	257:25	170:22 171:6	108:15 109:2,11
40:21,25 44:18,20	possibly 74:20	preface 252:7	113:18,19 115:1
52:17 53:7,10,19	211:18 219:18	preliminary 4:19	117:3 125:13
53:22 63:18 132:8	277:8	5:3 14:4,24 72:24	126:12 129:25
154:9 169:19	post 102:16	75:16 80:22 81:16	142:16 243:3
170:24 183:18	112:10 159:9,11	82:9 85:22 159:21	303:9
197:22 203:1,14	159:12 164:12	175:6,7 176:19	president's 126:14
204:3 205:4 218:4	<b>posted</b> 112:6,22	198:19,23 202:4,6	press 30:13 69:12
220:8 232:12	293:7	202:8,10	preston 176:10
236:9 261:11	<b>poster</b> 207:23	premier 69:9	177:2,22
295:19 310:13	208:16 209:1	preparation 83:9	presume 39:10
pointed 48:14	posts 129:22,23	84:12,18 85:5	pretty 101:6
points 206:9	potatoes 183:4	prepare 72:21	125:18 195:23,25
polasek 88:10	potential 59:25	77:23	213:12
<b>pole</b> 311:19	217:4	prepared 39:15	prevailing 191:16
politicians 155:12	potentially 219:17	75:22,24 76:9,14	prevent 165:5
<b>pool</b> 215:24	<b>pounds</b> 297:23	204:22	<b>previous</b> 22:24,25
<b>poor</b> 175:13 236:2	power 192:22	preparing 289:18	29:6,6,7 41:2
poorly 100:14	ppa 286:16	prepress 208:12	42:17,19 62:9,10
310:19	ppi 228:14,24	prepublication	76:18 77:2 145:23
<b>pop</b> 93:25	practical 205:25	148:14	previously 84:16
poplar 310:3	practice 19:3,4	prescription	91:7 148:1 149:22
popular 166:7	52:13 54:24 82:10	191:16	254:6 298:17
220:19	207:13 211:10	presence 41:5	price 160:22
populate 111:24	238:5 241:25	present 3:3 78:25	161:18 165:1,3,13
112:20	246:7,24 247:11	108:16 109:22	166:8 193:7

[price - providers]

Page 40

196:25 197:18	principles 160:21	294:19	projects 159:4
199:24 201:2,5,7	<b>print</b> 73:17 109:9	produces 229:2	promote 52:23
201:19 202:1	128:8 208:2,3,4	<b>product</b> 162:10,11	56:3 66:22
204:16,16 205:11	208:16 209:3	production 69:19	promoting 65:1
206:2,19 218:15	230:7,7	73:4,4 79:6 83:20	96:1
218:16 228:2	printed 14:23	85:4 86:2 191:8	promotion 65:19
230:24 231:5	108:4 109:5	294:4	99:12 221:20
242:10 243:11,12	printer 208:12,14	products 219:20	promotional
269:11,12	208:25 209:4	profession 32:10	287:2 297:18,19
<b>priced</b> 244:19	<b>printout</b> 5:5,6,9	285:12	proofread 137:15
prices 52:21,21	5:10 67:25 99:1	professional 60:3	137:16
165:16 182:12,13	101:2,22 107:21	60:7,20 107:9	proper 61:20
182:14 196:12,18	109:4 113:2	114:2 120:13,21	216:8
197:9,17 202:16	<b>prints</b> 159:6	121:14,16 122:3	properties 41:21
202:17 203:2,16	<b>prior</b> 12:19 13:7	131:15 133:8	property 211:7
204:4 205:5 218:2	14:23 83:10 84:11	134:5 246:21	proportion 64:11
218:5 220:20,21	88:12,16,20 91:2	284:20,25 285:4	<b>propose</b> 225:22,25
231:10,17,19,23	91:7 93:20 129:7	285:13,16,20,23	proposed 148:19
231:23 239:1	129:19 139:6	286:6,16 287:4,10	225:21 299:16
243:18 300:21	175:6,7 314:9	287:12 312:6	proposing 244:11
<b>pricing</b> 19:3 53:25	private 231:12	professionals	proprietor 158:2
54:3,6 55:11 58:4	probably 35:13	133:17 286:9,20	proprietorship
123:1,5,9 143:15	60:4 61:14 64:22	professions	142:5
143:15,16 144:19	102:7 118:23	121:12 285:12	<b>props</b> 263:22
146:6,12 161:17	134:10 137:22	professor 4:19,21	protecting 23:21
162:5 164:24	153:24 167:16	5:4 8:21,22 16:23	provide 24:2
165:22 194:2	174:8 216:17	16:25 17:6,12,17	30:19 72:4,16
196:20,22,23	problem 10:24	17:21 18:7,10	73:7 79:2 81:18
197:13 202:22	11:8 115:4 160:25	117:21 118:3,12	141:23 149:10
203:4,8 204:8,10	173:14 190:14	professorjeffsed	151:19 218:9,12
206:4,5 238:25	247:24	5:11	298:8
241:13 244:22	problematic 98:11	professorjeffsed	provided 12:19
259:1 279:15	problems 32:9	105:16	84:4 86:15 93:21
300:15 301:1,6,11	procedural 83:17	profile 5:12	104:4,5 130:12
302:8,12 304:4,9	proceed 295:13	107:18,21 108:2	202:22 237:6
304:22 305:4,13	process 13:19	109:7 111:5,7,19	240:4 244:22
305:16,18,22,23	26:21 93:15	111:25 112:10,25	255:6 257:10
primarily 19:7	257:16,17	113:4 185:6	295:10,11 314:16
26:18 91:23 107:7	processed 310:19	profiles 112:20	provider 105:20
primary 19:5	procter 89:17 90:2	<b>profits</b> 159:16	172:17
64:13 130:24	<b>produced</b> 85:24	160:5,11 184:13	<b>providers</b> 175:22
161:20 309:17	169:4 179:17	programs 25:16	233:17 234:15
principle 207:8	288:19 292:24	project 34:3 66:24	236:22
	293:13,19 294:5	66:25 67:3 203:21	

providing 233:2	purposefully	228:16 236:2,4	173:19,23 174:15
provisions 210:17	244:24	243:20 258:19	174:15 180:1
<b>public</b> 61:10 101:7	purposes 12:12	259:8,20 261:19	181:19 182:1,1,22
153:4 194:2,11	75:3 159:5,9	262:11,12,20,21	185:13,15,22,23
214:16 317:19	189:14,20 208:8	262:22 263:3	185:25 186:3,15
publication	210:21	286:8,12,12,19	187:19 190:19,20
126:18 127:23,24	pursue 32:24	306:24	192:4 195:7,8,14
130:5,9 131:11	161:13	quantities 193:13	202:15 203:12
148:4,6 223:15	pursuing 268:25	235:5	204:2,24 205:1,3
231:25 284:15	pursuits 287:5	quantity 264:17	205:8 208:19
288:5	<b>put</b> 16:3 19:22	282:8 283:17	218:21 219:9,22
publications	27:12,15 30:13,24	quarter 51:12	224:23 225:17
93:25 129:6,9,16	30:24 34:17 50:8	quarterly 97:24	234:2,3 238:14
129:18 149:14	59:5,6,7 77:11	126:24	239:8,9,10,11,15
206:18 287:25	98:8 100:7,16	<b>question</b> 9:14,14	240:8,21 250:2,3
289:9	103:15 104:25	9:20 10:16,18	250:25 252:7,8
published 105:19	111:19 115:20	11:1 27:25 28:1	254:6 255:8,8,10
127:1,3 148:18	120:20 131:10,19	33:19 34:18,23	259:25 260:15,19
153:9 160:9 288:9	135:17 139:9,12	41:14 46:1,4 47:4	261:5,8,10,16
publisher 113:14	139:22 144:11	49:7 52:6 53:6,7	262:18,23 263:10
148:20,25 221:19	158:16 159:6	53:12 55:18 59:23	271:16 274:11
publishers 26:7	165:20,21 188:14	62:9,10 63:24	278:3,13,24
57:22,25 142:14	189:8,17 193:20	64:20 66:14 67:5	280:17,20 284:22
publishing 138:21	203:21,23 209:5	71:2,18,19 73:21	285:18 287:14
192:12	211:13,15 214:18	73:23 74:22 75:6	288:12 289:2
pufnstuf 300:4	232:8 266:18	77:19 78:3 83:25	291:1,22 294:21
<b>pull</b> 71:8 80:16	273:7,17,17 275:8	84:21 86:5,10	302:3 303:19,25
81:14 110:22	275:11 307:15	88:8 89:20 93:5,7	304:12,15,17
235:11 289:24	puts 211:8	93:8 95:13 103:19	306:3 307:14
<b>pulled</b> 158:15	putting 30:10	103:21 104:3,10	questionable
pulls 111:24	111:18 210:5	104:11 106:7,9,22	153:5
112:19	211:20 304:23	106:23,23,24	questioning 22:25
pulse 69:9	<b>pyburn</b> 1:24 2:5	110:1 112:15,16	269:1
pumpkins 263:16	7:16 314:4,22	112:18 123:2,7	<b>questions</b> 6:1 9:12
purchase 249:14	q	124:17 126:1,2	9:13 11:12,13
purchased 169:1	qualification	132:8 135:19,23	15:23 16:17 29:10
183:16 293:3,5	133:3	139:1,2,3 141:19	33:3 53:15 87:8
purchaser 193:1,5	qualified 135:25	143:1 144:25	108:5 120:6 124:4
purchases 172:7	qualitative 217:8	145:1,4,5,8,10,12	124:23,24 127:12
173:7,7 174:2	218:25	145:13,16,19	193:22 257:18
purchasing 283:3	quality 162:10	152:15 154:23	261:4,12 266:4
purpose 195:11	175:13 179:14	156:17,19 158:13	301:14 313:2,6,7
210:24 238:6 258:15 311:4	217:24 219:8,12	167:19 168:5,6 169:13,13 173:10	<b>quick</b> 267:7
230.13 311.4	219:24 220:7	107.13,13 1/3:10	

<b>quickly</b> 9:7 13:18	ranges 242:20	313:4 315:9 317:5	308:13
213:4 284:23	ranging 240:21	reader 124:22,24	reasonably 215:7
quiet 95:18 152:16	rare 176:7 178:15	reading 302:3	244:15 247:23
quisenberry 78:15	178:17,18 184:7	ready 138:15	264:7 299:23
86:23 88:11,18	233:20,23,24	real 18:2 134:14	300:1
89:8 90:22,23	235:7,9 243:16	134:15 136:16,16	rebuttal 176:20
91:8,9,15 232:18	278:6 279:19,19	136:21 211:7	recalculate 250:23
292:4,4,12	280:7,12,16,21,23	212:5,6 213:11,21	recalculation
quisenberry's	281:6,9	216:3 219:9 267:7	250:20
87:2 88:22	rarity 184:10	312:2	recall 22:22 25:6,7
quite 29:24 30:18	251:8 252:17	really 27:24 53:6	25:19 40:22 47:22
83:2 85:23 98:6	279:4,7,9,12,16	58:1 63:23 65:20	50:1 55:17 68:20
100:17 106:5	279:21 280:8	92:15 95:23 98:14	72:2 74:10 76:22
110:17 124:21	281:13,15,18	99:13 101:7,25	77:8 78:23 85:7
127:25 146:8	rate 194:10	112:23 131:6,12	85:22 86:19,22
154:16 155:24	199:14 205:13,18	138:20 141:2	88:25 90:6 107:25
156:5 157:14	225:2,4,8,10	151:4 156:20	111:18 117:18
257:19 296:20	299:11	166:6,24 172:24	129:10 137:11
quote 88:6	rates 194:9 200:4	190:18 210:25	146:13 149:14
quoted 300:4	225:2 297:1,6	212:3 214:24	153:7 154:17
r	298:10	217:13 218:14	156:23 158:11
r 8:20,20 32:20	ratio 63:23	220:25 224:23	161:16 177:6
149:3,3 150:4,20	raw 307:8	231:22 246:23	188:22 189:1
151:2,15 178:1,1	<b>rdb</b> 1:7,10,12,14	264:6 269:2,18,19	214:21 215:9,10
316:3,3	7:12	275:1 280:24	215:11 253:16
radio 152:11	reach 44:25 91:24	281:10 295:13	257:25 268:21
157:9,14 158:8,10	185:24	realm 192:25	269:7 277:4,7,9
167:8 168:10,24	reached 95:8	realtor 209:20,23	288:16 291:16
168:25 169:16	reaching 95:7	210:3,5	292:19 293:4,20
170:14 171:2	read 36:14 72:23	realty 210:23	294:6,25 295:1,6
173:5,25 251:25	72:23,24,25 87:22	211:6,11,15,17	300:17 301:7,8,16
raise 8:7 132:7	88:2 112:4,19	reason 29:16	recalled 200:9
rallen 2:17 315:2	113:9,12 115:3	49:25 72:17	recalling 90:13
ran 264:15,23	117:2,5 122:5	188:22 190:11	receipt 248:8
range 45:20	123:11 145:11	260:11 264:14	315:18
110:21 222:15,18	163:7 164:14	297:4 315:11	receive 17:6 49:23
223:11 229:7	185:25 186:3	316:6,9,12,15,18	93:12 207:12
236:25 237:6,11	188:25 218:21	316:21	295:10
237:11 239:2,20	235:15 253:3,10	reasonable 183:15	received 12:22,23
239:21 240:1,4,10	254:7 255:11	183:20 216:4,18	13:3 43:6 49:22
240:14,16,19,22	268:15,18 270:17	216:21 234:18,21	50:12,15 79:11
241:1 242:9,16,24	287:22 294:8	235:6 237:8 240:1	124:17 146:22
243:16 249:2	295:1 296:22	240:11 242:24	169:5,6 248:6
281:8,10	302:2,4,11 303:19	299:17,22 300:10	253:12 254:8,23

[received - report]

Page 43

255:20 295:16	records 39:10	refusing 252:24	relevant 72:18
297:10	71:8 72:16,20	260:19	83:22 85:25 124:1
receives 247:21	98:18 170:6	regard 255:21	169:12 175:1
receiving 253:13	rectangular 111:9	298:13	183:6,24 187:11
255:4,12 287:1	111:10	regarding 33:6	204:14 217:14
297:16 298:7,10	recurring 19:11	register 100:5	294:19
recess 80:3 138:8	red 229:25 230:1	registration 19:4	relicensing 197:19
177:13 198:13	230:2	23:22 124:19	relied 232:4,21
245:7 253:25	redefine 279:9	276:4 295:9 296:9	289:17 294:5
265:7 306:10	reduce 218:8,12	registrations	relisted 288:15
312:22	reduce 216.6,12 redundant 250:18	150:16 276:10,19	rely 31:1 109:18
recognition	refer 16:15 46:4	registry 26:22	232:1,3 233:12
113:21	67:21 81:23 87:6	33:16	remain 236:5
recognize 13:25	91:4 117:11	regular 142:17	237:9 256:19
35:24 40:1 43:21	150:17 226:22	regularly 195:24	remainder 267:24
100:1 101:1	258:10 278:2,9,11	195:25 224:8	remains 255:10
111:14	287:16	308:22	remember 70:18
recollection 22:11	reference 4:13	reject 311:7	71:3,22 90:12
37:1 61:13 64:22	13:18 200:17	relate 49:3 70:5	94:18 128:4,7
70:23 71:20 77:18	201:1 272:15	91:22 149:15	136:25 137:1,2
126:4 176:17	275:1	related 13:2 49:6	148:8 152:18,19
227:14	referenced 315:6	69:5 70:1,3,15,16	154:9 177:3,20
recommending	referred 88:5	70:23 92:4 126:17	189:2 200:8
recommending	referred 66.5	10.23 92.4 120.17	109.2 200.0
53.24	1/15-13 221-15	1/12.7 1/16.12	206.11.214.5
53:24	145:13 221:15	142:7 146:12	206:11 214:5
reconfirm 176:2	referring 21:14	149:4 279:5	remembering
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25	remembering 149:8
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4	149:4 279:5 <b>relates</b> 48:23,25 69:2	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3 265:3,6,16 268:16	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2 refinery29 290:16	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18 relationships	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6 271:16
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3 265:3,6,16 268:16 268:17 270:11	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2 refinery29 290:16 reflect 204:20	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18 relationships 136:15 188:3	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6 271:16 repeatedly 85:16
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3 265:3,6,16 268:16 268:17 270:11 306:5,9,13 312:18	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2 refinery29 290:16 reflect 204:20 270:11	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18 relationships 136:15 188:3 relative 50:25	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6 271:16 repeatedly 85:16 193:14
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3 265:3,6,16 268:16 268:17 270:11 306:5,9,13 312:18 312:21,25 313:14	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2 refinery29 290:16 reflect 204:20 270:11 reflected 42:25	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18 relationships 136:15 188:3 relative 50:25 175:9 235:17	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6 271:16 repeatedly 85:16 193:14 rephrase 9:14
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3 265:3,6,16 268:16 268:17 270:11 306:5,9,13 312:18 312:21,25 313:14 313:15 314:11	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2 refinery29 290:16 reflect 204:20 270:11 reflected 42:25 75:16 76:15,16	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18 relationships 136:15 188:3 relative 50:25 175:9 235:17 238:12 280:19	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6 271:16 repeatedly 85:16 193:14 rephrase 9:14 34:23 52:7 103:22
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3 265:3,6,16 268:16 268:17 270:11 306:5,9,13 312:18 312:21,25 313:14 313:15 314:11 recorded 7:7	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2 refinery29 290:16 reflect 204:20 270:11 reflected 42:25 75:16 76:15,16 176:24	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18 relationships 136:15 188:3 relative 50:25 175:9 235:17 238:12 280:19 283:25	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6 271:16 repeatedly 85:16 193:14 rephrase 9:14 34:23 52:7 103:22 225:24 234:6
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3 265:3,6,16 268:16 268:17 270:11 306:5,9,13 312:18 312:21,25 313:14 313:15 314:11 recorded 7:7 242:19 255:24	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2 refinery29 290:16 reflect 204:20 270:11 reflected 42:25 75:16 76:15,16 176:24 refresh 13:16	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18 relationships 136:15 188:3 relative 50:25 175:9 235:17 238:12 280:19 283:25 relatively 162:4	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6 271:16 repeatedly 85:16 193:14 rephrase 9:14 34:23 52:7 103:22 225:24 234:6 260:3
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3 265:3,6,16 268:16 268:17 270:11 306:5,9,13 312:18 312:21,25 313:14 313:15 314:11 recorded 7:7 242:19 255:24 256:8,24,25	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2 refinery29 290:16 reflect 204:20 270:11 reflected 42:25 75:16 76:15,16 176:24 refresh 13:16 77:18 176:17	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18 relationships 136:15 188:3 relative 50:25 175:9 235:17 238:12 280:19 283:25 relatively 162:4 233:21 242:2,5	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6 271:16 repeatedly 85:16 193:14 rephrase 9:14 34:23 52:7 103:22 225:24 234:6 260:3 replace 23:6 208:2
reconfirm 176:2 reconfirmed 233:4 249:11 record 7:5,20 8:3 14:13 80:2,6 87:9 138:7,11 177:7,10 177:16 198:9,12 198:16,17 199:16 245:6,10 253:22 253:24 254:3 265:3,6,16 268:16 268:17 270:11 306:5,9,13 312:18 312:21,25 313:14 313:15 314:11 recorded 7:7 242:19 255:24	referring 21:14 62:3 81:22 118:7 121:9 130:4 131:13 172:11 186:9 191:25 208:21 226:13,19 238:6 256:21 273:16 298:21 301:7 305:18 refers 122:7 221:2 refinery29 290:16 reflect 204:20 270:11 reflected 42:25 75:16 76:15,16 176:24 refresh 13:16	149:4 279:5 relates 48:23,25 69:2 relation 30:16 77:3 89:6 relationship 112:7 123:12 168:15,20 190:21 249:22 274:24 276:18 295:22 296:18 relationships 136:15 188:3 relative 50:25 175:9 235:17 238:12 280:19 283:25 relatively 162:4	remembering 149:8 remotely 99:16 render 159:19 rendered 159:21 212:15,17 rent 41:12 107:7 107:10,11 repeat 9:14 72:16 206:10 234:5,6 271:16 repeatedly 85:16 193:14 rephrase 9:14 34:23 52:7 103:22 225:24 234:6 260:3

[report - reuters] Page 44

5:18,19,20,21	314:16,24	requesting 57:10	respects 286:25
13:17 14:5,24,24	reporting 40:20	225:1	responded 233:19
14:25 15:1,5	47:16 68:22 216:1	requests 32:8	255:1
57:17,18 72:23,24	reports 4:13 13:15	83:19	respondents 241:4
72:25 73:12 75:2	47:11 72:25 75:22	require 28:5	response 50:1
75:3,4,13,16	75:24 76:5,10	203:19 206:9	60:10 83:19
76:14,16,17 77:10	80:14 81:8,21	244:15 249:8	104:12 239:8
77:18 80:22 81:1	85:9 137:15	308:3,4	responses 10:3
81:11,16 82:9,16	139:23 191:25	required 34:6	79:6
82:20 83:1,12	192:2	121:11 207:11	responsibility
84:6,13,20,23	represent 142:12	299:24 300:1	48:6,7 87:23
85:1,15,23 90:18	150:1,19 156:3	308:6 317:13	103:13 247:25
159:22 175:6,7,19	representation	requirement	responsible 87:25
175:20 176:16,18	109:19,25 150:9	25:12,14 147:19	rest 143:3
176:19,20,23	150:10	307:7	restate 89:20
184:3,23 193:20	representative	requirements	184:25 239:12
197:10 198:2,19	131:8 138:23	100:8 133:3	restriction 165:7
198:19,23 202:4,6	144:24 150:7,21	147:17,24 306:23	165:12
202:9,10 204:7,8	154:5 201:14	307:1,3,4,12,19	restrictions
204:9,11 213:4,9	203:25 224:12	308:1	164:23 165:24
213:17,19 222:1,9	representatives	requires 11:2	result 97:17 116:2
232:25 235:11,14	60:8	requiring 248:22	116:14 137:6
249:4 255:15	represented	250:7 252:4	resulted 160:11
265:22 273:3	116:25 149:23	reroute 104:17	resulting 298:4
277:18 278:2,4	150:8 151:1	research 71:18	results 34:5
287:15 289:18	representers 26:9	97:17 170:5	205:22 286:12
290:9 294:7,13	representing	269:15,17	retained 86:22
296:22	52:14 130:21	reservations	87:1,3,20 89:11
reportable 38:18	151:23	287:9	89:14 90:4,22
42:13 45:3	represents 26:15	reserve 85:16	91:19 129:19
reported 1:24	reproduced	reserving 85:25	313:11
42:12 44:16 46:14	208:25	residence 37:4	retaining 78:2
46:21 47:8	reproduction	40:11	83:8
<b>reporter</b> 7:16 8:5	166:20 207:10	resold 293:21	return 36:2,2
8:7 9:25 10:13	208:6,10,11 209:7	resolution 165:16	38:15 39:15 43:1
11:21 12:9 14:6	request 10:19	165:20,21 307:7	44:12 45:4,12,19
14:17 35:18 37:16	23:15,16 24:1	resolve 33:16	45:20,25 46:6,25
39:23 43:18 80:12	60:11 73:3 79:4,5	resources 179:13	47:3,5,11 140:6
82:4 98:19,22	87:12 149:5 162:6	respect 25:9 30:11	315:13,17
100:21 101:19	162:7 248:11	55:15 60:2 104:12	returned 235:21
105:7 107:16	292:3 295:17	120:8 152:2 155:5	235:25
199:3 265:14	requested 6:5	157:1 173:11	<b>reuters</b> 187:16
267:16 290:5	19:19 35:13 49:24	183:7,9 186:1	188:2,4
312:15 313:16	150:11 314:14,15	231:3 281:11	

[revenue - sample]

Page 45

revenue 15:17,19	53:16 65:7 68:17	185:4,10,11,21	171:11,23 172:11
39:6 43:11 45:8,8	71:25 72:12 73:24	186:23 187:6	184:20,24 185:4,6
139:7 160:10	73:25 77:19 81:19	188:12,17 191:20	185:20 186:2
171:19,22 285:2	84:7 85:16,17	191:22 192:6,6,13	188:15 191:17,20
revenues 86:3	86:1 92:22 114:20	193:3,19 207:18	192:1 194:15,17
reverse 199:20	115:12 117:2	208:17 217:7,10	195:2,6,13,15,18
review 9:5 69:14	121:18 129:24	218:23 219:1,8,22	195:19,23 217:7
83:16 84:8 89:2	130:7,23 137:10	220:16,22 221:4	217:11,24 218:23
92:18 133:11,15	138:17 139:16	221:10,10,14,21	219:2,9,18,23
148:3,9,13,14	140:1,5,25 142:1	224:1,2 231:23	220:3,13,17 221:2
149:1,5,9,21	148:19 149:24	236:4 244:10	258:2,4 298:4,6
169:25 191:12	153:5 163:2 164:5	247:9 258:12	298:12,15 299:7
287:23 288:5	167:2 168:7,8	259:6 298:9 307:2	<b>rpr</b> 1:25 2:5 314:4
289:9 294:16	174:8 180:3	307:5,21 308:8	314:22
306:6 314:13	181:12,13 189:22	<b>riley</b> 73:1	<b>ruled</b> 135:24
315:7	190:7 194:20	rings 106:2,3,4	136:9,23,23 137:4
reviewed 73:2,5	196:4,15 199:8	risks 10:25	rules 184:19
78:1 83:13 84:4,9	200:15 207:22	riverside 314:2	185:20
84:18 85:2 133:13	208:7,15,18,21	<b>rob</b> 81:15 161:1	<b>ruling</b> 136:13,14
149:15 169:5	209:2,3 211:2	173:12 313:6	137:9
170:2 176:21	213:22,25 221:19	<b>robert</b> 2:13 7:21	run 180:8 202:23
191:8 288:9,19,24	224:7 226:7	315:1	242:8 264:8
289:17 292:7	239:11 248:13	role 21:2 31:11	291:20
294:18	256:7 259:17	32:7	running 106:18
reviewing 148:14	260:24 261:2	<b>rolled</b> 182:15	264:12,17
148:17	266:8,16 267:9	197:16 204:13	runs 99:17
revise 85:17	270:11 271:5	rolling 69:9	S
122:12 249:24	272:7 274:23	<b>ron</b> 3:5 7:18	s 4:8 5:1 8:21
307:25	275:7 276:3 280:2	<b>room</b> 10:23 18:14	115:7 150:20
revising 304:25	288:14 289:2,6	177:21 218:5,15	178:1 316:3
rex 179:7 294:11	293:9 297:22	roots 59:13	salaries 37:22
294:17 295:2	298:1,23	<b>rough</b> 141:6,23	41:24 43:24
296:15,24,25	rights 26:3,20,22	153:18 313:16,18	salary 27:4 35:9
297:5,5,14,24,25	52:25 53:2 56:7,9	313:19,20	39:2,3,4 94:25
298:19	56:13 58:4,9 60:9	roughly 140:17	sale 194:23 214:20
<b>rgb</b> 228:7 229:22	129:2,3 131:25	roundabout 298:3	285:2 288:16
229:24 230:3,4,10	165:6,25 166:2,13	<b>route</b> 98:10	293:7
230:12,13,15	166:14,17 167:25	routinely 231:18	sales 298:4
<b>ribbon</b> 120:19	169:2,20 170:17	row 272:5 273:10	sample 201:12
richard 178:2	171:4,7,7,13,15	310:2	205:20,21,24
<b>right</b> 8:7 9:11,24	171:17,18,23,25	rows 200:21	205:20,21,24 206:13 224:17
12:17 16:13,21	172:8,19,20,25	royalty 52:24	225:2 226:2
18:22 21:24 26:12	173:8 174:3	164:25 165:8,23	300:20
27:5 31:7 34:16	182:16 184:21	166:9,12 171:10	300.20

[samples - see] Page 46

samples 204:8,10	273:25 274:17	147:10	<b>secret</b> 267:11
258:21 259:1	276:4 290:17	schools 119:14	<b>section</b> 15:1 68:1
sampling 205:15	302:10	scope 20:20 23:11	69:18 87:21 113:9
224:16	scan 208:3,5,7	49:2 51:1 58:4	121:22,25 122:16
santa 32:23,24	209:3	86:4 136:18	131:14 235:15
33:1 143:10	scanning 208:9,23	159:22 166:18	sector 26:15,16
146:20 147:16	scarce 162:4 184:8	192:20 203:20	sedlik 1:17 2:2 4:4
sat 144:4,8,13	233:6,18 234:19	240:5	4:11,20,22 5:4,4
169:17 241:12	236:5,18,23 237:9	scott 2:21 7:23	7:8 8:21 41:15
satisfy 147:16,23	238:10 242:5	253:15,16 254:12	69:19,19 80:19
279:7,12 282:10	243:19 277:23	scrambled 108:22	81:19 88:3,3
282:17 295:3	278:1,6,14,14,18	scrambles 109:9	101:3 109:11,20
save 100:25	278:22 279:1,2,20	screen 110:15	113:13,18 117:3,7
<b>saved</b> 257:6	279:20 281:24	113:2,10 115:2,19	117:10,12,15
saw 138:1 190:7	282:16 283:6,14	202:22	138:13 142:2,4
293:7 294:3	284:2	screenshots	198:10 239:6
saying 11:15	scarcity 184:10	226:11	265:18 268:1
51:20 53:9 55:17	222:15 224:10,15	scroll 113:5	269:15 290:2
109:22 112:5	225:3 232:9,25	114:13 117:1	313:1,10 315:5
136:13 139:5	233:9,14 234:4,8	se 172:24	316:2,24 317:2,4
189:20 197:7,12	234:11 235:17	seamless 264:22	317:12
197:20 249:12	236:6,17 237:5,16	search 97:3	sedlik's 198:18,22
251:3,4 252:22	237:21 238:2,12	162:12 235:1,11	see 9:24 12:14
263:17 268:20	238:17 239:18,24	235:19,23 238:11	13:23 17:20 27:8
270:22,24 293:4	240:24,24 251:11	283:21 284:6,6	30:18 31:12 35:15
294:25	264:11 277:21	searched 175:7	36:10 37:20 38:7
says 38:7 41:24	278:5 279:4,6,11	searches 92:22	38:9 40:5 41:25
43:4 68:7 69:19	280:4,11 281:2,3	235:5	42:8 43:6 44:1,7
88:2 102:9 103:23	281:11,12,19,23	seat 26:14,14	68:15,15 69:20,24
108:11,14 109:2	283:24 284:9,12	seattle 167:15	76:3 82:22 87:1
109:11,17,22	284:19	<b>second</b> 32:4 68:2	89:3 92:1 94:1
113:6 114:14,17	scenario 163:10	75:23 90:17 93:7	96:3 99:21,24
114:21,25 117:2	221:6 244:13	93:8 131:20 163:5	102:4,10 103:4,5
117:21 118:3	scenarios 163:13	163:8,10,10 200:2	103:6,24 104:1
119:2 122:2,4,16	282:3	200:17 209:17	105:12 108:7,9,14
124:10 125:1,19	schedule 19:18	212:14 213:9	109:13,17 110:5
127:8 128:10	scheduling 78:15	229:12 253:19	110:12 111:3,12
200:17 203:2	83:3	265:3 268:4 280:8	113:7 114:15
204:12 213:20,23	school 17:13,17	290:18 294:12	115:4 117:23
213:24 229:21	18:1,7,11,24	304:24 305:6	118:5 119:4
245:17 257:5	19:20 20:16,24	312:19	121:25 122:20,22
266:9 267:2	21:5,14,14 22:6	secondary 32:6	124:11 125:2,20
268:14 271:8,9,18	25:5,6,10,12 61:6	secondly 116:12	127:10,20 131:16
272:4,18 273:21	61:10 143:8	234:14	137:24 152:14
		val Calutions	

[see - sided] Page 47

170:12 176:21	seller 162:6,6	serves 311:4	311:15
200:15,18,22	244:14,14 251:20	service 24:15 32:9	short 284:23
201:8,19 202:13	sellers 192:22	92:17,25 93:4,14	shorthand 275:4
215:15 219:14,23	selling 154:24	93:18,21 96:9,11	314:23
226:7,24 227:6	semester 18:23	96:22 102:13,20	shortly 77:21
246:19 252:15	19:13,22,24 22:12	105:19 151:20	shorts 154:19
253:11 254:7	semesters 20:10	162:14,15 186:17	<b>shot</b> 178:5 260:6
255:11 262:7	21:7	192:18 208:5,24	262:13 310:6
264:12 265:20	seminar 144:10	209:24 213:20	<b>shots</b> 65:16 202:22
266:8 267:17	seminars 119:18	216:6,7,20,22	236:13
271:9,19,21 272:4	143:14 144:8,18	233:2	show 38:18 39:18
272:23 273:9,23	send 92:8 116:5	services 87:19	42:10 43:14 44:10
274:19,22,24	158:19 245:16	97:1,13 98:1,6	98:18 114:6,7
275:4,17 276:7	246:3,4,8,24	104:14 109:12,21	116:21,22,23
279:10 287:18	247:7,14	117:4,8,16 191:2	155:17,18 276:2
288:2 291:19	sending 60:14	215:22 219:20	290:10 291:10
292:20 294:12	sense 140:17	set 27:13 58:13	<b>showed</b> 238:12
297:2 301:8	148:14 156:20	59:21 155:17,20	244:22
308:18	158:7 165:17	168:7 181:10	showing 35:20
seeing 195:5 277:4	167:7 174:22	223:8 224:4 231:6	112:11 116:2,19
277:7,9	252:25 264:22	276:17	290:7
seek 217:23 233:1	sent 47:25 246:6	sets 299:10	<b>shown</b> 38:22 82:6
<b>seeking</b> 91:19 95:9	253:2,4 315:14	setting 52:21	85:18
159:3,15 184:5	sentence 78:9	243:12	shows 38:11,23
seen 55:1 59:15	102:24 103:2	settings 256:11	70:14,14 108:20
84:2 92:7 180:15	131:10 217:25	<b>setups</b> 262:5	115:22 153:11
206:7 232:25	276:14 281:16	263:15	175:14 203:16
253:3 257:18	287:21 296:21	seven 21:12 22:14	204:4 228:2
303:15 305:19	sentences 58:14	27:22 35:5 153:24	234:23 236:12
309:14	separate 95:6	severally 87:24	290:10
sees 193:2	109:16 117:11	shake 10:4	shredded 312:11
seldom 279:13	124:9 249:6,9,17	shaking 220:4	shutterstock
280:2	250:12 251:5	242:3	191:9 257:23
select 69:10	274:6,9	shapes 310:17	258:5,12,14 260:2
147:11,11 181:22	separately 244:20	share 170:7	<b>shutting</b> 248:16
selected 121:22	251:3	shared 107:5	sic 303:10
181:17 182:11	<b>september</b> 87:17	155:24	side 49:11,15
228:5 237:7	88:12,16,20	sharing 50:17	60:22 66:21
selection 260:12	series 144:10	<b>sharp</b> 61:24 62:1	178:25 179:3,18
263:12 308:18	295:16 serve 91:13	309:18,20 310:2 sheet 315:11	179:20 189:18
self 24:13 139:6 153:9	276:19	sheet 315:11 shmarder 2:25	219:10 271:4 307:2,5,22 308:8
sell 159:5 219:19	served 91:12	shinaruer 2:23 shoot 65:18	sided 82:17
306:21 309:1	SCI VCU 91.12	107:11 159:5,8	Siucu 02.1/
300.21 309.1		107.11 137.3,0	

[sierra - soon] Page 48

10.010.00	100 2 21 100 11	161 15 15 10	14640
sierra 40:9,19,23	189:3,21 190:11	161:15 174:18	slate 146:19
sign 65:21 193:14	190:12,22 191:1,4	176:14 181:11	147:25
268:15,18 270:17	206:16 244:8,9,23	192:4 205:3	slide 266:21
295:7,17 308:21	245:2 248:2 253:2	222:20,25 223:5	slightly 203:10
313:4 315:12	253:5,10,11,11,13	231:15 243:8	279:25
signature 314:22	253:16 254:7,8,8	site 98:8 100:8,11	smaller 229:15,16
<b>signed</b> 296:9	254:15,25 255:1,5	101:4 103:15,16	smallest 229:20
315:20	255:11,12,12,19	103:16 104:24,25	<b>smart</b> 123:6
significant 46:15	260:11 264:7,12	104:25 158:16	<b>smith</b> 176:10
158:2 203:19	264:23 277:8	214:18 230:17	177:2,22
205:17,20,23	288:21,23,24	290:16 294:4	<b>smoked</b> 153:23
206:9 238:2	290:16 291:24	sites 98:8 102:15	smoothly 9:8
267:15 285:1	315:4 316:1 317:1	103:15 104:13,14	social 129:24
significantly 30:6	sinclair's 197:16	105:4 159:11	159:9,11 204:19
243:18	<b>single</b> 201:7	160:8 258:21,23	204:20 274:5
silly 131:24	sir 8:17 9:18 12:11	277:8 291:17	societies 131:15
similar 43:5 93:22	12:18 13:24 14:19	293:7	132:9,20 133:8
143:12 155:9	16:12 17:22 18:8	sitting 27:5 48:17	<b>society</b> 131:25
157:12,13 158:7	24:19 25:23 30:7	52:15 54:10 88:24	133:10,17 144:11
167:6 169:15,21	36:11 38:10 40:14	90:6 140:20 167:2	<b>soft</b> 309:12,12
172:7 179:14	42:1,9 44:9 45:1	167:10,19 191:11	310:1
219:19 221:6,8	45:21 46:16,19,23	205:7 238:23,24	software 256:11
237:22,24 244:9	47:4,22 69:21	241:23 243:7	<b>sold</b> 209:20
259:8 277:10	72:13 73:21 97:12	267:20 300:23	225:20 230:24
279:24 287:5	97:25 103:19,25	302:17	231:5,9,16
similarly 200:2	104:2,8 107:18	situation 96:7	<b>sole</b> 142:5 158:2
simple 24:24 50:8	109:10 112:3	210:7 211:11	<b>solely</b> 141:20
73:23 168:4	116:4 117:24	214:11 256:21	307:11
213:14 251:9	122:1 138:16,25	283:5,7	solemnly 8:9
288:12	139:17 146:24	situations 151:22	solutions 315:23
<b>simplify</b> 26:1 56:6	159:18 163:1	209:12 311:5	somebody 97:4
<b>simply</b> 299:10	172:5 173:23	six 21:12 22:13	103:2 143:5
simultaneously	195:21 199:6	58:20 153:24	158:15 175:14,14
106:19	228:8 241:15	236:2,10	224:11 242:8
<b>sinclair</b> 1:8 7:10	260:1,15 262:23	sixth 273:10	243:4 299:12
15:21 157:5,13	265:21 266:1,10	size 166:20 205:21	somebody's 183:3
158:7 160:9,11	266:18,24 306:19	205:21 228:6,11	224:25
167:6,13,17,25	313:3	228:12,15,21,21	somewhat 21:3
168:9,23 169:1,8	sistek 254:13,14	229:9,10,15,16,20	157:6 186:18
169:15 170:6,9,25	sit 10:23 24:9,14	241:9	194:16 280:18,19
172:7 179:9,10	46:24 53:19 68:23	sizes 228:13,17,20	sony 68:11,15
183:17 184:17	68:25 70:25 71:3	ski 183:4	soon 143:3 176:13
185:17 186:6	71:21 106:9 132:2	skin 310:9,21	190:7
188:7,11,19,21	146:7 158:24		

[sore - stepdown] Page 49

<b>sore</b> 311:21	special 23:11	spreadsheet 50:8	<b>starts</b> 112:11
sorry 37:9 68:14	181:23 214:18	spreadsheets	281:9 287:18
69:12 70:10 83:24	specialize 213:10	50:14 54:11	state 7:19 314:1,5
102:3 119:14	specialties 114:9	302:18	314:24
121:1 151:25	specific 61:13	spring 19:1 20:11	state's 147:23
165:7 166:14	63:10 64:17,21	20:12 21:7,16,17	stated 46:6 48:9
179:8 180:12	70:23 71:3,10		209:2
184:25 191:2	70.23 71.3,10	21:18,23 22:1,12 24:18	statement 293:2
208:24 215:19	193:24 227:18 296:3 297:13	square 41:10	299:2,4,5 305:12
218:20 226:4,6 227:21 228:11		<b>srgb</b> 230:14,16 <b>ss</b> 314:2	statements 233:25 295:16
	<b>specifically</b> 49:8 68:19 133:24		
253:16,20 264:16 sort 130:11 143:17		staff 137:13	states 1:2 7:11
	142:6 192:15	stage 24:8 307:9	54:8,9 59:12
154:19 175:16	229:3	staged 236:14	87:22 122:17
178:7 181:25	specification 49:1	stakeholder 56:21	207:24 302:15,16
184:13	specifications	stakeholders 50:4	stating 253:4
sorts 142:14	34:4 56:4 231:24	stand 172:22	station 15:20
162:17	spectrum 41:19	275:3	245:1 250:11,12
sought 299:17,21	41:21	standard 59:11,12	250:15,21 251:12
300:9	speculate 28:25	59:13,19 129:3	264:18
sound 46:9 143:7	29:11,11 71:4	193:21 194:1,8,10	stations 15:21
<b>sounds</b> 29:13	72:15	194:10 228:9	152:10,11 157:9
46:11 104:7	speculation 28:24	230:4,9 248:25,25	157:14 158:8,10
<b>source</b> 171:19	speculative 72:14	251:10 252:15	167:8,17,20,21
242:15	speculatively	299:25	168:10,14,15,20
sources 69:12	60:11	standardized 51:3	168:21 169:8,16
south 2:4 7:14	<b>speed</b> 80:21	standards 26:19	170:14 171:2
<b>space</b> 41:8 107:12	<b>spell</b> 32:19 177:25	50:2 59:21 103:10	173:5,25 174:2
134:8 234:16,16	<b>spend</b> 23:23 27:18	128:15,23 133:2	244:19 249:23
<b>speak</b> 11:6 37:9	30:1 63:12 78:20	246:11 304:24	250:14 251:7,25
65:4 68:14 70:11	95:20 97:7	306:22 307:12,15	statistically
75:14 76:13 78:5	spending 64:7	307:17	205:20,22
78:10 119:14,17	<b>spent</b> 63:19 64:1	standpoint 60:7	stay 135:15
121:17 146:3	<b>spin</b> 69:10	stands 112:5	stays 87:14
156:21 233:15	<b>spirit</b> 57:20	144:16	steel 245:25
237:13 265:23	<b>spoke</b> 178:8 225:4	star 65:20	stemtech 225:12
speaker 119:15	237:17	start 13:7 58:24	stenographically
243:8	spoken 63:4,5	59:1,1 155:6	314:7
<b>speaking</b> 76:21,22	74:17 77:9 144:3	245:18 266:4	step 52:22 57:7
78:14 134:7	176:25 242:18	started 99:18	110:9,9 125:12
173:13 180:13	spontaneous	108:24 116:16	127:13,13 151:20
198:1 238:24,24	312:1	118:17 125:9	247:6,8
241:5 246:17	spread 234:22	126:23 204:14	stepdown 203:7
		_	1

4 216 22	4 (0.0	1. 4 17 0 10 7	1165
steps 216:23	stone 69:9	subject 17:8 19:5	<b>subpoena</b> 116:5
229:19	stop 125:25	22:4 62:11,12,12	116:11 254:24,24
stern 150:19	245:17 253:19	62:15 63:5 121:13	255:1,4
151:11 152:2,9,20	290:18	128:13 134:18	<b>subscribe</b> 92:17
153:16,17 154:21	stopped 102:7	143:2 157:22,23	92:20 93:14,17,21
156:20 224:12	245:22	159:20,22 164:23	94:4
237:24 309:4,9,10	store 208:10	179:14 182:17	subscribed 92:25
stern's 154:15	282:20	183:22 212:15,18	317:14
stevens 215:14	story 155:9 167:4	215:2 232:24	subscription 94:5
stick 145:23	straightforward	281:20 282:12,15	172:3,10,11,12,13
173:12	30:22 182:10	299:1 309:13,15	172:23,24 173:8
sticking 241:6	205:15	309:17,22,24	174:3,8 184:20,24
311:19	strange 160:1	310:1 311:15,20	185:3,6,9,20
sticks 195:5	311:24 312:10	311:21	186:2,25 187:7,15
stock 49:12 50:5	strategies 305:22	subject's 310:9	187:17 190:25
54:15 55:2 57:23	strategy 24:12	subjective 280:18	191:14,16,18,19
57:24 76:24	streams 139:7	280:19	191:20,21,23
143:15 154:22,24	street 2:4,22 7:14	subjects 155:12	192:1,7,16 216:5
155:2 156:15,22	36:18 51:13 153:2	269:24 270:1	216:11 220:11,14
157:25 172:16	180:15	sublicense 207:3	220:15,18,22
177:1 184:20,20	<b>stretch</b> 79:21,24	207:16 208:18,20	221:1,3,6,7,12,14
185:4,20,21	177:19	208:21 209:13	221:21 258:6,8
191:17 192:25	strictly 144:10	210:6,13 211:5,11	subsequent
193:11,18 194:20	strips 256:20	214:10	175:21 176:18,19
196:18 200:25	<b>strong</b> 23:20	sublicensed 208:6	subsequently
203:2,4,16,24	structure 51:15	sublicenses	179:9
204:4 205:4 206:7	stuck 289:23	207:21	<b>subset</b> 161:23
215:22 216:6,7,20	student 25:2	sublicensing	subsidiaries 169:1
216:23 217:20,21	students 18:5	208:14	249:13,15 251:24
217:23 221:2	19:22,25 23:15,20	submission 48:6	substance 214:23
224:3 227:4 259:5	24:3,6 118:24	84:13	215:10,12
259:19 260:5	312:8	submissions 54:11	substantive
261:18 262:10	<b>studio</b> 64:1 106:3	54:20 302:19	130:10
263:2 288:15	106:7,10,16,17,25	303:6	substitute 61:11
290:21,24 291:1,3	107:2,3	<b>submit</b> 24:22	<b>success</b> 137:7,8
291:22 292:8	<b>studios</b> 107:5,6,7	25:13 57:8,12	successful 57:3
293:2,12,22,25	107:10,11	124:19 132:17	311:6
294:10 295:23	<b>study</b> 233:12	308:22	sucked 31:6
296:20 300:21	<b>stuff</b> 153:15	submitted 15:9	<b>sudden</b> 180:8,16
302:25 303:17	155:11 195:4	25:8,18,19 81:1	sue 158:17 209:10
305:19 306:17,21	<b>style</b> 312:3	105:1 202:11	<b>sued</b> 211:18
306:25	styles 181:5	submitting 58:22	suffered 222:5
<b>stole</b> 158:15	sub 249:22	235:3	sufficiency 217:3
	1		

sufficient 39:5	70:2 73:6 81:2,11	symposia 143:14	232:14,14 237:4
170:2 205:22	87:13 89:21 90:23	143:20	237:15 238:16
282:8,10 283:17	97:2,4 104:8	synchronize	239:17 243:25
suggest 92:11	111:13 120:12,22	256:12	247:25 263:1
131:1	125:18 129:4	system 19:21	265:18 266:5,16
suggested 237:18	135:10 140:10	57:13 210:5 211:8	268:10 269:1
237:19	141:16 145:25	256:23 257:3,11	271:3 273:4
suggesting 52:21	148:11 156:18	308:23	275:14 281:17
suggestions 51:5	167:12 173:24	systematic 95:23	287:5 289:16
58:16,23	181:9 187:9	t	295:20 309:4
suit 196:22 247:19	190:24 198:4	t 4:8 5:1 150:4,20	313:18,19,20
suitable 236:6	200:13 216:14,16	178:1,1 316:3,3	takedown 158:23
suite 2:4,22 7:14	230:19 231:14	t1 273:25 274:2,7	taken 2:2 7:8 80:3
37:6,8,12,14	233:24 262:24	t50 274:8	120:16 137:3
supplemental	268:6,13 271:17	table 110:2 177:6	138:8 142:6 143:2
72:25	275:22 277:9	202:24 266:12	146:17,23 159:2
supplementary	278:23 293:9	273:2 274:6,10	174:11 177:13,18
175:17,18 232:25	301:8 305:8	275:3 276:21	183:10,21 196:11
supplier 221:9	311:18	289:16	198:13 204:16
suppliers 229:1	surpass 286:8	tables 15:1,2	216:23 245:7
<b>supply</b> 161:19	surprise 57:19	196:25	253:25 254:17
178:25 184:6	surrebuttal 4:21	tackle 60:4	265:7 306:10
216:13 242:4	14:24 81:1 83:1	tagged 291:15	312:22
281:25	83:12 84:6,20	tail 252:7	takes 96:18
support 66:10	175:18 176:22	take 10:2,13,25	255:22 281:12,16
130:13 137:13	235:3,4,14	15:6 18:4 19:21	talk 8:25 10:7
138:18 141:7,25	survey 193:24	26:17 28:5 33:17	25:11 52:24 53:4
237:10	197:15,25 205:16	35:21 39:25 41:22	55:13 56:1 58:5
suppose 60:21	240:6 241:4,9,10	43:20,23 48:5,7	93:25 96:21 143:2
102:22 132:3	306:2	52:22 61:12 74:8	155:18,20 179:3
139:2 168:18	survived 134:23	74:12,25 75:10	180:11 182:20
187:2 196:15	suspect 25:17	79:16,19 98:6,24	190:15,25 196:7
210:15 308:17	swapped 166:15	100:23 101:16	210:11 217:15,16
supposed 26:13	swear 8:6,9	103:13 104:13,15	217:17 221:24
supposing 165:11	215:14	105:9 107:20	243:9 248:5 280:8
sur 175:18	sweetwater 90:14	110:9 121:20	288:4,22 297:21
sure 9:15 10:3	switched 22:12	137:22 140:8	297:22
12:21 20:1,14	sworn 314:10	143:5,18 165:12	talked 84:7 117:25
22:7 31:9,10	317:14	176:16 177:4	131:18 201:6,7
37:15 39:17 41:1	syllabus 24:20,22	202:11 208:1,12	206:11 217:6
46:21 47:25 48:20	24:25 25:2,5,8,10	209:22,25 210:3	218:22
49:21 51:19 52:7	25:13,17,18	212:4 213:9	talking 37:16
52:24 53:17 61:7	sylvania 89:16	214:16 216:10	58:11 76:6 84:12
62:20 63:1 67:12		217:18 226:3,7	136:19 141:20

[talking - thank] Page 52

142:18 148:10	teachers 61:9,9,10	tens 166:11	testify 84:11
160:16,17 162:9	teaching 17:5 18:3	178:20,21 205:24	135:12,25 136:11
163:11,12,13	18:15 19:1,1,2,12	283:20	136:15,18 192:5
170:9,10 188:5	22:12,23 23:1,3	tenure 17:15,18	292:6
190:20 220:2	24:17 311:10	32:22	testifying 102:25
241:24 247:1	teachings 62:14	tenured 17:17	168:6 199:22
249:3 268:9 277:3	technical 33:15	term 17:20 19:1	215:11 292:1
279:21 282:6,7	63:6 286:8 311:7	19:19 62:3 151:7	testimony 8:9
300:24 301:4,20	techniques 61:23	187:17,21 220:15	15:18 29:4 30:20
307:11 310:24	technology 146:4	220:25 224:9	46:25 47:2,13
312:9	146:9	284:1 286:1	73:7,8 77:17
talks 210:4	ted 149:3,18	terminated 190:7	102:23 103:1
tandllaw.com	telephone 83:7	295:20,21 296:6	104:7,23 112:5
2:25	television 15:20	296:11,23 297:5	116:4 134:22,24
tangent 239:11	15:21 68:3,5,7,18	terminating 93:15	135:20 137:4,7
tangentially	69:22,25 70:13	94:7	141:22 159:23
167:14	153:11 157:9,14	termination 15:3	166:19 183:25
tapping 216:1	158:8,9 167:7	217:3	199:10,12 200:8
task 29:25	168:10,24,25	terminology	206:10 214:3
taught 17:3,8	169:16 170:14	226:16,17 241:20	221:5 253:4,9,10
18:21 19:9,10	171:1 173:5,25	terms 11:10 29:13	254:7,11 255:11
21:13,22 22:25	251:24	30:4,9 49:1 50:8	255:19 258:11,13
23:17 61:4,7,16	tell 8:19 93:11	50:24 59:4,5	262:9,13 269:7
61:20,23 62:5,11	97:2 120:1 126:9	136:6,11 157:24	270:25 290:20
62:13,14,18,22	175:3 189:11	165:4 194:23	294:23 296:3
63:6 119:16,19	191:10 214:1	231:6,10,17	297:4 300:17,19
311:13	233:13,22 269:23	284:18	300:20,22,25
tax 36:1,2 38:15	298:20 309:3	terrible 52:6	301:9,14,17
39:15 43:1 44:12	telling 48:8 141:14	164:4	305:17 313:10
44:13 45:4,20	262:16	territory 166:20	314:7,12 315:9,18
46:25 47:3,11	ten 26:13 28:15	test 296:24	317:8
140:5	44:16,21,22 47:12	testified 18:12	text 100:8 103:11
taxes 140:15	47:15,19 50:11	25:7 40:24 70:6	103:13 109:24
teach 18:21 19:19	51:10,10 58:19,20	75:13 85:6 106:6	148:19 299:3,4
20:2,3,17 21:17	129:7,19 186:11	118:23 192:8	textbook 192:11
22:1,5,11,15	241:21 246:16	212:22 214:1	274:5
23:10,14 24:24	257:22 278:20,21	215:3,4 241:19,21	texts 149:4
25:11 61:12 119:9	282:11 283:1,8,11	259:15 269:5	<b>texture</b> 310:21
142:9 143:12	283:15 284:4	279:25 286:15	thank 12:5 41:16
144:8 311:17	tend 27:22 121:16	290:11,12 291:3	42:6 76:19 79:25
312:7	311:23	292:7,11 298:13	81:24 86:8 111:9
teacher 23:7 61:11	tennessee 76:11	298:17 300:14	166:15 254:14
118:24	91:3,5,7 92:14	305:20 311:9	266:1 306:4 313:1
	179:18		313:5

[thanks - time] Page 53

1 1 1 1 1 1	065055000000	260.25	22.16.22.17.24.6
thanks 164:11	96:5 97:7 98:3,12	268:25	32:16 33:17 34:6
211:16 289:22	99:19,19 102:5	thoughts 57:14	34:10,21,25,25
313:8	109:3 111:10	thousand 41:9	35:5 39:1,4 48:4
thicker 14:8,11	119:8 124:15	44:17,19,25 59:3	51:20 54:4 55:11
thing 10:17 23:10	126:23 127:1	65:6 97:7 99:11	56:25 57:6 62:5
28:12 58:17	129:16 133:18	153:2 240:6	63:12,19,24 64:1
100:13 106:16	137:10 141:7	thousands 71:9,9	64:2,5,6,8,11,16
116:15 121:18	145:8 148:23	81:9 142:11,11	65:2 66:2,10,17
124:16 143:17	149:11 150:22,23	166:11,11 178:20	67:2,20 69:10
154:19 178:7	151:5 153:19	178:22 205:24	71:12,22 72:9
181:25 189:18	154:11 158:11	283:20,20	73:19 78:20,23
193:11 206:6,22	161:9 166:6	threat 245:23	79:22 80:2,6 83:5
208:20 241:14	167:11,21 169:12	three 13:15 20:10	83:5,7 85:3 86:3
267:3 270:15	171:12,12 176:15	23:23,24 24:4	89:8 91:20 92:5
276:2 295:18	177:8,19 178:10	59:7 64:23 66:16	94:21,23,25 95:5
309:2	181:11 183:5	66:23 67:2 99:22	95:18 100:6,9,15
things 9:7 20:4,19	191:15 206:12	111:16 119:8,21	100:17 109:23
23:21 30:2 32:12	209:16 211:14,17	120:2 139:14,22	116:9 124:21
32:13,14 34:4,13	212:3 214:3	151:8 175:21,23	125:6 126:13
48:21 60:5 63:21	215:13 219:7	176:4,6,8,11	127:25 133:12,15
66:21 72:3 80:21	221:15 226:15	178:23 182:11,13	134:19,25 137:22
95:25 96:2,12	229:19,20 230:18	193:18 225:18	138:7,11 139:8,12
98:25 99:20	237:7 239:20	226:11 231:7,16	140:1,2,10 142:7
101:21 102:7	259:11 260:9	231:20 233:15,23	142:25 143:13
105:3 109:6	261:24 267:10,14	234:15 236:22	146:8 147:18
130:14 132:24	268:24 269:7	237:19 241:10	149:4 151:4
135:7 136:18	274:24 280:17,18	242:23,25 305:24	152:23,25 153:3
189:18 190:15	281:16,17 284:18	throats 56:22 57:6	153:18 154:10
194:24 195:19	286:5 290:15	thrombosis 10:21	156:4,5,7 157:18
220:6 239:7,14	294:24 305:17	throw 209:15	161:11,12 176:12
251:2 256:18	308:9,13	220:6	177:4,12,16 180:4
263:5,23,25 265:4	thinking 11:4	throwing 195:4	189:7 191:7,8
286:7 310:19	20:15 49:21 63:1	213:13 286:10	194:18 198:6,12
311:11,17 312:10	63:3 89:22 181:9	thunk 213:22	198:16 199:23,23
think 19:4 20:13	thinks 64:8	till 10:15 77:12	200:10 201:2
20:13 21:6,8,20	thinner 14:9	time 7:6 10:14	203:10 204:14,17
25:14,21 32:1	third 1:10,14	16:16 17:12 18:4	205:16 216:3
33:21 36:25 39:13	177:24 214:15	20:21,23 21:13,21	217:14 218:3
41:19 43:2 44:20	229:20 270:15	24:9,24 25:1,4,8	220:11,24 225:7
50:7,16,16 66:7	thirds 99:22	25:18 26:24 27:1	230:25 232:20
72:6 77:1 78:4	thomas 2:20 7:24	27:4,6,12,14,18	234:25 235:10
79:6,6 83:2 86:4	thought 11:2	28:7 29:24 30:4	236:24 237:3
86:13 89:4,5,13	40:24 85:24	30:16,24,24 31:17	239:22 243:18
90:16,16,17 96:4	213:13 253:20	31:19,20,23 32:4	244:5 245:3,6,10

[time - turn] Page 54

247:21 252:18       68:23,25 70:17,25       126:17 135:2       trees 82:18 100:2         253:7,24 254:3,16       71:4,20,21 72:22       215:10       310:3         255:24 256:6,8,9       73:8,10 74:15       total 43:11 45:7,8       trend 310:1         256:12,17,18       76:7 77:24 78:6,7       97:10 222:4 238:1       trends 181:5         258:20 265:6,16       78:9,10,13 82:18       284:4 295:19       243:13 301:1         270:9,10 285:17       87:5 88:24 102:23       totally 150:12       305:24         287:12 288:25       106:9,12 121:5       touched 100:17       triage 32:7         296:7,19 301:16       139:15 140:20       168:16       trial 132:12,15,18
255:24 256:6,8,9       73:8,10 74:15       total 43:11 45:7,8       trend 310:1         256:12,17,18       76:7 77:24 78:6,7       97:10 222:4 238:1       trends 181:5         258:20 265:6,16       78:9,10,13 82:18       284:4 295:19       243:13 301:1         270:9,10 285:17       87:5 88:24 102:23       totally 150:12       305:24         287:12 288:25       106:9,12 121:5       touched 100:17       triage 32:7         296:7,19 301:16       139:15 140:20       168:16       trial 132:12,15,18
256:12,17,18       76:7 77:24 78:6,7       97:10 222:4 238:1       trends 181:5         258:20 265:6,16       78:9,10,13 82:18       284:4 295:19       243:13 301:1         270:9,10 285:17       87:5 88:24 102:23       totally 150:12       305:24         287:12 288:25       106:9,12 121:5       touched 100:17       triage 32:7         296:7,19 301:16       139:15 140:20       168:16       trial 132:12,15,18
258:20 265:6,16       78:9,10,13 82:18       284:4 295:19       243:13 301:1         270:9,10 285:17       87:5 88:24 102:23       totally 150:12       305:24         287:12 288:25       106:9,12 121:5       touched 100:17       triage 32:7         296:7,19 301:16       139:15 140:20       168:16       trial 132:12,15,18
270:9,10 285:17       87:5 88:24 102:23       totally 150:12       305:24         287:12 288:25       106:9,12 121:5       touched 100:17       triage 32:7         296:7,19 301:16       139:15 140:20       168:16       trial 132:12,15,18
287:12 288:25
296:7,19 301:16 139:15 140:20 168:16 <b>trial</b> 132:12,15,18
302:9 304:4,9 141:22 161:15 <b>touches</b> 63:5 261:13
305:13 306:9,13   167:3,10,19   tough 32:20   tried 56:15 100:9
306:15,15 309:2 169:18 174:19 285:17 158:22 209:18
312:21,25 313:2,7
313:13 315:19 195:21 196:14 <b>track</b> 28:7 29:9,15 <b>trimester</b> 19:21
timeframe 315:8 205:3,7 217:6,8 31:14 35:2 138:20 20:9
times 19:10 29:14 218:22,24 221:5 201:2 218:19 trindl 150:4
32:4 59:8 61:14 222:20,25 223:5 291:16 151:10
61:15 65:6 69:7 231:15 233:6 tracks 95:5 truck 66:8 209:5,
69:13 74:19 78:5 234:19 235:7 <b>trade</b> 58:1 64:7 <b>true</b> 45:1 195:18
78:9 81:21 89:12   236:6 237:23   127:24 130:20   195:19,21 308:6,
95:16,17 217:6 241:21 246:15,19 <b>trafficked</b> 166:5 314:11 317:8
218:22 222:13,15   252:23 258:11,13   training 159:25   truth 8:10,11,11
222:17 237:1,6,7   262:9,14,16 263:1   160:2   <b>try</b> 9:6 16:6,8
237:18,20 238:8 270:3,10 277:21 <b>transaction</b> 49:2 29:12 30:13 65:8
238:15,21,21 284:9,10 286:1,3 185:2 222:21 72:8 83:25 87:11
239:2,4,4,5,17 286:5 287:3 293:4 223:1,6 224:5 121:18 149:11
240:23 241:1 301:3 306:16 299:19 157:19 193:6,19
243:16 251:10,11   today's 78:16   transactions   194:6,22 209:16
311:10 313:9 157:20 240:15 250:2
timing 204:23   toilet 214:20   transcript 314:11   258:24 277:22
tired 167:11   told 71:17 117:14   314:14 315:6,20   trying 55:18 67:6
title 8:24 16:23 247:13 295:19 317:5,8 82:18 86:9 100:2
17:6 44:10 117:17   tomato 220:5   transportation   123:6,7 126:5
118:3,11,14,15,19   <b>tomorrow</b> 64:24   17:9   145:17 148:7
118:20,22 122:18   64:25 65:13   travel 19:18   173:15,17,18
122:23 123:3 <b>top</b> 11:22 36:7 <b>traveling</b> 241:22 215:16 219:19
134:13   40:5 107:24   <b>treat</b> 158:1 239:4   220:15 232:13
titled 131:14   110:12,14 111:3,4   251:5   234:7 261:24
titles 132:23
today 9:6 11:11,15   226:24 271:18   treatise 123:11   turmoil 285:11
11:15 12:20,24 <b>topic</b> 26:10 212:23 223:14 231:25 <b>turn</b> 38:5 42:7
13:2 14:22 31:22
46:24 51:22 52:16 294:19 treatises 206:17 209:10 265:2
52:18 53:8,11,19 <b>topics</b> 17:11 63:7 <b>tree</b> 311:20 287:15
63:22 64:10 65:4 71:10 125:14

[turnbull - use] Page 55

turnbull 151:8	<b>typed</b> 10:9	200:13 201:4	122:17 207:24
turned 67:1	types 17:11 52:2,3	204:22,23 212:8	302:15,16
turner 69:4	62:24 93:1 96:25	212:12 232:9	units 313:11
tv 152:10	97:13 129:15	240:15 252:22	universe 170:13
twice 74:20,20	133:9 134:7 160:4	260:17,24 261:1,5	university 143:9
two 11:20 13:8,15	171:16 175:10	261:8,10 277:22	146:19 147:15
14:7 15:10 16:10	201:2 207:10	278:25 281:14	unknowingly
23:2,18 50:10	231:19 274:7,8,10	286:13 295:12,14	167:16
55:7 58:11 66:15	277:25 310:11	309:25	<b>unpaid</b> 124:16
75:20,20,24 76:5	typically 51:2	understanding	unquote 88:6
77:3 79:7 80:8,19	144:8 207:14	18:20 27:11 56:15	unreasonable
81:21 82:17 85:8	228:14,23 230:11	171:6,21 187:4	46:9,11
87:7 90:6 94:6	241:19 242:22	212:13 233:17	unusual 279:14
95:5 98:4,12	308:19	262:25 270:13	296:20
99:22 100:24	typos 137:16	284:12 286:2	upcoming 21:24
111:17 119:21	u	understandings	updated 129:5
135:1 146:7,7		56:12 226:19	updating 102:7
150:23 152:22,24	u 32:20	understands	128:22
166:3 177:20	<b>u.s.</b> 58:25	27:10	uploaded 97:19
190:21 200:21	<b>u06</b> 272:20,25 273:10	understood 9:21	uploads 308:23
201:23 220:4	u104 272:21	11:7 18:9 30:3	url 99:2,23 110:16
225:6 229:19	uh 10:8,8 163:21	33:24 50:20 51:18	110:20 111:2
237:18,25 238:23	ultimate 208:16	70:9 77:21 81:10	usa 1:12
241:12 242:3,25	ultimate 208.10 ultimately 232:21	155:3 181:19	usage 51:12,13
244:5 251:2	260:22 261:11	189:10 227:24	150:24 151:18
254:20 279:3,4	unanswered 6:1	231:13 232:6	153:10 169:13
291:16 294:6	unavoidable 51:7	256:15 272:14,16	172:19 188:13
301:22 303:24	uncle 41:6,7,14	274:16 275:5	190:14 194:17
304:13,23	unclear 270:22	277:13 285:25	201:3 204:17
type 17:8 32:6	uncommon 139:1	306:1,4 309:6	223:21 224:13
52:17 53:8,10	139:2	undertaking	231:19,23 252:16
55:5 58:17 65:14	underlying 185:23	205:17	253:17 272:18
96:21 97:6 124:2	300:8	unfamiliar 89:7	273:10,14 274:5,5
164:22 165:22	understand 9:12	unfortunately	274:6
166:19 172:23	9:15 11:17,18	10:8	<b>usages</b> 150:11
184:7,11 194:19	15:18 27:2,24	unintentional	197:1 201:23
208:13 231:19	36:5 46:4,16,20	51:17	248:12 273:2,13
244:2 256:23	48:20 49:2 51:19	unintentionally	use 10:10 50:18
273:22 274:4,11	62:2 63:14 65:5,9	50:19	51:2 54:8,8 57:9
274:12,18,23,25	76:19 85:1 93:9	<b>unique</b> 243:20	58:7 59:14,14,19
274:25 283:4	96:7 104:8 135:11	<b>unit</b> 7:7 40:6,9,10	62:18 67:24
297:11 303:21	150:12 154:23	40:17 41:5	106:13 107:12
305:15	156:17 157:7	<b>united</b> 1:2 7:11	134:13 146:4
	168:14 173:19	54:8,9 59:12	152:22,24,25

[use - want] Page 56

153:1,3,8 162:18	vague 161:2	versa 16:8	<b>volume</b> 150:22
163:4,5,6,8,10,18	173:11	version 59:8	voluntarily 39:8
165:5 166:4,9,18	valuation 160:19	100:10,12 304:24	volunteer 28:10
171:14,18 172:25	175:1	versions 122:12	34:14 35:14 52:14
174:8,11,12	value 49:9,17	280:15 282:11	volunteered 64:2
183:17 184:5	50:23,25 51:7,14	283:1	volunteers 30:25
186:21 187:21	51:24,24 123:10	versus 51:13 54:8	31:3 50:2
188:15 189:13,22	142:22 145:7,21	65:10 164:18	vote 26:16 59:8
192:20 194:14,18	149:15 151:18	165:14 199:15	voted 17:5
195:10,16,23	160:13,17,18	217:10 219:1,8,23	W
196:3,4 200:1,2	162:19 167:23	238:13 243:15	
204:20 206:8	182:15,16 183:7	284:6 302:15	w 90:25 149:3
207:22 208:17	183:13,16,21	vetting 96:19	151:2,15 188:8 waffles 203:5
212:7 215:21	206:23 215:5	<b>vh</b> 212:19	
216:9 219:13	219:14 222:7	<b>vht</b> 136:4 210:9	<b>waffling</b> 203:9 <b>wait</b> 10:15,17
221:19 225:7	224:20 251:19	213:3,6,7 214:4	waiting 34:5
226:18 230:10,12	286:23 287:2	<b>viable</b> 158:24	walk 10:22 127:13
231:21 238:4,5	297:7,19,19	<b>vice</b> 16:7	138:1
241:20 244:6,15	values 297:1	<b>video</b> 7:7 313:15	<b>walking</b> 154:18
246:1 247:24	varies 33:7	videographer 3:5	175:15 180:15
248:14,17 249:15	<b>various</b> 30:14 32:5	7:5,18 8:1,5,13	wall 153:2 195:4
258:7,9,18 263:18	50:4 59:17,17	80:1,5 138:6,10	want 11:12 18:19
264:1,3,5,18	98:8 150:11,24,24	177:9,12,15	23:22,24 29:3
273:14 282:5	153:10 158:1	198:11,15 245:5,9	37:15,18 48:11,20
288:21 295:24	168:1 202:23	253:23 254:2	51:19 53:1,17,18
296:5,14 297:9,11	217:6 218:22	265:5,15 306:8,12	64:21 78:13 84:10
297:12 302:15,15	227:6 228:16,17	312:20,24 313:9	89:21 104:8
useless 94:8	229:11 239:7	videotaped 1:16	105:10 109:18
uses 163:12	259:19	2:1 4:10 12:17	126:11 127:12
164:17 166:3	vary 249:4	view 34:22 312:7	130:18 135:10
246:8 288:22	vast 17:25	viewed 228:16	141:16 176:14
usually 24:3,22	vegas 33:2	292:17	180:7 185:13
95:18 101:6	vein 10:21	violated 247:5,16	187:18 189:15
106:17 119:25	venture 296:15	violating 190:8	193:3,14,22
137:18 154:18	veracity 232:22	violations 245:12	200:12 211:3,9
210:16	verbal 10:2	visit 109:7	212:7 214:25
utilitarian 207:13	verbatim 7:10	visited 294:4 visitors 166:8	218:11,19 221:23
V	verify 202:19 233:14 315:9		227:17 231:14
v 1:7,11 7:10	verifying 283:10	visual 130:17 276:21	240:15 244:2
89:16,17 90:2,14	veritext 7:17,18	visually 277:3	246:13 262:24
91:3 136:4 210:9	313:12 315:14,23	vitae 4:12 14:1,4	264:18 265:23
213:3,7 214:4	veritext.com	voice 10:14	266:4 267:4 268:6
215:14 225:12,14	315:15	VOICE 10.14	268:23 277:22
315:4 316:1 317:1	313.13		280:11 281:6

[want - wordpress]

Page 57

285:19 288:4,22	220:14 230:22	weekdays 64:9	wife 64:7 141:10
293:9 296:3,11,12	<b>web</b> 105:10 109:9	weekend 100:10	141:12,20
297:22 301:8,13	110:5,12,14,14	weekends 64:9	wiggle 18:14
304:10,16,16,17	112:25 160:8	weekly 30:9 69:11	wild 213:12
305:5,8,10,11	256:14	130:16,19	willing 244:13
308:18,21	website 32:9 33:16	weeks 23:23,24	willingness 299:18
wanted 9:4 65:21	99:1 100:1,14	33:8 67:2 150:23	300:12
73:17 179:8,9,10	101:2,9,22 103:5	153:9	winded 144:24
179:11 194:1	103:8,12 104:21	weil 2:12 7:14,21	window 120:20
202:19 204:15	104:22 105:14,17	weird 310:17	winning 141:10
211:14 268:11	105:18 110:16	welcome 313:3	winter 20:11,12
278:8,25	134:13 193:2	wenn 1:13 15:3	21:8
wants 135:5,15,15	260:5 289:13	90:25 91:3 179:8	wire 186:16
148:20 162:23	websites 15:20,20	185:5,12 188:8,11	215:21 216:6,7,20
163:6,18,18	59:18 104:15	188:20,21 189:2	216:22
164:15 195:10	152:12 157:15	189:21 190:8,10	wish 61:1
242:8	158:9 167:9 168:1	190:22 191:2	witness 2:2 4:3 8:6
war 65:18	168:12,25 169:7	204:8,9,11 225:11	8:12 79:25 96:9
wars 65:20	169:17 170:15	237:7 253:1,4,12	96:11,22 97:1,13
washers 282:19	171:2,3 173:6	254:9 255:2,13	98:1,9 100:14
watch 83:15	174:1 194:24	288:10 289:10	101:8 102:13
167:15 196:22	228:24 229:6	290:22,24 291:4	103:1 109:12,21
watched 167:17	251:25 264:18	291:12,25 292:8	117:4,8,16 138:3
water 280:6	288:18 290:12,14	293:3,6,7,12,22	139:11 173:16
way 27:12,16 59:3	290:19 291:8,12	294:1	174:21 177:11
61:8 64:15 72:9	292:1,13,21,23	wenn's 288:1,5,14	251:18 261:1
78:14 90:25 95:23	wednesday 1:18	289:4	267:20 312:16
99:22 105:24	2:3 7:1	went 33:4 104:24	313:3 315:8,10,12
118:7,23 132:7	week 20:4 24:4,4	126:20,23 157:17	315:19
139:9 158:14	27:18,21,21,23	182:11 214:12,13	witnesses 98:7
177:21 189:7	28:9,9,13,13,16	234:25 286:16	wonderful 153:22
210:1,10 218:10	28:19,22 29:6,7,7	288:18,18 289:12	153:22
221:22 223:18	29:19,20 30:1,6	291:7 304:11	<b>word</b> 57:16,17
224:10 232:8	31:5,15 33:5,9,10	whatsoever	58:6 62:8,17
233:11 242:6	33:10,11 34:20	247:19 285:7	104:20,20 111:10
246:22 258:8	38:14 42:11 44:14	<b>wheat</b> 280:5	112:12 130:23
274:14 298:3	44:19,25 45:23	whichever 233:11	174:8 215:24
308:2 312:5	47:12,20 64:8,9	white 128:11,13	216:11,12 224:7
wayback 291:18	65:6 73:6 130:25	128:22 130:11	235:21,25 238:4
wayne 154:11,18	139:6 172:2	220:5 264:8,13,14	280:21 283:21
we've 49:22,24	217:13 244:4	264:22	284:6,7 295:24
131:18 177:18	246:17	wiener 151:2,2,12	296:5,14
198:20,22 202:25	weekday 27:6	151:13,14 155:6,8	wordpress 100:10
217:6 218:22		156:10,16 157:2	100:12

[words - years] Page 58

1 10 10 10 20	202 11 207 12	10001051	205 2 212 6 5 15
words 10:10 19:20	293:11 296:13	write 122:9 125:4	205:2 213:6,7,15
21:4 31:14 35:9	300:15 305:4	125:14,22 126:2	213:15,24 214:7
38:3,22 52:9	306:21,24	127:15 128:1,2,7	221:15 222:11
56:12,15 57:8,12	worked 28:9 29:4	132:16 139:23	226:4 229:23
57:15 58:5,8,14	29:5,7,8 31:24	158:21	230:15 232:12
58:15,16,23 65:15	32:15 33:21 38:16	writes 149:3	245:4 261:7 267:5
84:23 97:17	45:23 46:3 47:2,7	writing 126:16	271:6 272:3,8
103:18 119:24	47:15 48:9 59:3	128:22 129:10,12	274:1 278:7
123:8 159:25	63:14,15 67:11,14	207:19	280:10 281:5
161:21 168:24	89:10,25 90:3,5	written 76:5 95:5	285:17 292:2,23
170:11 193:1	91:8 94:19 95:2,3	117:14 124:13	305:7 309:7,24
194:23 205:21	137:12 187:11,14	126:13,16 129:6	year 21:16 22:13
236:17 250:2,23	268:2	129:18 130:10	27:23,23 31:23
274:4 276:24	<b>working</b> 27:6,19	<b>wrong</b> 102:4	32:1,1 36:5 38:19
277:11 280:13	28:10 29:19,19	275:10	42:15,17,19,20
296:3 297:22	30:1 31:1 48:3	wrote 103:11	44:13 50:10 64:9
work 4:15 11:12	89:19 90:7 95:1	122:8,10 126:9,11	66:24 93:19,19,20
11:14,16 25:20	99:18 108:24	155:15,23 270:15	93:20 97:8 99:11
26:24 27:22 28:2	115:9,17 116:13	270:17,17 288:25	113:24,25 116:15
28:15,21 29:14	118:17 128:21	<b>www</b> 5:7	136:2 140:11,12
30:23 31:15 32:12	134:1 144:22	www.photograp	144:1,18,18
33:5,10,11,19	186:19	99:23 101:10	146:14 155:15,16
34:12 44:24 48:23	works 99:16	X	196:25 197:8
52:17 53:8,10,20	122:22 123:13	x 4:1,8 5:1 54:18	201:10 206:20
53:22 55:23 65:24	171:25	54:19 172:25	219:6 235:10
66:3,7,9,11,13,14	workshops 23:15	303:4,5	241:5 300:22
67:7,16 69:1,25	23:16 61:3 119:19	xx 314:14	307:24
71:13,23 72:1,2	120:9 143:14,19		years 9:4 17:5,7
72:10 82:24 84:18	145:6 311:10	y	18:12,15 21:12
88:21 91:1 94:18	world 1:13 18:2	y 8:20	22:13,14,23,23
95:20 101:11,12	30:25 31:4 59:15	yeah 11:22 39:13	23:18 24:7 35:5
102:17,21 117:9	156:2 178:4	44:21 45:1 53:14	49:21 50:11,11,11
118:16 122:18	194:13 196:11	79:21 81:13 92:23	51:10,11 54:19,19
123:15,20 124:2,6	241:23	93:9 100:2 101:25	55:7 61:15 63:3
124:6,8 128:23	worldwide 51:13	108:12,17 110:10	64:4,5,14 66:15
132:22 133:13,24	worry 209:23	110:10,17,24,25	66:16,20,23 88:23
138:21 144:6	304:14	111:13 113:4	88:24 94:6 101:5
148:15,19 154:13	wow 138:5	114:23 115:4	108:11 111:16,17
154:16 155:20	wraparound	120:7 137:24	114:21 115:2,18
159:7 161:25	125:19,22,24	139:25 146:19	119:22 120:25
162:1 176:22	126:3,10,18 127:3	149:17 157:3	121:3 126:5
184:1 202:19	127:7	164:11 179:6	128:21 129:7,19
203:20,21,22	wrapping 18:25	189:15 190:6	132:2,23 133:2
285:8 292:15,19		195:9 198:3,8,8	143:25 144:1

[years - zillow] Page 59

148:2 153:25 155:13 178:14 196:12 202:23 203:7 213:18 218:18 251:10 257:22 284:22,24 291:16 294:6 301:23 303:5,5,24 304:13,23 311:22 312:12 **yep** 150:12 yesterday 78:18 78:21 79:3,14,17 249:11 york 20:3 105:25 106:3,5,5,7,10,12 106:13 107:1,4,6 107:7,9,10,12 163:17 164:19 **younger** 311:22 **yup** 163:23

## Z

z 114:4,4 zero 45:5 zillow 136:4 210:9 213:3,7 214:4,12 214:14,19 State of Rhode Island District Court

Civil Rules of Procedure

Chapter V. Deposition Discovery

Rule 30

(e) Review by Witness; Changes; Signing. If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall have thirty (30) days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in the form or substance, to sign a statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by the deponent for making them. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by subdivision (f)(1) whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent during the period allowed.

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.  THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,  2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES  OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.
2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES
OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.